DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



REPORT TO THE HEARING EXAMINER

A. SUMMARY AND PURPOSE OF REQUEST			
HEARING DATE:	July 14, 2015		
Project Name:	Valley Vue Preliminary Plat		
Owner/Applicant:	Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake Sammamish PKWY SE, Bellevue, WA 98008		
Contact:	Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors, LLC, 12865 SE 47th PL, Bellevue, WA 98006		
File Number:	LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD		
Project Manager:	Clark H. Close, Associate Planner		
Project Summary:	LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD		

Project Location:

3106 and 3112 Talbot Road S, Renton, WA 98055 (APN 302305-9028)

Site Area:

99,994 SF (2.3 acres)



Project Location Map

Page 2 of 20

B. EXHIBITS:

Exhibit 26: Report to the Hearing Examiner

Exhibit 27: Notice of Complete Application

Exhibit 28: Renton School District's Capacity Response Letter

Exhibit 29: Environmental Review Committee (ERC) Staff Report (dated June 18, 2015)

Exhibit 30: Environmental "SEPA" Determination, ERC Mitigation Measures and Advisory Notes

Exhibit 31: "On Hold" Notice Letter (dated October 1, 2014)

Exhibit 32: Applicant's Letter for a Request for Continuation (dated April 15, 2015)

Exhibit 33: "Off Hold" Notice Letter (dated April 27, 2015)

Exhibit 34: Street Modification Request

Exhibit 35: Street Modification Request Response

Exhibit 36: Public Comment Letter from Winsper Community HOA (20 signatures)

Exhibit 37: Staff Response to Winsper Community HOA

Exhibit 38: Public Comment Letters: Klaas Schultz

Exhibit 39: Staff Response to Public Comment Letters: Klaas Schultz

Exhibit 40: Public Comment Letter: Gangwish

Exhibit 41: Staff Response to Public Comment Letter: Gangwish

Exhibit 42: Public Comment Letter: Klaas

Exhibit 43: Staff Response to Public Comment Letter: Klaas

C. GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. Owner(s) of Record:

Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake
Sammamish PKWY SE, Bellevue, WA 98008

2. Zoning Designation: Residential – 8 du/ac (R-8)

3. Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designation: Residential Single Family (RS)

4. Existing Site Use: Two single family residences and a detached garage

5. Neighborhood Characteristics:

a. North: R-8 – Residential-8 Dwelling Units Per Net Acre

b. East: R-8 – Residential-8 Dwelling Units Per Net Acre

c. South: R-8 – Residential-8 Dwelling Units Per Net Acre

d. West: R-8 – Residential-8 Dwelling Units Per Net Acre

6. Access:

Access to the site is currently gained from Talbot Road South. Access to the eight
(8) new residential lots would be served from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision

(Tract G and Tract H) via two dedicated ingress/egress easement areas of 24 feet in width through the development on S 32nd Place (Exhibits 3 - 5).

7. Site Area: 99,994 SF (2.3 acres)

Page 3 of 20

D. HISTORICAL/BACKGROUND:

<u>Action</u>	Land Use File No.	Ordinance No.	Approved Date
Comprehensive Plan	N/A	5228	11/27/2006
Zoning	N/A	5100	11/01/2004
Winsper Annexation	A-93-002	4476	10/26/1994

E. PUBLIC SERVICES:

1. Utilities

- a. Water: The site is located in the Talbot Hill 350 hydraulic water pressure zone. There is an existing 8 inch water main in S 32nd Pl and there are 2 existing ¾ inch domestic water meters serving the existing homes.
- b. <u>Sewer</u>: There is an 8 inch sewer main in S 32nd PI (Winsper) and an 8 inch sewer main located near the northwest of the site.
- c. Surface/Storm Water: There are drainage improvements in S 32nd Place.
- 2. Streets: Talbot Road South is a Collector Arterial; S 32nd Pl is a residential access street.
- 3. Fire Protection: City of Renton Fire Department.

F. APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF THE RENTON MUNICIPAL CODE (RMC), VESTED UP TO ORD. 5719:

1. Chapter 2 Zoning Districts - Land Use Districts

- a. Section 4-2-020: Purpose and Intent of Zoning Districts
- b. Section 4-2-060: Zoning Use Table Uses Allowed in Zoning Designations
- c. Section 4-2-110: Residential Development Standards
- d. Section 4-2-115: Residential Design and Open Space Standards

2. Chapter 4 City-Wide Property Development Standards

- a. Section 4-4-030: Development Guidelines and Regulations General
- b. Section 4-4-130: Tree Cutting and Land Clearing Regulations

3. Chapter 6 Street and Utility Standards

a. Section 4-6-060: Street Standards

4. Chapter 7 Subdivision Regulations

- a. Section 4-7-080: Detailed Procedures for Subdivisions
- b. Section 4-7-120: Compatibility with Existing Land Use and Plan General Requirements and Minimum Standards
- c. Section 4-7-150: Streets General Requirements and Minimum Standards
- d. Section 4-7-160: Residential Blocks General Requirements and Minimum Standards
- e. Section 4-7-170: Residential Lots General Requirements and Minimum Standards

5. Chapter 9 Permits - Specific

6. Chapter 11 Definitions

Page 4 of 20

G. APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

- 1. Land Use Element
- 2. Community Design Element

H. FINDINGS OF FACT (FOF):

- 1. The applicant requested SEPA Environmental Review and Preliminary Plat approval for a 9-lot subdivision. Preliminary Plat approval is being requested in order to subdivide a 2.3-acre site into 9 single family lots (8 new + the remaining single family house) and four (4) tracts for access roads, sensitive areas, and stormwater detention. The lots range in size from 4,502 square feet to 18,169 square feet with an average lot size of 7,954 square feet. The proposal results in a net density of 4.23 dwelling units per acre.
- 2. The Planning Division of the City of Renton accepted the SEPA Environmental Review and Preliminary Plat application for review on August 1, 2014 and determined it complete on August 25, 2014 (Exhibit 27). The project complied with the 120-day review period. The project was placed on "hold" on October 1, 2014, due to request for a modification from the private street standard width requirements (Exhibit 31). The hold was removed (Exhibit 33), upon the applicant's letter for a request for continuation (dated April 15, 2015; Exhibit 32).
- 3. The City ordinances governing the development of land up to and including adopted Ordinance No. 5719.
- 4. The proposed plat would be located at the SE ¼, Section 30, Township 23 North, Range 5 East, W.M., east of Talbot Road South and north of S 32nd PI (Exhibit 2).
- 5. The property is in the Residential Single Family (RS) Comprehensive Plan land use designation and the Residential 8 (R-8) zoning classification.
- 6. The site currently contains two single family residences (3106 and 3112 Talbot Rd S) and a detached garage. Only the one single family residence (3112 Talbot Rd S) will be demolished as part of the platting process.
- 7. The project site is comprised of one (1) parcel: Parcel No. 302305-9028 (Exhibit 2).
- 8. The following table includes proposed approximate dimensions for Lots 1-9 and Tracts A-D (Exhibit 4):

As Proposed	Lot Size	Width	Depth
Lot 1	7,356 SF	50 FEET	
Lot 2	7,654 SF	50 FEET	147 FEET
Lot 3	7,422 SF	50 FEET	159 FEET
Lot 4	7,127 SF	50 FEET	155 FEET
Lot 5	7,133 SF	50 FEET	143 FEET
Lot 6	7,430 SF	50 FEET	143 FEET
Lot 7	4,796 SF	50 FEET	155 FEET
Lot 8	4,502 SF	50 FEET	102 FEET
Lot 9	18,169 SF	100 FEET	90 FEET
All Lots	Avg: 7,954.3 SF	Avg: 55.6 FEET	180 FEET
Tract A	12,818 SF		Avg: 141.6 FEET
Tract B	1,809 SF	100 FEET	128 FEET
Tract C	1,808 SF	24 FEET	76 FEET
Storm Drainage - Tract D	11,965 SF	24 FEET	76 FEET
- January Hatt D	11,303 SF	100 FEET	121 FEET

Page 5 of 20

- 9. Access to the existing single family residence located at 3106 Talbot Road South (Lot 9) and the proposed stormwater detention tract (Tract D) would remain or come from Talbot Road South. The proposed access road terminates in a hammerhead turnaround above the concrete vault.
- 10. Access to the eight (8) new residential lots would be served from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two existing 24-foot-wide dedicated ingress/egress easement tracts through the development on S 32nd Pl, followed by two (2) additional 24-foot by 76-foot wide tracts (Tract B and Tract C) onsite. The proposed 20-foot-wide paved access roads terminate roughly 176 feet north of S 32nd Pl. No turnarounds have been proposed at the end of the road.
- 11. Topographically, the overall site generally slopes from east to west with elevation change from 208 feet to 130 feet across the entire project site (*Exhibit 6*). The west portion of the site, west of the existing daylight basement at 3106 Talbot Rd S maintains the steepest slopes. The portion of the site identified to have the greatest slopes would not be impacted by development, with the exception of road improvements to the existing gravel driveway and proposed stormwater conveyance system out to Talbot Road S. A Geotechnical Engineering Study was prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. (dated May 27, 2014; Exhibit 11); the report states that the proposed development activity or structures can be supported on conventional continuous and spread footings bearing on undisturbed, medium-dense, native soil, or on structural fill placed above this competent native soil. The onsite and groundwater conditions are not suitable for infiltration of runoff from impervious surfaces.
- 12. There are approximately 142 significant trees on the site and the applicant is proposing to retain 27 original trees (*Exhibits 9 & 10*).
- 13. The applicant submitted a conceptual landscape plan which includes the installation of a variety of trees throughout the site, including the following: 2-Renaissance reflection birch (6"), 15-Shore pine (30"), 28-Douglas fir (84"), and 13-Excelsa western red cedar (13") (Exhibit 10).
- 14. The applicant submitted a Critical Areas Study prepared by Acre Environmental Consulting, LLC (dated September 4, 2013; Exhibit 13). According to the report, there is one wetland located in the eastern portion of the subject site and extends off-site to the east and south. This wetland exhibits a minimum of human related physical alteration, and therefore, meets the criteria for a Category 2 wetland. A Category 2 wetland receives a 50-foot standard buffer from their delineated edge (RMC 4-3-050M.6.c).
- 15. The applicant submitted a Preliminary Technical Information Report ("TIR") prepared by Land Development Advisors, LLC (dated December, 2013; Exhibit 12). According to the TIR, a stormwater detention vault would be located in the westerly portion of the site and would discharge to the existing conveyance system on the east side of Talbot Road S. Basic water quality treatment would be provided by "dead" storage within the vault.
- 16. On May 18, 2015, the Environmental Review Committee, pursuant to the City of Renton's Environmental Ordinance and SEPA (RCW 43.21C, 1971 as amended), issued a Determination of Non-Significance Mitigated (DNS-M) for Valley Vue Preliminary Plat (*Exhibit 30*). The DNS-M included four (4) mitigation measures. A 14-day appeal period commenced on May 22, 2015 and ended on June 5, 2015. No appeals of the threshold determination have been filed.
- 17. Based on an analysis of probable impacts from the proposal, the Environmental Review Committee (ERC) issued the following mitigation measure with the Determination of Non-Significance Mitigated:
 - Project construction shall be required to comply with the recommendations found in the Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. dated May 27, 2014 or an updated report submitted at a later date.

Page 6 of 20

- 2. The applicant shall plant all trees that are within the 50-foot standard wetland buffer by hand and without heavy machinery. To the greatest extent feasible, these trees should be planted in areas where invasive species are present. A tree planting plan shall be provided to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to construction permit issuance.
- 3. The applicant shall provide a minimum 12 to 15-foot wide utility access easement to the stormwater tract (Tract D) for maintenance and operation of the utility. The easement shall be recorded and documentation provided to the City prior to approval the issuance of the construction permit application.
- 4. The applicant shall provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access roads shall meet the minimum necessary to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles.
- 18. Staff received a host of public comments with concerns about zoning codes, density, development standards, street access, pedestrian access, public safety, noise, topographical issues, mining hazards, drainage, recreational opportunities, tree retention, protection of environment, construction impacts, property values of affected home owners, and adherence to City ordinances and state laws. On September 2, 2014 staff began responding to the comments (*Exhibit 19*). No Agency comments were received.
- 19. Representatives from various city departments have reviewed the application materials to identify and address issues raised by the proposed development (*Exhibit 25*). These comments are contained in the official file, and the essence of the comments have been incorporated into the appropriate sections of this report.
- 20. Whenever there are practical difficulties involved in carrying out the provisions of this Title IV, the applicant may request a modification of the standards provided the Criteria for modification identified in RMC 4-9-250D.2 is satisfied.
- 21. The proposal requires Preliminary Plat Review. The following table (Section H. FOF, Preliminary Plat Review Criteria) contains project elements intended to comply with Subdivision Regulations, as outlined in Chapter 4-7 RMC.

PRELIMINAR	Y PLAT REVIEW CRITERIA:
The site is o	ANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: esignated Residential Single Family (RSF) on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. The onsistent (or not consistent) with the following Comprehensive Plan Land Use and Community ent policies:
✓	Policy LU-158. Net development densities should fall within a range of 4.0 to 8.0 dwelling units per net acre in Residential Single Family neighborhoods.
✓	Policy EN-6. When development may impact wetlands, the following hierarchy should be followed in deciding the appropriate course of action: a. avoid impacts to the wetland, b. minimize impacts to the wetland, c. restore the wetland when impacted, d. recreate the wetland at a ratio which will provide for its assured viability & success, e. enhance the functional values of an existing degraded wetland.
✓	Policy EN-7. Protect buffers along wetlands to facilitate infiltration and maintain stable water temperatures, provide for biological diversity, reduce amount and velocity of run-off, and provide for wildlife habitat.

Hearing Date: July 14, 2015 Page 7 of 20

✓	Objective CD-A. The City's unique natural features, including land form, vegetation, lakeshore, river, creeks and streams, and wetlands should be protected and enhanced as opportunities arise.
✓	Objective CD-C. Promote reinvestment in and upgrade of existing residential neighborhoods through redevelopment of small, underutilized parcels with infill development, modification and alteration of older housing stock, and improvements to streets and sidewalks to increase property values.
. ✓	Policy CD-15. Infill development should be reflective of the existing character of established neighborhoods even when designed using different architectural styles, and /or responding to more urban setbacks, height or lot requirements. Infill development should draw on elements of existing development such as placement of structures, vegetation, and location of entries and walkways, to reflect the site planning and scale of existing areas.
Not Met as Proposed	Policy CD-16. Project design, including location of access and dimensions of yards and setbacks, should address privacy and quality of life on existing improved portions of sites. Rear and side yard setbacks should be maintained and not reduced to facilitate increased density.
Not Met as Proposed	Policy CD-17. Setbacks and other development standards should not be reduced on newly platted lots through modification or variance to facilitate increased density.
Not Met as Proposed	Policy CD-45. Interpret development standards to support new plats and infill project designs that address privacy and quality of life for existing residents.
	WITH THE UNDERLYING ZONING DESIGNATION: ssified Residential-8 (R-8) on the City of Renton Zoning Map. RMC 4-2-110A provides
	andards for development within the R-8 zoning classification. The proposal is consistent with
	evelopment standards if all conditions of approval are complied with:
	Density: The allowed density range in the R-8 zone is a maximum of 8.0 dwelling units per net acre. There is also a minimum density of 4 dwelling units per net acre.
✓	<u>Staff Comment</u> : After factoring in all density deductions (public streets, private access easements and critical areas) the site has a <u>net</u> square footage of 92,699 square feet or 1.99 net acres. Specifically, the applicant is proposing 0 square feet of road for public right-of-way dedications and 690 square feet for private access easements, and 6,605 square feet for critical areas, totaling 7,295 square feet (99,994 sf $-$ 7,295 sf $=$ 92,699 sf). The 9 lot proposal would arrive at a net density of 4.52 dwelling units per acre (9 lots / 1.99 acres = 4.52 du/ac), which falls within the permitted density range for the R-8 zone.
✓	Lot Dimensions: The minimum lot size permitted in the R-8 zoning designation is 4,500 square feet. A minimum lot width of 50 feet is required for interior lots and 60 feet for corner lots. Lot depth is required to be a minimum of 65 feet. In order to meet the variation requirements of RMC 4-2-115, lot dimensions and setbacks are allowed to be decreased and/or increased, provided that, when averaged, the applicable lot standards of the zone are met per RMC 4-2-110D(31).
	<u>Staff Comment</u> : As demonstrated in the table above under finding of fact 7, all lots meet the requirements for minimum lot size, width and depth.
√	Setbacks: The required setbacks in the R-8 zone are as follows: front yard is 15 feet for the primary structure and 20 feet for garages; interior side yard is 5 feet; side yard along a street is 15 feet for the primary structure; and the rear yard is 20 feet.
	Detached accessory buildings in the R-8 zone are as follows: 3 feet for rear and side yards, unless located between the rear of the house and the rear property line, then 0 feet rear

Page 8 of 20

and side yard is allowed.

Staff Comment: The setback requirements for the

<u>Staff Comment</u>: The setback requirements for the proposed lots would be verified at the time of building permit review. The proposed lots appear to contain adequate area to provide all the required setback areas. Staff recommends, as a condition of approval, that a demolition permit be obtained and all required inspections be completed for the removal of the existing single family residence at 3112 Talbot Rd S prior to Final Plat recording, as this structure would not comply with setbacks if permitted to remain on the site.

The existing structures on Lot 9 would remain. The primary single family would have the following setbacks: front yard – 100 feet; side yards – 22 feet and 35.5 feet; and rear yard 42 feet. The detached accessory building would remain between the rear of house and rear property line and have a side yard setback of 0 feet and a rear yard setback of 10 feet. These remaining structures would comply with the setbacks of the zone.

Building Standards: Building height is restricted to 30 feet. Detached accessory structures must remain below a height of 15 feet and one-story. The allowed building lot coverage for lots over 5,000 SF in size in the R-8 zone is 35 percent (35%) or 2,500 SF, whichever is greater. The allowed impervious surface coverage is 75 percent (75%).

<u>Staff Comment</u>: The existing single family home built in 1963 is a one story home with a daylight basement. The first floor area is 1,520 square feet. The 220 square foot detached garage is a one-story structure that was built in 1940. The two structures are proposed to be retained on Lot 9 and comply with the building standards of the R-8 zone. The building standards for the proposed lots (1-8) would be verified at the time of building permit review.

Landscaping: Ten (10) feet of onsite landscaping is required along all public street frontages, with the exception of areas for required walkways and driveways per RMC 4-4-070. Such landscaping shall include a mixture of trees, shrubs, and groundcover as approved by the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Minimum planting strip widths between the curb and sidewalk are established according to the street development standards of RMC 4-6-060. Street trees and, at a minimum, groundcover are to be located in this area when present.

Where there is insufficient right-of-way space or no public frontage, street trees are required in the front yard. A minimum of two (2) trees are to be located in the front yard prior to final inspection.

Compliant if Conditions of Approval Met

<u>Staff Comment</u>: The applicant has submitted a preliminary landscape plan (Exhibit 10). The proposed landscape plan includes a tree planting schedule for the entire site. The project does not front a public street. Where there is no public frontage, a minimum of two (2) trees are required to be located in the front yard of each new lot.

The tree retention plan proposes to retain nine (9) significant trees within Lot 9 (Exhibits 9 & 10). The landscaping plan proposes 133 replacement caliper inches, including the following: 2-Renaissance reflection birch (6"), 15-Shore pine (30"), 28-Douglas fir (84"), and 13-Excelsa western red cedar (13") (Exhibit 10). In fact, twelve of the thirteen red cedars are proposed to be planted within the wetland buffer. No shrubs or ground cover is proposed within the residential lots. Other than the identified front yard trees within lots 2, 3 & 7 no other front yard trees are proposed as part of the required onsite landscaping. In order to account for these 14 to 15 required trees within the 10-foot onsite landscaping strip, staff is recommending that the applicant provide a minimum of 16 trees within the front yards of Lots 1-9. A final detailed landscape plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Current Planning Project Manager prior to construction permit issuance.

Parking: Pursuant to RMC 4-4-080 each unit is required to accommodate off-street parking

✓

Hearing Date: July 14, 2015 Page 9 of 20

for a minimum of two vehicles.

<u>Staff Comment</u>: Sufficient area exist on each lot, to accommodate off-street parking for a minimum of two (2) vehicles. Compliance with individual driveway requirements would be reviewed at the time of building permit review.

3. CRITICAL AREAS: The proposal is consistent with critical area regulations as stated in RMC 4-3-050.

Critical Areas: Manage development activities to protect wetlands, aquifer protection areas, fish and wildlife habitat, frequently flooded and geologically hazardous areas as defined by the Growth Management Act and RMC 4-3-050, Critical Area Regulations.

<u>Staff Comment:</u> The applicant submitted a Critical Areas Study prepared by Acre Environmental Consulting, LLC (dated September 4, 2013; Exhibit 13). According to the report, there is one wetland located in the eastern portion of the subject site and extends offsite to the east and south. This wetland exhibits a minimum of human related physical alteration, and therefore, meets the criteria for a Category 2 wetland. A Category 2 wetland receives a 50-foot standard buffer from their delineated edge (RMC 4-3-050M.6.c).

Due to its vegetative structure, the subject wetland provides habitat for use by terrestrial wildlife species including birds and mammals. Animals identified or observed included an American Crow, a song sparrow, a black-capped chickadee, squirrels, and evidence of a common raccoon.

Compliant if Conditions of SEPA Approval are Met The established vegetation within the wetland and associated buffer on this site serves to intercept rain fall before it strikes the soil, thereby reducing erosion and improving water quality. The dense vegetation serves to trap sediment and pollutants and provide increased water quality functions that aid in a reduction of sediment which results in cleaner water leaving the site.

The applicant is proposing to establish a Native Growth Protection Easement for the Category 2 wetland and its associated 50-foot buffer area within Tract A. The applicant is also proposing to increase the disturbance limit at least another 17 feet beyond the 50-foot wetland buffer. However, fencing and signage along the outer buffer edge are requirements of Renton Municipal Code. Staff is recommending as a condition of approval the installation of a wood, split-rail fence with wetland signage along the west boundary of Category 2 wetland buffer. Such a fence (with signage) shall be constructed prior to recording of the final plat. Staff recommends, as a condition of approval, requiring the Homeowners' Association to ensure maintenance of the split-rail fence.

As part of the proposed tree replacement or replanting plan, the applicant is proposing to plant 12 red cedar trees within the Category 2 wetland buffer. Staff recommended as a SEPA mitigation measure, that all trees planted within the 50-foot standard wetland buffer be planted by hand and without heavy machinery. To the greatest extent feasible, these 12 trees should be planted in areas where invasive species are present.

4. COMMUNITY ASSETS: The proposal is consistent with the following community asset requirements.

Tree Retention: RMC 4-4-130 states thirty percent (30%) of the trees shall be retained in a residential development.

<u>Staff Comment:</u> Vegetation in the western portion of the property is comprised of maintained lawn represented by tall fescue, blue wildrye, hairy Cat's-ear, velvetgrass, colonial bentgrass, creeping buttercup, and white clover. The lawn is interspersed with patches of Himalayan blackberry and scattered trees, including big leaf maple and Oregon ash. Vegetation in the eastern part of the site is forested, represented by a canopy of big leaf maple, Oregon ash, and western red cedar, with snowberry, osoberry, Himalayan blackberry, hazelnut, Oceanspray, thimbleberry, dewberry, and sword fern, in the understory. There are

Page 10 of 20

142 trees over 6 inches in diameter throughout the project site. After certain trees are excluded from the retention calculations (trees that are dead, diseased or dangerous, public streets, private access easements, critical area deductions), 126 become subject to the minimum requirement to retain thirty percent (30%) of the significant trees. The applicant is proposing to retain 27 of the required 38 trees, several of which are located along the north property boundary. Therefore, 11 trees would need to be replaced onsite. The required replacement is equivalent to 132 caliper inches (11 trees x 12 inches = 132 caliper inches). The tree plant schedule includes 133 replacement inches, including the following: 2-Renaissance reflection birch (6"), 15-Shore pine (30"), 28-Douglas fir (84"), and 13-Excelsa western red cedar (13") (Exhibit 10). In fact, twelve of the thirteen red cedars are proposed to be planted within the wetland buffer. Therefore, the proposed replacement trees exceed the minimum required replacement inches of 12" for every tree that was unable to be retained. All trees that are proposed to be retained, including nine (9) in the critical areas and buffers, would be fenced and signed during the construction process for preservation (Exhibits 9 & 10). A final detailed landscape plan must be submitted and approved prior to construction permit approval.

5. COMPLIANCE WITH SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS: RMC 4-7 Provides review criteria for the subdivisions. The proposal is consistent with the following subdivision regulations if all conditions of approval are complied with:

Access: Each lot must have access to a public street or road. Access may be by private access easement street per the requirements of the street standards. The maximum width of single loaded garage driveways shall not exceed nine feet (9') and double loaded garage driveways shall not exceed sixteen feet (16').

<u>Staff Comment:</u> Access to the eight (8) new residential lots is proposed to be served from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two existing 24-foot wide dedicated ingress/egress easement areas through the development on S 32nd Place. The proposed 20-foot-wide paved access roads terminate roughly 176 feet north of S 32nd Pl. No turnarounds have been proposed at the end of the Tract B and C.

Compliant if
Conditions of
SEPA Approval
are Met or a
Street
Modification is
granted

The applicant is proposing to retain the existing single family home at 3106 and improve the existing access easement by completing a 20-foot wide access road to the hammerhead turnaround, at the site of the future stormwater tract (Tract D), located roughly 400 feet from Talbot Rd S. The two access roads proposed through Tract G and H are designed to include 0.6" curbs, a 20-foot travel lane, retaining walls (Concrete and/or Keystone), and a 6-foot fence on top of the retaining wall (east access only) (Exhibit 7). The overall lengths of the access road sections are roughly 176 feet long from S 32nd Pl to the termination point onsite.

The applicant has submitted a request to modify street width requirements in order to access 8 new lots via the 24-foot wide access easements (Tract G and H) to serve four (4) residential lots from Tract G and another four (4) lots from Tract H. This would be a reduction of two feet (2') from the private street width standard specified in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2). Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way. Private streets are only permitted if a public street is not anticipated to be necessary for existing or future traffic and/or pedestrian circulation through the subdivision or to serve adjacent property. No sidewalks are required for private streets; however drainage improvements are required, as well as an approved pavement thickness (minimum of four inches (4") asphalt over six inches (6") crushed rock). The maximum grade for the private street shall not exceed fifteen percent (15%) at maximum grade, and angles of approach and departure shall meet fire department

Page 11 of 20

	requirements.
	The proposed hauling and transportation routes would be on the west end of the property (Talbot Rd S to Benson Dr S) when accessible. Otherwise, out the access tracts located along S 32 nd Pl to Smithers Ave S to S 32 nd St to Talbot Rd S to Benson Dr S (Exhibit 14). It is anticipated that large trucks would pass within a few feet of the existing homes on either side of the access tracts. It is unclear how the applicant would provide for sufficient safety for the existing residents on either side of the 24-foot wide access tracts (Tracts G & H).
	The submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. With no alternative proposal, staff finds that there are no appropriate provisions made for public health and safety and sufficient access (WAC 58-17-110). Based on this finding the Environmental Review Committee issues a SEPA mitigation measure that required the applicant to provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access road shall meet the minimum necessary to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles as required by RCW 58-17-110.
N/A	Blocks: Blocks shall be deep enough to allow two tiers of lots.
N/A	Lots: Width between side lot lines at their foremost points (i.e., the points where the side lot lines intersect with the street right-of-way line) shall not be less than eighty percent (80%) of the required lot width except in the cases of lots on a street curve or the turning circle of cul-de-sac (radial lots), which shall be a minimum of thirty five feet (35').
Not Met as Proposed	Streets: The proposed street system shall extend and create connections between existing streets per the Street Standards outlined in RMC 4-6-060 Street Standards. Staff Comment: Talbot Road South is a Collector Arterial and S 32nd Pl is a residential access street. The existing right-of-way width is approximately 60 feet for Talbot Rd S and approximately 44 feet on S 32nd Place. Per RMC 4-6-060J Shared Driveways — When Permitted, a shared private driveway may be permitted for access up to a maximum of four (4) lots. At least one of the four lots must have physical frontage along a street for primary and emergency access and shall be only be allowed vehicular access from the shared private driveway. The private access easement must be a minimum of sixteen feet (16') in width, with a maximum of twelve feet (12') paved driveway. The proposed development does not meet all of the code requirements for a shared driveway type of access. Primary access to the landlocked parcel and two existing residences is from either Talbot Rd S or through the existing two (2) access tracts located within the Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H). These 2 existing tracts from Winsper Div. 1 connect S 32nd Pl to the subject parcel. These tracts were intended to serve as future ingress, egress, and utilities tracts to serve Tax Lot 28 (project site), and are currently owned and maintained by Winsper Community Organization until deeded to Tax Lot No. 28 at no cost when development approvals are granted by the authorized jurisdiction. The existing driveway out to Talbot Rd S slopes steeply from east to west, and could not be used for fire emergency access under its current constructed status, in order to access the number of lots proposed (Exhibit 15). Prior to the Mutual Releases of Easement, under Recording Nos. 20140627001668, 20140627001669, and 20140627001670 (Exhibit 17), access to the site was granted via an access easement recorded in 1964 (Recording No. 5705702; Exhibit 16).
	As part of the street improvements, the applicant is seeking a modification from City of

Page 12 of 20

Renton street standards in order to utilize Tract G and Tract H for access to the proposed subdivision. The decision criteria for a modification of standards are identified in RMC 4-9-250D. The request for modification seeks to continue the existing 24-foot wide platted tracts, onto the site (roughly an additional 76 feet) as established as part of King County's 1989 Winsper Div. No. 1, a 54-lot Plat, in order to serve eight (8) new lots under the private street road standard.

The road improvements identified within the preliminary plat plan sheets show two (2) 10-foot wide paved lanes with vertical curb and gutter through each tract. City of Renton has concern regarding the constructability of these accesses due to the slope of the site. Both accesses would be required to construct retaining walls along the eastern sides of both access roads. The eastern most access has a slope in excess of 15% and requires a minimum of 1.5 feet of clear zone between the back of the curb and the face of the retaining wall. The construction of the retaining walls proposed for both accesses would require temporary construction easements to be obtained from the adjoining property owners. Nevertheless, the temporary construction easements may not be feasible due to the close proximity of other private existing structures located in the area where the retaining walls would need to be constructed.

Due to the lack of sufficient width within the existing tracts and the proximity of the existing homes to the proposed new roadway a safety hazard would be created as a result of the construction of a substandard private street, as the access roads would have virtually no shoulder (Exhibits 18-23). Due to the proposed future cross section, any vehicular incident along these narrow access tracts could result in injury and/or property damage to the adjacent home owners or the driver of the vehicle. Specifically, this is due to the lack of space to "correct" and/or adjust a vehicular movement and at the same time avoiding the existing residences. There is a direct correlation between the number of homes and the number of trips anticipated to utilize the proposed access easement. Staff feels that the construction of a private street through a substandard access easement would result in a detriment to public safety and general welfare as it pertains to the existing constructed homes at 618, 624, 652, and 700 \$ 32nd Pl and any future vehicles that may utilize the proposed private street.

As per RMC 4-6-060, the minimum right-of-way required on a residential street is 53 feet, with a minimum paved width of 26 feet, a 0.5-foot wide curb, an 8-foot wide landscaped planter, a 5-foot wide sidewalk, and street lighting. The right-of-way for the half street improvement must be a minimum of thirty five feet (35') with twenty feet (20') paved (RMC 4-6-060Q). A curb, planting strip area, and sidewalk would be installed on the development side of the street, according to the minimum design standards for public streets. If the street was permitted from Talbot Rd S, a cul-de-sac turnaround would be required. In order to meet the minimum right-of-way dedication requirements, additional right-of-way access from Talbot Rd S would need to be acquired from the three (3) parcels that front Talbot Rd S (Exhibit 15). The absolute minimum right-of-way width that would be required for a public road is 45 feet and the minimum pavement within the right-of-way, for two-way travel, is 20 feet. A modification request would need to be granted for any deviations from the street code requirements.

Paving and trench restoration must comply with the City's Trench Restoration and Overlay Requirements. Additionally, the current layout does not include access to the storm water facilities. Access to the storm water tract is necessary in order to maintain the vault.

The City's trip threshold is 20 peak hour trips; therefore, no traffic impact analysis was required as part of the nine (9) lot preliminary plat. It is anticipated that the proposed project

Page 13 of 20

	would result in impacts to the City's street system. In order to mitigate transportation impacts, the applicant would be required to meet code-required frontage improvements, City of Renton's transportation concurrency requirements based upon a test of the citywide Transportation Plan and pay appropriate Transportation Impact Fees. Currently, this fee is assessed at \$2,214.44 per new single family home (7 x \$2,214.44 = \$15,501.08). This fee is payable to the City at the time of building permit issuance. Credit will be given to the existing home to be demolished. LED street lighting meeting City of Renton Standards is required. A lighting plan shall be submitted to, and approved by, the Current Planning Project Manager and the Plan Reviewer prior to construction permit approval.
√	Relationship to Existing Uses: The proposed project is compatible with existing surrounding uses. Staff Comment: The subject site is landlocked and is bordered on all four sides by existing single-family residential homes; Winsper Division No. 1 to the east and south; Victoria Park #4 to the north; and a single family home immediately to the west. The properties surrounding the subject site have a similar land use of residential single family and zoning of R-8 under on the City's zoning and land use maps. The proposal is similar to existing development patterns in the area and is generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code, which encourage residential infill development.
6. AVAILABILIT	Y AND IMPACT ON PUBLIC SERVICES:
√	Police and Fire: Police and Fire Prevention staff indicates that sufficient resources exist to furnish services to the proposed development, subject to the condition that the applicant provides Code required improvements and fees. An approved apparatus turnarounds are required for dead end roads exceeding 150 feet and all homes beyond 500 feet on dead end streets are required to install an approved fire sprinkler system (RMC 4-6-060H.2). Dead end streets exceeding 700 feet are not allowed without approved secondary access roadways being provided. Condition of approval of this proposed plat, due to existing steep grades on existing access roadways and proposed dead end streets, is to have all proposed homes be equipped with approved residential fire sprinkler systems. Access roadways shall not exceed 15 percent maximum grade. Angles of approach and departure shall meet fire department requirements. Fire impact fees are applicable at the rate of \$495.10 per single family unit. This fee is paid at time of building permit issuance.
✓	Parks: City codes currently do not require open space to be set aside in subdivisions of the R-8 zone. The proposed stormwater detention system within Tract D is a stormwater detention/water quality vault. Landscaping (hydroseed and trees) are proposed over the top of the vault which would provide an amenity to the neighborhood as well as providing an attractive buffer between the existing single family and the proposed new single family homes within the site. It is still anticipated that the proposed development would generate future demand on existing City parks and recreational facilities and programs. A Parks Impact Fee, based on new single family lots, will be required in order to mitigate the proposal's potential impacts to City parks and recreational facilities and programs, thereby complying with RMC 4-7-140 Parks and Open Space. The fee is payable to the City as specified by the Renton Municipal Code. Currently the fee is assessed at \$1,441.29 per new single family residence and will increase to \$1,887.94 on January 1, 2016. Credit will be given to the existing home to be demolished.

Page 14 of 20

Schools: The project site is located within the Renton School District (RSD), which encompasses approximately 35 square miles. It is anticipated that the RSD <u>can accommodate</u> any additional students generated by this proposal at the following schools: Talbot Hill Elementary (2300 Talbot Rd South, Renton), Dimmitt Middle School (12320 80th Avenue South, Seattle), and Renton High School (400 S 2nd St, Renton). RCW 58.17.110(2) provides that no subdivision be approved without making a written finding of adequate provision made for safe walking conditions for students who walk to and from school (Exhibit 28). Future students are designated to be transported to school via bus to and from Talbot Rd S & S 32nd St.

Not Met as Proposed

As part of the proposed project, sidewalks would not be constructed along shared driveways which connect to S 32nd PI where there is an existing sidewalk system along the south half of the street, along Smithers Ave S and S 32nd St to the bus stop. Lot 9 would utilize the shared driveway out to Talbot Rd S and the shoulder and sidewalk on the east part of the street to reach the bus stop. The number of trips anticipated to use the private street may impact the safe walking conditions for students. The substandard width within the access tracts (Tracts G & H) not only lack required width to function as a private street, but will also require retaining walls due to the slopes within the tracts. Under normal conditions there is an additional 6 feet of shoulder for school age children to walk. Therefore, the modified road section does not provide adequate provisions for safe walking conditions for students who walk to and from school and/or bus stops.

A School Impact Fee, based on <u>new</u> single family lots, will also be required in order to mitigate the proposal's potential impacts to the Renton School District. The fee is payable to the City as specified by the Renton Municipal Code at the time of building permit application. Currently, the 2015 fee is assessed at \$5,541.00 per new single family residence.

Storm Water: An adequate drainage system shall be provided for the proper drainage of all surface water.

Compliant if Conditions of Approval Met Staff Comment: The site is located in part of the Black River Basin. Runoff from the site is split with approximately the easterly 150' draining overland towards the wetland along the east boundary. The remainder of the site sheet flows in a westerly direction ultimately entering the swale along the east side of Talbot Road. Based on the City's flow control map, this site falls within the Flow Control Duration Standard, Forested Condition and requires a flow control facility sized to match the flow duration of forested conditions. The standard requires the site to match the durations from 50% of the two-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow, and also match developed peak discharge rates to pre-developed peak discharge rates for the 2-year, 10-year and 100-year return periods. The output models place the required detention volume as 24,300 cubic feet of storage (10' by 40' vault with an active storage depth of 12 feet). The applicant's engineer has designed a detention vault to be located in a tract in the westerly portion of the site and would discharge to the existing conveyance system on the east side of Talbot Road S. Flows continue southerly in the roadside drainage system, approximately 250 feet, where they turn and flow west through a 21" culvert to the valley floor and Springbrook Creek. The westerly portion of the access road from Talbot Rd S bypasses the proposed treatment facility near the existing house. This would need to be addressed at final engineering review. Basic water quality treatment would be provided by "dead" storage within the vault.

The applicant submitted a Preliminary Technical Information Report (TIR), prepared by Land Development Advisors, LLC (dated December 2013; Exhibit 12). According to the TIR, the project is required to provide Level 2 Flow Control and Basic Water Quality treatment in accordance with the 2009 City of Renton Amendments to the King County Surface Water

Page 15 of 20

Manual, Chapter 1 and 2. This standard is typically adopted to mitigate stream erosion and is warranted so that downstream erosion is not exacerbated. The goal of the Basic Water Quality Treatment is 80% removal of total suspended solids (TSS) for a typical rainfall year, assuming typical pollutant concentrations in urban runoff. Appropriate Best Management Practices (BMPs) from the Washington State Department of Ecology Manual for individual lot flow control will be required to help mitigate the new runoff created by this development. Additional project BMPs are identified in the construction mitigation description and appropriate individual lot flow control BMPs will be required to help mitigate the new runoff created by this development (Exhibit 14).

A geotechnical report, dated May 27, 2014, was submitted by Geotech Consultants, Inc. (Exhibit 11). A SEPA mitigation measure (Exhibits 30 & 31) was imposed by the City's Environmental Review Committee (ERC), requiring that the project construction comply with the recommendations outlined in the submitted geotechnical report (or an updated report submitted at a later date). The geotechnical report indicates that approximately 2,060 cubic yards (2,370 TONS) of cut and 630 cubic yards of fill (725 TONS) would be required for the construction of required plat improvements and new single family residences. A Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control plan would be prepared with the final construction plans in order to prevent, to the maximum extent possible, the transport of sediment to downstream drainage systems, water resources and adjacent properties. Best Management Practices (BMPs) anticipated include clearing limit delineation, cover measures (straw, plastic, etc.), traffic area stabilization (rock construction entrance) and perimeter protection (silt fencing) in accordance with City of Renton requirements.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) mapped the subject property as being underlain by Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes. The NRCS describes Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes as rolling with irregularly shaped areas.

The proposed structures can be supported on conventional continuous and spread footings bearing on undisturbed, medium-dense, native soil, or on structural fill placed above this competent native soil. The onsite and groundwater conditions are not suitable for infiltration of runoff from impervious surfaces.

A Construction Stormwater General Permit from Department of Ecology will be required if grading and clearing of the site exceeds one acre. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is also required for this site.

Finally, the maintenance and operation of the proposed storm drainage facilities will be the responsibility of the City upon recording of the plat. Prior to approval and issuance of the construction permit application, the vault would be required to record a 12 to 15-foot wide utility access easement to the proposed stormwater tract (Tract D) for maintenance and operation of the utility.

Maintenance of the vegetation proposed in the wetland tract (Tract A) would remain the responsibility of the home owners within the subdivision, therefore staff recommends as a condition of approval that the applicant create a Home Owners Association ("HOA") that maintains all landscaping improvements in Tract A, and any other common amenities. A draft of the HOA shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Renton Project Manager and the City Attorney prior to final Plat recording. Such document shall be recorded concurrently with the Final Plat.

Water and Sanitary Sewer: The site is located in the Talbot Hill 350 hydraulic water pressure zone. There is an existing 8 inch water main in S 32nd Pl and there are 2 existing ¾ inch domestic water meters serving the existing homes. There is an 8 inch sewer main in S 32nd Pl

Hearing Date: July 14, 2015 Page 16 of 20

(Winsper) and an 8 inch sewer main located near the northwest of the site. Development fees would apply to new homes and credit would be given to the existing home.

New hydrants shall be installed per Renton's fire department standards to provide the required coverage of all lots. All plats shall provide separate water service stubs and separate side sewer stubs to each building lot prior to recording of the plat. System development charges (SDC) for sewer are payable at the time the utility construction permit is issued.

22. Whenever there is practical difficulties involved in carrying out the provisions of this Title IV, the applicant may request a modification of the standards provided the Criteria for modification identified in RMC 4-9-250D.2 is satisfied:

Modification Criteria:

a. Substantially implements the policy direction of the policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Element and the Community Design Element and the proposed modification is the minimum adjustment necessary to implement these policies and objectives.

The applicant has requested to modify private street width requirements, as described in RMC 4-6-060J, for the proposed 9-lot subdivision on a 2.3-acre property addressed as 3106 and 3112 Talbot Road South also known as Tax Lot 28 of Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (*Exhibit 34*). The property is presently accessed from Talbot Road South, via a shared driveway. This driveway slopes steeply from east to west, and could not be used to access the number of lots proposed without major engineering revisions to the slope and road. There are two existing 24-foot wide tracts (Tract G and H) in the abutting residential plat to the south, Winsper Division No. 1. These tracts are indicated on the recorded plat as being for future ingress, egress, and utilities only to Tax Lot 28, owned and maintained by Winsper Community Organization until deeded to Tax Lot No. 28 at no cost when development of Tax Lot 28 is approved, which requires the use of Tract G and H.

The applicant is requesting a modification from the City of Renton standards in order to utilize Tract G and Tract H as a private street access to the proposed subdivision of Tax Lot 28. The proposal would be to utilize the existing 24-wide access easements (Tract G and H) to serve up to four (4) residential lots from Tract G and another four (4) lots from Tract H. This would be a reduction of two (2) feet from the private street width standard specified in Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060J. The applicant contends that the existing 12 feet of access off of Talbot Rd and the steeper grades along the current driveway are not feasible within the constraints of Renton's code. The existing tracts were created under King County and subsequently annexed into the City of Renton, irrespective of how the two codes would correlate. Given the proximity to the adjacent houses and their side yard setback limits, there is no additional area for adding or acquiring the additional width that could be used to meet the minimum twenty six foot (26') easement width required by RMC 4-6-060J.2.

Prior to the benefit of a public process, it was indicted to the applicant that a request to reduce the private street standard to allow for a width of 24 feet could be supported by staff (*Exhibit 35*).

As part of the public notification process, the City of Renton received an abundance of public feedback from property owners within the Winsper Division I Plat and the surrounding neighborhood(s) (*Exhibits 18, 20-22, 36, 38, 40, and 42; Staff's response includes Exhibits 19, 37, 39, 41, and 43*). The primary concern identified through letters and emails has consistently been vehicle access through the two 24-foot wide access tracts (Tract G and Tract H) and the associated safety concerns from new vehicle traffic generated as a result of the proposed land development. See attached letter for additional concerns and comments.

During the preliminary plat review process, the City of Renton identified and explored alternative plat options for access to the plat, whether from Talbot Rd S or through Tracts G and H, communication was

Page 17 of 20

provided via an on hold notice letter from the City of Renton Planning Department (*Exhibit 31*). As part of the City's preliminary review, staff found that the submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC (maintains the right to develop property based on the ordinances existing at the time of the application), has respectfully requested that the preliminary plat application be brought forward to a public hearing as originally submitted and accepted on August 25, 2014 (*Exhibit 32*).

Staff has reviewed the applicant's proposal and finds the proposed modification does not substantially implement the policy direction of the policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Element and the Community Design Element as identified in the Conclusions – Preliminary Plat Review Criteria J.1 below. Staff is not supportive of the reduced private street standard requested as part of the proposed preliminary plat application.

b. Will meet the objectives and safety, function, appearance, environmental protection and maintainability intended by the Code requirements, based upon sound engineering judgment.

The applicant is proposing a modification from the minimum twenty six foot (26') wide easement required by RMC 4-6-060J.2 in order to construct two 20-foot wide paved private streets that would serve four lots from each of the existing 24-foot wide tracts (Tract G and H) within Winsper Division No. 1 subdivision.

Road improvements that would include two 10-foot wide paved lanes with vertical curb and gutter through each tract with retaining walls along the eastern sides of both access roads. The eastern most access has a slope in excess of fifteen percent (15%) and requires a minimum of 1.5 feet of clear zone between the back of the curb and the face of the retaining wall. The construction of the retaining walls proposed for both accesses would require temporary construction easements to be obtained from the adjoining property owners. Nevertheless, the temporary construction easements may not be feasible due to the close proximity of other private existing structures located in areas where the retaining walls would need to be constructed.

As part of the City's preliminary review, staff has found that the submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. With no alternative proposal, staff finds that there are not appropriate provisions made for public health and safety and sufficient access (WAC 58-17-110), due to the lack of sufficient width within the tracts, the proximity of the existing homes to the proposed new roadway and the lack a sufficient setback distance from the private street, and the direct correlation between the number of homes and the number of trips anticipated to utilize the proposed access tracts.

Based on this finding the Environmental Review Committee issued a SEPA mitigation measure that required the applicant to provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access road would meet the minimum necessary width to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles, as required by RCW 58-17-110.

The applicant has not provided sufficient justification to show that the reduction to the minimum street standards of the code would provide reasonable safety, function, appearance, environmental protection and maintainability intended by the Code requirements. At this time, staff has determined that the construction of a private street through a substandard access easement would result in a detriment to public safety as it pertains to the existing constructed homes at 618, 624, 652, and 700 S 32nd Pl and any future vehicles that may utilize the proposed private street.

c. Will not be injurious to other property(ies) in the vicinity

See Modification Request - Modification Criteria b.

Page 18 of 20

d. Conforms to the intent and purpose of the Code

Despite the functional classification of the road, it is the purpose of the street standard section of code to establish design standards and development requirements for street improvements to ensure reasonable and safe access to public and private properties. These improvements include, but are not limited to, appropriately scaled sidewalks related to the urban context, a range of landscape buffers, curbs, gutters, street paving, monumentation, signage, and lighting, to be developed with complete streets principles. Complete streets principles are to plan, design, and operate streets to enable safe and convenient access and travel for all users including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and people of all ages and abilities, as well as freight and motor vehicle drivers, and to foster a sense of place in the public realm with attractive design amenities (RMC 4-6-060A).

Staff has reviewed the applicant's proposal and finds the proposed modification does not meet the intent and purpose of the code. The Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tract G and Tract H) have sufficient width to be developed as a shared driveway. A shared private driveway is used for access up to a maximum of four (4) lots. Of those 4 lots, up to three (3) of the lots may use the driveway as primary access for emergencies. The remainder of the lots must have physical frontage along a street for primary and emergency access and shall only be allowed vehicular access from the shared private driveway. The private access easement would be a minimum of sixteen feet (16') in width, with a maximum of twelve feet (12') paved driveway (the Fire Department may require the tract and paved surface to be up to twenty feet (20') wide). The project lacks sufficient public street access that would provide for primary access for emergencies; therefore, staff recommends that the applicant follow the shared driveway street standard and limit the number of lots served to no more than two (2) lots per Tract G and Tract H .

e. Can be shown to be justified and required for the use and situation intended; and

Given that the Tax Lot 28 has two existing 24-foot wide dedicated ingress/egress easement tracts through the Winsper Division I Plat, staff finds that with ample mitigation there is sufficient width within the tracts to provide a shared driveway to access a reduced number of lots from S 32nd Place.

Within the existing tracts (Tract G and H), staff recommends two 6-foot wide paved lanes with vertical curb and gutter through each tract with fencing for screening, mature landscaping, and retaining walls along the eastern sides of both access roads. Once onsite (within Tracts B & C) the fire department apparatus access roadways would be required to be widened to a minimum of 20-feet wide fully paved, with 25-feet inside and 45-feet outside turning radius. Fire access roadways shall be constructed to support a 30-ton vehicle with 75-psi point loading. Access is required within 150-feet of all points on the buildings and a dedicated hammerhead turnaround or cul-de-sac would be required if the road has a length from 150 feet to 300 feet.

f. Will not create adverse impacts to other property(ies) in the vicinity.

See Modification Request - Modification Criteria b.

I. CONCLUSIONS:

- The proposal is not compliant with all Comprehensive Plan Land Use and Community Design Element
 policies, as the project design does not meet basic development standards for privacy and quality of life
 for existing residents.
- 2. The proposal is not compliant will all Preliminary Plat Review Criteria. The proposed street system would need to comply with RMC 4-6-060K and comply with the safe walking conditions for students who walk to and from school.

Page 19 of 20

- 3. The proposal is not compliant or consistent with City of Renton plans, policies, regulations and approvals and would result in a substantial or undue adverse effect on adjacent properties due to lack of efficient access and circulation for all users.
- 4. The submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. With no alternative proposal, staff finds that there are not appropriate provisions made for public health and safety and sufficient access (RCW 58-17-110).
- 5. The proposed development would generate long term harmful or unhealthy conditions without complying with shared driveway standards (RMC 4-6-060K).
- 6. The proposed location is suited for the residential use.
- 7. Adequate parking for the proposed can be provided.
- 8. The proposal does not satisfy 6 of the 6 criteria listed in RMC 4-9-250D.2 for approval of modifications.

K. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Staff recommends denial of the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat, LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD (as depicted in *Exhibit 4*), and that the related request to modify the private street standards also be denied.

In the event the Hearing Examiner elects to approve the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat and the street modification request, staff recommends the following conditions:

- The applicant shall comply with the mitigation measure issued as part of the Determination of Nonsignificance-Mitigated, review by the Environmental Review Committee (ERC) on May 18, 2015 (Exhibits 30 & 31).
 - a. Project construction shall be required to comply with the recommendations found in the Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. dated May 27, 2014 or an updated report submitted at a later date.
 - b. The applicant shall plant all trees that are within the 50-foot standard wetland buffer by hand and without heavy machinery. To the greatest extent feasible, these trees should be planted in areas where invasive species are present. A tree planting plan shall be provided to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to construction permit issuance.
 - c. The applicant shall provide a minimum 12 to 15-foot wide utility access easement to the stormwater tract (Tract D) for maintenance and operation of the utility. The easement shall be recorded and documentation provided to the City prior to approval the issuance of the construction permit application.
 - d. The applicant shall provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access roads shall meet the minimum necessary to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles.
- 2. The applicant shall obtain a demolition permit and complete all required inspections for the removal of the existing single family residence at 3112 Talbot Road South prior to Final Plat recording.
- 3. The applicant shall be limited to a maximum of two (2) lots to be served from each ingress/egress tract from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H).

Page 20 of 20

- 4. The applicant shall provide a minimum of 16 trees within the front yards of all approved lots. A final detailed landscape plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Current Planning Project Manager prior to construction permit issuance.
- 5. In addition to any retaining walls required within Tract G and Tract H, the applicant shall provide a privacy fence and mature landscaping between the paved roadway (and vertical curb) and the property line(s). A final access road cross section and landscape plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Current Planning Project Manager prior to construction permit issuance.
- 6. The applicant shall provide a dedicated hammerhead turnaround or cul-de-sac at the terminus (Tract B and Tract C) of the ingress/egress road from S 32 Place. The hammerhead turnaround shall have a design approved by the Current Planning Project Manager and Fire and Emergency Services.
- 7. The applicant shall submit a street lighting plan at the time of construction permit review for review and approval by the City's Plan Reviewer.
- 8. The applicant shall create a Home Owners Association ("HOA") that maintains all landscaping improvements in Tract "A", all maintenance and repairs of the shared driveway access roads and tracts (Tracts B, C, G and H) and any and all other common improvements. A draft of the HOA documents shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Renton Project Manager and the City Attorney prior to Final Plat recording. Such document shall be recorded concurrently with the Final Plat.
- 9. The applicant shall install a wood, split-rail fence with wetland signage along the west boundary of Category 2 wetland buffer. The fence (with signage) shall be constructed prior to recording the final plat. A requirement to maintain the fence by a Homeowners' Association shall be placed on the face of the plat.
- 10. The applicant shall be required to obtain a temporary construction easement for all work conducted outside of the applicant's property. The temporary construction easement shall be submitted to the City prior to any permits being issued.



EXHIBITS

Project Name: Valley Vue Preliminary Plat		Project Number: LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD	
Date of Hearing	Staff Contact	Project Contact	Project Location
7/14/15	Clark H. Close	Jon Nelson Land Development Advisors, LLC 12865 SE 47th PL Bellevue, WA 98006	3106 and 3112 Talbot Road S Renton, WA 98055

The following exhibits were entered into the record:

	minore train anticipa into the record,
Exhibit 1	ERC Report
Exhibit 2	Neighborhood Map
Exhibit 3	Winsper Division 1 Final Plat (Sheets 1 through 4)
Exhibit 4	Valley Vue Overall Preliminary Plat Plan (Sheets 1 through 3)
Exhibit 5	Valley Vue Preliminary Plat Plan
Exhibit 6	Topographic / Boundary Survey Map (Sheets 1 and 2)
Exhibit 7	Grading and Drainage Plan
Exhibit 8	Sanitary Sewer and Water Plan
Exhibit 9	Tree Cutting and Land Clearing Plan
Exhibit 10	Tree Retention-Replacement Plan (L1.0, L1.1, and L1.2)
Exhibit 11	Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. (dated May 27, 2014)
Exhibit 12	Preliminary Technical Information Report ("TIR") prepared by Land Development Advisors, LLC (dated December, 2013)
Exhibit 13	Critical Areas Study prepared by Acre Environmental Consulting, LLC (dated September 4, 2013)
Exhibit 14	Construction Mitigation Description
Exhibit 15	Fire Truck Access Exhibit – Figure 1
Exhibit 16	Roadway Easement (Recording No. 5705702)
Exhibit 17	Mutual Releases of Easement (Recording Nos. 20140627001668, 20140627001669, and 20140627001670)
Exhibit 18	Public Comment Letters: Dalen; Klaas; Klaas Schultz; Perteet; Smith
Exhibit 19	Staff Response to Public Comment Letter: Dalen; Klaas; Klaas Schultz; Perteet; Smith
Exhibit 20	Public Comment Email to Chief Peterson (received by CED on August 19, 2014): Klass
Exhibit 21	Letter to Mr. Bob Ferguson, Attorney General (received August 25, 2014): Klass
Exhibit 22	Letter to Dennis Law, City of Renton Mayor (received August 28, 2014): Klaas
Exhibit 23	Letter to Dennis Law, City of Renton Mayor (received September 9, 2014) – includes signatures, a letter to Jay Covington, Chief Administrative Officer of Renton Mayor and a letter to Chip Vincent, CED Administrator
Exhibit 24	Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition (Land Use 210, pages 295-321)
Exhibit 25	Advisory Notes to Applicant
Exhibit 26:	Report to the Hearing Examiner
Exhibit 27:	Notice of Complete Application
Exhibit 28:	Renton School District's Capacity Response Letter
Exhibit 29:	Environmental Review Committee (ERC) Staff Report (dated June 18, 2015)
Exhibit 30:	Environmental "SEPA" Determination, ERC Mitigation Measures and Advisory Notes

Exhibit 31:	"On Hold" Notice Letter (dated October 1, 2014)
Exhibit 32:	Applicant's Letter for a Request for Continuation (dated April 15, 2015)
Exhibit 33:	"Off Hold" Notice Letter (dated April 27, 2015)
Exhibit 34:	Street Modification Request
Exhibit 35:	Street Modification Request Response
Exhibit 36:	Public Comment Letter from Winsper Community HOA (20 signatures)
Exhibit 37:	Staff Response to Winsper Community HOA
Exhibit 38:	Public Comment Letters: Klaas Schultz
Exhibit 39:	Staff Response to Public Comment Letters: Klaas Schultz
Exhibit 40:	Public Comment Letter: Gangwish
Exhibit 41:	Staff Response to Public Comment Letter: Gangwish
Exhibit 42:	Public Comment Letter: Klaas
Exhibit 43:	Staff Response to Public Comment Letter: Klaas



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

ERC Report 14-001040



ENVIRONMENTA	AL REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT	Entire Document
ERC MEETING DATE:	May 18, 2015	Available Upon Reques
Project Name:	Valley Vue Preliminary Plat	
Project Number:	LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD	
Project Manager:	Clark H. Close, Associate Planner	
Owners/Applicant:	Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake Samn 98008	namish PKWY SE, Bellevue, WA
Contact:	Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors, LLC, 128 98006	65 SE 47 th PL, Bellevue, WA
Project Location:	3106 and 3112 Talbot Road S, Renton, WA 98055	
Project Summary:	The applicant is requesting SEPA Environmental approval for a 9-lot subdivision. The 2.3-acr Residential-8 dwelling units per net acre (du/ac) two single family residences (3106 and 3112 Tall parcel that gain access to the site from Talbot F Black River Basin.	re site is located within the zoning classification. There are not Road South) located on this
	The single family house located at 3106 is connect would remain and be incorporated into the subditionated at 3112 is on a septic system and would nine (9) residential lots (8 new + the remaining si in a density of 4.23 du/ac. Residential lot sizes rawith an average lot size of 7,954 sf. In addition to tracts are proposed for access roads, sensitive are The eight (8) new residential lots would be served Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two dedicareas of 24 feet in width through the development 142 significant trees on the site and the applicational trees. A detention vault in the westerly within Tract D which would discharge into the exist east side of Talbot Road. The applicant has submitted a Critical Areas Information Report, and a Geotechnical Engineerical The site contains a Category 2 westered in the few	vision as Lot 9, while the house d be demolished. Together the ngle family house) would result ange from 4,502 sf to 18,169 sf to the 9 residential lots, four (4) eas, and stormwater detention. It deforms winsper Division No. 1 cated ingress/egress easement ent on \$ 32nd Place. There are cant is proposing to retain 27 portion of the site is proposed sting conveyance system on the Report, Preliminary Technical ng Report with the application.
	The site contains a Category 2 wetland in the far impacts to critical areas onsite are proposed ar average roughly 6%.	eastern portion of the site. No
te Area:	99,994 SF (2.3 acres)	
TAFF ECOMMENDATION:	Staff Recommends that the Environmental Determina EXHIBIT 1	Review Committee issue a

WASHINGTON CITY OF RENTON ACET NUMBER AND X-001 DANGARY, 2013 S GAOR TO BLAT SITE BOTE Project Management 12805 SE 47th Prace Beitsville, WA BECOS ASS 466-5703 NALLEY VUE (ANY) вур ногрімез' ггс AME AME WEDNOM LOSTON FOR NEUTRON IN ARCH NEIGHBORHOOD DETAIL MAP SEC. 30, TWP. 23N, RGE. 5E W.M. S

WINSPER DIVISION I

144/92

A POR OF THE SW 1/4 OF SEC 29 AND THE SE 1/4 OF SEC 30, T 23 N, R 5E, W.M. KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

DEDICATION

DEDICAL DIVIN

**NOW ALL PEOPLE BY THESE PRESENTS THAT WE THE UNDERSTONED OWNERS OF INTEREST IN THE LAND HERRBY SUBDIVICED, MERRBY DECLARE THIS PLAT TO BE THE GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION OF THE SUBDIVISION MADE MERRBY AND DO HERBBY DECICALE TO THE USE OF THE PUBLIC FRENCES AND ADD. HERBBY AS PRIVATE MERCH AND COLICATE THE USE THEREOF FOR ALL PUBLIC PURPOSES NOT AS PRIVATE MERCH AND COLICATE THE USE THEREOF FOR ALL PUBLIC PURPOSES NOT MERCH WITH THE USE THEREOF FOR BALL PUBLIC PUBLIC PUBLIC PROPERTY AND FILLS UPON THE CLISS SHOWN MERCHANDERS NOT MERCHANDERS AND ADDITIONAL PRESENCE THE ADDITION OF AND STREETS AND AMENICAL AND THE PUBLIC PUBLIC ALL THE EASEMENTS, AND THAT'S SHOWN OF THIS PLAT FOR ALL PUBLIC PUBLIC ALL THE EASEMENTS, AND THAT'S BUILT OF LINITED TO PARKS. OPEN SPECIFICALLY LORD ITTERS OF DAMINACTION, AND STATES AND ST

FURTHER, THE UNDERSTANCE OWNERS OF THE LAMP MERERY SUBDIVIDES MALVE FOR THEMSELVES, THETH HEIRE AND ASSEMBLAND AND REPORT SUBDIVIDES MALVE FOR THEMSELVES, THETH HEIRE AND ASSEMBLAND AND REPORT OF CHANGES THAT CHANGE CONTINUE CLAIMS FOR OWNERS AND CONTINUE CONSTRUCTION OF MAJUTENANCE OF ROADS AND/OR DRIVINGS STREET STREET STREET OF THE CONTINUE CONTINUE OF THE CONTINUE CONTINUES OF THE CONTINUES

COUNTY TO DAMAGE, INCLUDING THE CAMP HERED'S UDDIVIDED LAREE FOR THEMSELVES. THEIR HERES AND ASSIGNS TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD KING COUNTY, ITS DESCRIBED AND ASSIGNS TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD KING COUNTY, ITS DESCRIBED AND ASSIGN AND ASSIGNED AS ASSIGNED AS INCLUDING THE COST OF COST AND ASSIGN AND ASSIGNED AS ASSIGNED.

IN WITHESS WHEREOF, HE HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR MANOS

CONNER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, A MASHINGTON COMPCRATION

By Savered mission

SCATTLE FIRST NATIONAL BENK, & NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION

or Salyone me Vine President

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

STATE OF MASHIMOTON) 50

ON THE TOTATOAL OF THE METALE OF MAINTAIN PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE HTTRE OF MAINTAIN PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE HTTRE OF MAINTAIN PERSONALLY APPEARED TO ME MIGHT TO BE TAKENDAY.

CHICAGO OF THE METALE OF MAINTAIN TO BE THE FREE AND VICINITIAN ACT AND DEED OF SAID COMPONATION THE USES MAIN DISPOSES THERETH MENTIONED AND ON DATH STATED THAT THE USES AND PURPOSES THERETH MENTIONED AND ON DATH STATED THAT THE WAS AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE SAID INSTRUMENT.

IN NITHERS WHEREOF I HAVE MEREUNIU SET MY HAND AND AFFIXED MY OFFICIAL SEAL THE DAY AND TEAR FIRST ABOVE WRITTEN

MOTARS FURLIC 16 AIR FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, RESTORM AT CHOCAGA, I Taking

STATE OF MASHINGTON)

ON THE 22 GAY OF FLOWING AND HE STATE OF VASHINGTON, PERSONALLY APPEARED THE CAPTURE AND THE CAPTURE AND THE CAPTURE AND THE CORPORATION THAT EARLIEFO THE FOREIGN AND THE CAPTURE AND ACKNOWLEGED SAID INSTRUMENT TO BE THE FREE AND VOLUNTARY ACT AND USED OF SAID CORPORATION FOR THE CAPTURE AND VOLUNTARY ACT AND USED OF SAID CORPORATION FOR THE CAPTURE AND VOLUNTARY ACT AND USED OF SAID CORPORATION FOR THE CAPTURE AND THE PERSON MENTIONED AND ON DATAS.

HE THE THE PROPERTY ABOVE HERELING SET WY HAND AND AFFIXED MY OFFICIAL SEAL THE CAY DAG FIRST ABOVE HOTTEN.



Elizabeth A. John - MacDinel Milliant Resident And Con the State De Mashington Resident at 15000000 WASHINGTON.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHERS QUARTER OF SECTION 29, AND THE SOUTHEAST OVARTER OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 23 HORTH, RANGE D EAST, M.M., I'M KING COUNTY, MASMINGTON, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION :

THENCE ALONG THE NOATH LINE OF SAID SOUTHHEST QUARTER, N 89'(8'43'E

THENCE S. 87'50'11' E. 117.85 FEET,

THENCE & STORISHT W. DRING FEET;

THENCE 5 10'02'00' E. 31.90 FEET;

THENCE S 32 (45 S1" E. 25.26 FEET: (HENCE & 19'02'28' G. 54 84 FEET:

THENCE 5 5'40'21' 6, 157.25 FEET,

THENCE H 68"06"40" W. 10 00 FEET,

THENCE S CH 53 20 W. HOUGH PEET TO A HOWA CH A NOW TENCHMY CORVEY

THENCE SOUTHWESTERS, YES SEET ALCHE SAID, NON-TANDER COPYE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 98949 HEL, THE ROUND AND OF WHICH BEARS SOUTH TO THADDRY A TENTAND AND COPYES SO TO 4 POINT OF A COMPOUND CHEVE.

THENCE CONTINUING SCUTTNESTERLY, 450 FEET ALONG AN ARC OF A CURVE TO THE LEFT. HAVING A RADIUS OF 384 CO. FEET. THROUGH A TETRRAL ANGLE 35 0770739. TO A POINT.

THENDE S HP22 OF " E. 48.00 FERT TO A POINT ON A NON-TANGENT CHAVE.

THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY HID FEET ELOND SAID NON-TANGENT CHAVE ID THE LEFT.
HAVING A RADIUS OF 346,00 FEET THE RADIUS POINT OF WHICH BEARS SHEZZ OF 6,
THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF OWSSIT TO A POINT OF HOW-TANGENCY.

THENCE D 1'93'20" M. 110'60, FEET ID THE BOUTHERLY LINE DE KING COUNTY SHERT PLAT NUMBER 377031 AS DETO UMBER RECORDING NUMBER TROZDASTRE

THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE'S 88 OF 34 W. 44.11 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST GUARGER OF SECTION 30.

THENCE ALONS BAID EAST LINE. 9 1'98 DE . 100-03 FEET TO THE BOUTH LINE OF THE NORTH 100 FEET OF THE COUNTRALE DELINE NORTHCAST CHARTER OF THE SOUTHFAST CHARTER OF MAID SECTION 100.

THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTH THE, H 8922 NA W. OT 63 FEET MORE ON LESS, TO THE EASTERLY BOUNDARY OF THAT PROPERTY CHARLES TO CHARLES E ACCO AND COMMITT MED WOOD HUSBAND AND WITE, OF OLEO SELTIMED LIGHT MEDDEMINA JANUARY TRUTOMINE

THENCE ALONG SHELEASTERLY BOUNCERY. N COMSE'AS W. 100 CS FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SCHIRL OF THE SCRIBERS DURREST CHEST CHESTER OF SAID SECTION 30,

THENCE CONTINUING ACOUR SAID EASTERLY MARDEN N 25 40 22 % 178.53 FEET 10 THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH MALE OF THE MORTH. MALE OF THE MORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE

THEMOCÍALONS MAID NORTH LINE, 3-68-31-57' E, 268-05 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST 250 FEET OF SAID NORDHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER

THENCE ALONG SAID NEUTTINE, N E 95 92' E. 391 79 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE MORTH 100 FEET CE SAID NORTHEAST GUARTER OF THE SOUTHFAST GUARTER

THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE: 0 89'36'18' 5 750 27 FEET TO THE CAS LINE OF TAID WORTHEAST CHARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST GLARTER.

THENCE ALCHG BAID EAST LINE, IS 1 55 62" E. 100 04 FEET TO THE POINT OF

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

NUMBERY CEPTIFY THAT THIS PLAT OF WINGSTE DIVISION: S SAFED ON A SURVEY OF SECTION 281-29 TOWNSHIP 23 WESTIF ANDE 5 EAST.

IN 1474 TALL COURSES AND OBSTANCES ARE SHOWN CHEMPETER TERREON, THE SAME THAT SAME COMMENTS AND COMMENT

ACTIT MADINIOSH PROFESSIONAL LAND DURVEYOR



25 4 ø





941 POWELL AVENUE S.W., SUITE 100 RENTON, WASHINGTON 98055 PHONE [206] 228-5628

JCB NO. Z58-C3-E82 DRAWN R WARD

SHEET I DF 4

226-66

WINSPER DIVISION I

144/93

A POR OF THE SW 1/4 OF SEC 29 AND THE SE 1/4 OF SEC 30, T 23 N, R 5E, W.M. KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

APPROVALS	
PARKS PLANNING AND RESOURCES DEPARTMEN	
EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS DAY OF	Mach 1081.0
CEVELCPHENT ENGINEER	
EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS ME. DAY OF	Noch 1884 0.
The Hay	
MEHAGER BUILDING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT O	JIVISION .
KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ASSESSMENTS	**
EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS 12 DAY OF	MARCH 1989 100
RING COUNTY ASSESSOR	DEPUTY KINS, COUNTY ASSESSED
ACCOUNT NUMBER	The state of the s
KINS COUNTY COUNCIL	
EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS / FF DAY OF	MARCH 1989 LEV
	CLERK OF THE COUNCIL

NOTES

SET 1/2" HEBAR AND CAPIESN INC. LE PIBBBI) AT ALL BLAR COUNCRE BOT ONCRETE NAIL IN CUBB AT THE SIDE LOT LINES PRODUCED TO AN INTERSECTION IT WITH CHEMPALINE.

WITH THE CUMB LINE

2 AN CASSESSIT IS NEEDED RESERVED FOR AND GRAFTED TO PUGET POWER. US NCCI
TELEPHONE CD. 121 CASE IV AND FOR MASHINGTON NATURAL BAS CC AND THESE
RESPECTIVE RESCRISSION AND ASSIGNED UNDER AND UPON THE EXTENSION TO SEET
PARALLEL WITH AND CORDINATION THROUGH SHEET REQUIRED OF ANY COLD IN WHICH TO
PARALLEL WITH AND CONSTRUCT. THROUGH SHEET REQUIRED OF ANY CONSTRUCT. THROUGH SHEET REQUIRED OF ANY CONSTRUCT. THROUGH SHEET PROPOSED OF SERVING HISS SUPERIOR AND THREE REPORTS COUNTY OF THE PURPOSE OF SERVING HISS SUPERIOR WITH THE SHEET STATE OF THE SERVING SHEET SH

3 SUBJECT TO A 10 FORD HIGE EAREMENT TO PUBLIC SOUND POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND/OR DISTRIBUTION/SYSTEM LYTHS 5 FEET ON EACH SIDE OF THE EACTLITIES AS COMSTRUCTED PER RECORDING NUMBER 7801120038

A. SUBJECT TO CITY OF RENTON ORGINANCE NO 3780 REGARDING ASSESSMENT FOR MAIER SERVICE PER RECORDING MUMBER SACORBOSCI

S. ALL TIES TO PENCES SHOWN AT 90" TO THE PROPERTY LINE.

5 DRAINAGE EASEMENTS WITH CPEN CHANNELS SHALL DE MAINTAINED AS CHEN. DASS LINED BRALES. IN MO CASE DWALL STEING, FILLING DE GREROUTING DE UN GRALE SE PERMITTED UNESS WITHIN APPROVALLIS SEMANDE DEVINE KING COUNTY DIVISIONS OF SURFACE WATCH MANAGEMENT AND DUILDING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

NATIVE GROWTH PROTECTION EASEMENT

STRUCTURES, FILL AND OBSTRÜCTIONS (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO DECKS, PATTOS CUTBULTURINGS, ON OPENHANGS SEYEMÉ IN INCRESI AND PROHIBITED SEYEMD THE DUILDING SETBACK LIME, AND MITHER 25 YEAR FOLOOD PLAING HE APPLICABLE), AND MITHEN THE MATTIVE SECURIT PROTECTION CASEMENT IST AS SHOWN

BEFORE AND DUPING THE COUPSE OF ANY GRADING, BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, OH DINER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY OF ALLOY SUBJECT TO THE MATIVE REGION PROTECTION EASTWHIT THE COMMING BUILDING RETHERN THE SASEMENT AND THE AREA OF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY MUST BE RENCED ON BENERALISE MARKED TO THE SALISFACTION OF ALMO COUNTY.

FINANCE DIRECTOR'S CERTIFICATE

I HEREOV CERTIFY THAT ALL PROPERTY TAXES ARE PAID, THAT THESE ARE NO DELYNOUGHT SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS CERTIFIED TO THIS OFFICE FOR COLLECTION AND THAT ALL SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS CERTIFIED TO THIS OFFICE FOR COLLECTION ON ANY OF THE PROPERTY HEREIN CONTAINED, DEDICATED AS STREETS, ALLEYS OF FUR OTHER PROPERTY HEREIN CONTAINED, DEDICATED AS STREETS, ALLEYS OF FUR OTHER PROPERTY HEREIN CONTAINED, DEDICATED AS STREETS, ALLEYS OF FUR OTHER

THIS 13 DAY OF MORELS

RECORDING CERTIFICATE 8903/4/032

FILED FOR RECORD AT THE REQUEST OF THE KING COURTY COUNCIL THIS 44 MARK. 19 59 4.0. AT 29 HANGES PAST 3 P. N. AND TEACH OF THE VIEW OF PLATE PAGES 92-95 - PECONOG OF VINC

VANE HAGGE


CAROLYN ANLEMAN

RESTRICTIONS


MOLDT OF PORTION OF A LOT IN THIS PEAT SHALL BE DIVIDED AND SOLD OR RESOLD OR GROUNDED OR TRANSFERRED INFOREST THE DAMERSHIP OF ANY PORTION OF THIS PLAT SHALL BE CESSITIVE THE SHEAT PROJUCED FOR THE USE DISTRICT IN WHICH LOCATED.

SINUSTURES, FILL ON OSSTRUCTIONS THACLUDING BHY NOT LIMITED TO DECKS. PAYLOG GUIDULGINGS, OR DYERMARGHI SHALL HOT BE PERMITTED OFFEND THE BUILDING SETBACK LINE OR MITTH'S CHAILAGE LANGUAGE AND CONTRACTION OF FENCING SHALL ON THE MATCH GARLIAGE LANGUAGE PROPERTY SUBJECT HOTS FILT AND UNLESS OTHERWISE SHALL HOS PORT HAS FILT AND UNLESS OTHERWISE SHALL PROPERTY SUBJECT OF THE PROPERTY SUBJE

ALL BUILDING DUNNSTORMS, FROUTHON CONTROL AND DRAING FROM ALL HOUSENINGS CLAFACES SUMMARY AND DRAING FROM ALL HOUSENINGS CLAFACES SUMMARY AND DRAING FROM ALL HOUSENINGS CONTROL OF THE APPROVED PERHAPON BURNINGS OF THE BURNINGS CONTROL AND LAND OFFICE AND APPROVED OFFICE AND APPROVED AND APPROVED PRICE OF THE APPROVED OF THE DRAING MAD APPROVED PRICE OF THE APPROVED OF THE DRAING MAD APPROVED PRICE OF THE APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COMMAND AND APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COPPLY WITH A SET PRIVE OF FILE WITH AND APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COPPLY WITH ASID PRIME OF FILE WITH AND APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COPPLY WITH ASID PRIME OF FILE WITH AND APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COPPLY WITH A SET PRIVE OF FILE WITH AND APPROVED ON A PROPERTY AND SHALL COPPLY WITH A SET PRIVE OF FILE WITH AND APPROVED ON THE APPROVED



BASIS OF BEARINGS ASSUMED







941 POWELL AVENUE S.W. SUITE 100 RENTON, WASHINGTON 88055 PHONE: [206] 228-5828

08 ND. 258 - 05 - 882 CATE

BALD FILE NO 1087-23

226-66A







PRELIMINARY HOA VALLEY


CHECKED BY NE 1/4, SE 1/4, SEC.30, TWP.23N., RGE.5E, W.M. 650 50, FT CITY OF RENTON, KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ACCESS TRACT B AND O TOCCHIET WIN THE ADJAMBAGE REPORTS TRACT B AND O TOCCHIET WIN THE ADJAMBAGE REPORTS TOWN DRAWAGE AND WITE A FAZILITY STATEMENT STORE DRAWAGE PROPOSED GENERAL TO MELLING TO PART SERVER AS A RUMAGE GRIPAL AS AN ACCESS THE ACT FOR ACCESS TO THE GENERAL AS AN ACCESS THE ACT FOR ACCESS TO THE GENERAL PROPOSED DRAWAGE (#-8) 7 723, 14 жжж.ахізгкар.согп 2.30 ACRES SHEET SELEC DATE (-) CRITICAL AREAS -- EEGOS SQ FT. 92,639 5g, FT. 1.99 ACRES F-8 RESIDENTIAL TRACT A DRAWN BY 3023655028 13 138 CH NO ERM SCALE UNITS/ACRE HET AREA. AXIS Survey & Mapping 13065 HE 126th PL Kirkland, Wa 08034 TEL 425.423-5700 Pax 455.825-8700 CLAND BY CHILD. AREAS BATTHS. LAKED BY CHILLARD BY CHILD. AND BY BY CHILD. AND BY BY CHILD. AND BY BY CHILD. BY FRIMATE, ACCESS ENABLED BY CODE. DENSITY PROPOSED & DENSITY PROMITTED BY CODE. TOTAL NUMBER OF PROPOSED LOIS: MCINITY MAP TOTAL AREA OF PROPOSED PLATE TAX PARCEL NUMBER; ¢. SITE PLAT ZONENG: TRACT B EASTWENT 440 THE TENS AND CHRISTISS THEREOF GRANTED TO PUGET SCUND POWER A LUGIT COMPANY TO THE PURPOSE OF AN DISTRICT THANSANGSON AND/DR ORTHEL DATCO ALLY 11, 1952. RECORDED WIDTH RECORDED FRANKEY PLANTALLY AND THE PUBLISHED WITH RECORDED TO THE PUBLISH AND THE PUBLISHED AND THE PUBLISH AND THE PUBLISHED AND THE PUB CASEMENT WAR INFORMATION TOWN NOW THEREOF FOR THE PRIPOSE OF A ROWENNY AND SECTION THE SOMITH OF THE THE STATE OF THE STAT ESSUNT AND THE TRUSS AND CONCURSES THEREOF GRANTER TO PURET SCHOOL FORKER A LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PROPRIES OF ALL RECEIPED UNIT AND ALL THE ESSON WHO PRINANCES, CATED NOT AND RECORDED MUCH RECORDED MUCH RECORDED MUCH RECORDED WITH RESPONDED GESTURIT NOW THE TRIBUS AND CROOTIONS INSERED FORWITGO TO WARHWOTCH MATURA. THE TRIB EMPIRE OF SHE PREDICTE DAMARY 4, 1901. RECEASED UNGER RECORDING PRICIOUZALZ, (FUJIED) EASARIN AND HE IBMS AND CANGINAIS DREEPE FOR THE PURPOSION A ROACHAY AFTENIO THE SOUTHERLY OF FEET OF SAW PREMISES, AND DIMOR PRIORERY, DATED MARCH 22, 1947, RECORDED UNDER RECORDING FABREORY, (NOTICE) U-9 PEFTAUS 10 GENERAL TAXES, SPECIAL TAXES, CHARGES AS WELL AS TERMS AND CEMBIDONS n જ UNITY LOCATIONS GROWN HETGER ARE RESTOR THESE CITES OF THE STREET STATES OF THE STREET SHREET UNITYS HAS 1071 FEED WERTEN WE DESTREET STATES THE STREET SHREET UNITYS HAS 1071 FEED WERTEN THE STREET STREET STATES AND STREET STATES OF THE STREET STREET STATES OF THE STREET STATES OF VOLTES. VOLTES. PRIMARY CROINER, PRANTS AND ACEESSBELL MENULE(1) POSTIDAIS MERE FILED MACAGERO INTERPRETATION OF STATUL (1995) SURREY TICHNERCES MACAGERO INTERPRETATION OF STATUL (1995) SURREY TICHNERCES THAT MERE NO DEFECT ACESSATION OF STATUL (1995) SURREY TICHNERCES WERE THE MICH THE MENULE POSTION OF SURFACE TICHNERCES WERE THE MICH THE MENULE POSTION OF SURFACE AND STATUS OF SURFACE AND SURFA RAD HOLDINGS LLC 6252 167TH AVE SE ZELLEVUE WA 96006 PER CHICAGO DILE COMPANY BILE REFERENCE HOMBER: 1355466. BAYED ARNE 21, 2013 AT 4:08 PM OVERALL PLAT PLAN LAND DEVELOMBRY ADVISURE, ILC. 12865, SE 47N PLACE. BELLEVIE, WASHINGTON SIBBE PHONE. (428) 466-3603. GORFACE. JUN W MELSON, P.E. ANS LAND SUNATING 13703 NE 1261H PE NIMCLAND, MASHNITTIN 18834-7723 PHORE: 4(15) 823-5763 CINTACT: MITCH EVANS, PLS RAD HOLDMIGS, LLC 6252 1677H ANY SE RELLEYJE, WASHAFOTON 98000 PHOWE, (726) 715—459 CZMIACE, ROHY DEES φ 10 CITY OF RENTON, WA 3E+E1.6PER: PRELIMINARY PLAT SURVEYOR. TEACT C 0 (6) • 0 (3) 00 EGENNIO A. FALLY CH. TRESCHIEF AND THE VERY LINE OF SALE SALEN NICH IS HOPEN INSTANT NICH IS TOOK THE TROUGH THE VACULATION CHARGE THE CAS THE VERY SALE TROUGH THE VACULATION OF THE CAS THE VERY SALE OF THE CAS THE VERY SALE OF TOGETHER WITH ALL EAGLENIN FOR BOULAND WIRE THE SCAUTH 12 TELL OF THE HORPY HOS THE FOR THE WEST 2DY THE POPULAN OF SAND MEDIANSCHALLING EAST OF THE THE RETAINS FOULD COMMY POOR NO BOU. EAGLET PORTICE LIVING WITHER THE ARENE ELESTREED MAN HAKEL. R.S. CHCW SKORT FLAT RECORDED UNICER KIND COUNTY RECORDING HUMBER 20020E2G50001 WOL. 1927PGS. 125 – 1756. The Portion of the Handle of TEST of the Nethelest Courter of the Soudiests The Nethelest of Test of Tomorpho of Test of the South of the Handle of Test of the Handle of Test of Tes CHCAGO TILE STATUTGEY WARRANT DEEL REF # 1352465-4, REC Z0136421692265. HELD RORFI BINZTOBE EAST BETWELK EAST LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST SECTION CURINER OF SECTION SQUINES OF REDGNO OF SURVEY RECORDED UNDER KING COLINIY RECORDING RUMBER 9208234/607 WAL. 87/PHD 1256. NOTORIA PARK NO. 1 PULT RECORDED URDER NING COUNTY RECORDING NUMBER 19780/3180851 VIX. 105/Pro. 95-97 NINSPEAE DIVIDEN I PLAT BECONDED UNDER HISO COUNTY RECORDING NUMBER 198853141032 VIZ., 144,PPS, 92,-93, CHOW RECEND OF SURVEY RECURDED UNDER KIND COUNTY RECORDING MONINGER 1898/102/99011 VA. 125/PG 79 TRACT D WGS SURVEY DATA WARRINGSE DESIGNATION, REATTEST WARDWENT IN CASE, (PER R2) WOS SURVEY DATA WARFACUSE DEUGRATION 3725 CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP (GELITRATED). ä DATE GRAPHIC SCALE T 20 BASIS OF BESSING a (Z ¥ ĦĠ. 41 2 1 17 10


150


VARIES




















CITY OF RENTON

WAS





CONCEPTUAL HOUSE AND DRIVEWAY LOCATION, LOT #7



SEC. 30, TWP. 23N, RGE. 5E W.M.

For EASH HAITS, MAJ WESTER WATRIN OF FAMILIESTENDE ADMICTET TO MITCH.

1. ACT BREAZE MCINCORCOPIES A MERALIO OF IF PICAPANTO BEFACES
FORDINGH TRANSF PROUPED RECOVERED FORCE SETAMBRIES ACTE ENEL BY ESS ATE ONLIGHT TOLIGNANT WHEN
FORDINGH FORCE, AS THE WE ON THIS PLAN.

CONCEPTUAL LOT LANDSCAPE PLAN

BOOK - \$103 KAL OS DAS - CHOLD NOW WARRANDS AND VALUE BOOKS AS BOOKS - BD

3 of 3 SHEETS



13256 Northeast 20th Street, Suite 16 Bellevue, Washington 98005 (425) 747-5618 FAX (425) 747-8561

May 27, 2014

JN 14177

RAD Holdings, LLC 1040 West Lake Sammamish Parkway Southeast Bellevue, Washington 98008

Attention: Rory Dees

via email: rorydees@hotmail.com

Subject:

Transmittal Letter - Geotechnical Engineering Study

Proposed Residential Development

3112 Talbot Road South Renton, Washington

Dear Mr. Dees:

We are pleased to present this geotechnical engineering report for the residential development to be constructed in Renton. The scope of our services consisted of exploring site surface and subsurface conditions, and then developing this report to provide recommendations for general earthwork and design criteria for foundations, retaining walls, and pavements. This work was authorized by your acceptance of our proposal, P-8823, dated September 6, 2013.

The attached report contains a discussion of the study and our recommendations. Please contact us if there are any questions regarding this report, or for further assistance during the design and construction phases of this project.

Respectfully submitted,

GEOTECH CONSULTANTS, INC.

Thor Christensen, P.E.

Senior Engineer

TRC/MRM: at

Entire Document Available Upon Request

EXHIBIT 11

PRELIMINARY TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT ("TIR")

Valley Vue

3106 Talbot Road South Renton, WA Parcel No. 3023059028

Prepared for: RAD Holdings, LLC 6252 167th Ave SE Bellevue, WA 98006

Prepared by:





December, 2013

Entire Document
Available Upon Request

RADX-001



CRITICAL AREAS STUDY FOR

RAD Holdings, LLC - 3112 Talbot Road

Tax Parcel No. 302305-9028

Acre Project #13039

Prepared By:

Acre Environmental Consulting, LLC. 17715 28th Ave. NE Lake Forest Park, WA 98155 (206) 450-7746

For:

RAD Holdings, LLC Attn. Rory Dees 6252 167th Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98006

September 4, 2013

Entire Document Available Upon Request

EXHIBIT 13

Proposed plat:

Valley Vue

Applicant:

Rory Dees, 1040 W. Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE, Bellevue, WA 98008

206 715-4559

APN:

3023059028

Requesting:

Submittal for Subdivision

Construction Mitigation Description:

Proposed construction dates: June 1, 2015 to September 30, 2015

Hours of operation: M-F 7 AM to 6 PM, Saturday 9 AM to 5 PM, Sunday no work

Proposed hauling/transportation routes: On the west end of the property: when accessible

Talbot Road South to Benson Drive S. Otherwise, out the access easements located along S. 32

Place to Smithers Ave S to S 32 Street to Talbot Road S to Benson Drive S.

Measures to control dust: Creating a section of quarry spall rock path for trucks to clear tires,

tire brushing, and water washing.

Special hours of operation: Not anticipated to be needed

Preliminary Traffic Control Plan: waived

MANAGER IN THE 12865 SE 47th Place Bellevus, WA 96006 425-466-5203 MANAGER RADXOOT DI MINE YOU 'S GVOH LOSTVI 9018 1730340 TALBOT HILL GOYS AND ADMOSTES ым ногымез' ггс THE MOST AND ASSESSED. FIRE TRUCK ACCESS EXHIBIT 100.03 J.07.57.10N GAS OP-0-1 GAS 12' EASEMENT FOR ROADWAY. THE N. LINE OF THE S. 106' GAS SEC. 30, TWP. 23N, RGE, SE, W.M. OF. IRE TRUCK TURNING RADII EDGE OF PAVEMENT TALBOT RDS. GAS **EXHIBIT 15** CRANT OF BOAD BASEMENT

705702

THE GRANTOR, Ella P. Dausgardner, to the extent of her interests and rights in and to the land hereindelow described does hereby grant an easement for readway purposes over the south 20 feet from the west to the east over the following described property:

That portion of the north 100 feet of the northeast on ter of the southeast quarter in Section 30; Township 23 North, Hange 50 K. W.H., in King County, Sushington; lying east of the following described line; beginning at a point on the north line of said subdivision which is north 89 33:32 year 1000 feet from the northeast corner thereof; thence south 1 52 12 west to the south line of said 100 feet.

RILA P. Baumgardner

STATE OF Washington	-			8 (E)	
STATE OF					
County of King	•,				
	bruary	A. D. 19 64	_, before me, th	e undersiane	d. a Notary
Public in and for the State of Hashington			mioned and my		
Ella P. Baumgardner					
that he Agned and sealed the said institute therein mentiothed of the final and official seal he		ny and year in this co		ži.	nd purposes
i la company		Notary Pasis Island	Rentor	1	on
1 (Acknowledgment by I	ndividual. Washing	on Title Insurance Com	pany, Form L 28)	1	

Progress of B. J. Harry and 12 1 sta



JOHNS MONROE EAS PAGE-001 OF 003 06/27/2014 14:59 KING COUNTY, UA

Return Address:

Robert D. Johns Johns Monroe Mitsunaga Kolouskova PLLC 1601 114th Avenue SE, Suite 110 Bellevue, WA 98004

EXCISE TAX NOT REQUIRED

King Co. Records Division

AUDITOR/RECORDER'S INDEXING FORM ORIGI

Document Title(s): MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT Grantors: RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and MYLES G. GILBERT RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and MYLES G. GILBERT Grantees: Documents 5705702 referenced: Legal Portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 Description: North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington (abbreviated) Additional legal is on of document. pages Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Numbers: 302305-9028, 302305-9011

MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT

RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and MYLES G. GILBERT enter into the following Mutual Release of Easement:

Recitals

A. On February 26, 1964, Ella P. Baumgardner executed a Grant of Road Easement over and across property she owned which granted a roadway easement over the south 20 feet from west to east over the following described property:

That portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington, lying easterly of the following described line: Beginning at a point on the north line of said subdivision, at a point on the north line of said subdivision which is north

MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT - 1

20140627001669

JOHNS MONROE EA PAGE-001 OF 004 06/27/2014 14:59 KING COUNTY, UA

ORIGINAL

Return Address:

Robert D. Johns

Johns Monroe Mitsunaga Kolouskova PLLC

1601 114th Avenue SE, Suite 110

Bellevue, WA 98004

EXCISE TAX NOT REQUIRED

King Ca. Becards Division

AUDITOR/RECORDER'S INDEXING FORM

Document Title(s): MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; TERRANCE W. Grantors: MACLEOD and KATHRYN J. MACLEOD, a marital community RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; TERRANCE W. Grantees: MACLEOD and KATHRYN J. MACLEOD, a marital community Documents 5705702 referenced: Legal Portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 Description: North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington (abbreviated) Additional legal is on of document. Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Numbers: 302305-9028; 302305-9029

MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT

RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and TERRANCE W. MACLEOD and KATHRYN J. MACLEOD, a marital community enter into the following Mutual Release of Easement:

Recitals

A. On February 26, 1964, Ella P. Baumgardner executed a Grant of Road Easement over and across property she owned which granted a roadway easement over the south 20 feet from west to east over the following described property:

That portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington, MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT - 1

20140627001670

ZU14U6Z/UU JOHNS MONROE EAS 7 PAGE-001 OF 004

Return Address:

Robert D. Johns

Johns Monroe Mitsunaga Kolouskova PLLC

1601 114th Avenue SE, Suite 110

Bellevue, WA 98004

EXCISE TAX NOT REQUIRED

King Co Persons Division

Deputy

AUDITOR/RECORDER'S INDEXING FORMORIGINAL

Document Title(s): MUTU			AL RELEASE OF EASEMENT				
Grantors:	RAI Was	AD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; SKINNERONE, LLC, a Vashington limited liability company.					
Grantees:	RAI Was	RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; SKINNERONE, LLC, a Washington limited liability company.					
Documents referenced:		5705702					
Legal Description: (abbreviated) Portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Town North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington					NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 rds of King County, Washington		
X Additional legal is on 2 pages		2	ofd	ocument.			
Assessor's Pr	operty	Tax Parce	VAccount Nu	mbers:	302305-9028; 302305-9033		

MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT

RAD HOLDINGS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and SKINNERONE, LLC, a Washington limited liability company enter into the following Mutual Release of Easement:

Recitals

A. On February 26, 1964, Ella P. Baumgardner executed a Grant of Road Easement over and across property she owned which granted a roadway easement over the south 20 feet from west to east over the following described property:

That portion of North 100 feet of the NE quarter of the SE quarter of Section 30, Township 23 North, Range 5 East, W.M., records of King County, Washington,

MUTUAL RELEASE OF EASEMENT - 1

Clark Close

From:

Dalen, Doug J <doug.j.dalen2@boeing.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 05, 2014 9:46 AM

To:

Clark Close

Subject:

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat / LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Good morning Clark,

I just wanted to get my written comments in prior to 9/8/14 to meet the deadline.
I just purchased a house at 721 S 31st St, Renton WA and found out that the property adjacent to my new home is likely to be wiped out and replaced with a bunch of new houses.

My concern is that when I bought the property, one of the big selling points to me was the beautiful treeline and the privacy it created in my backyard, which according to this Land Development proposal could be severely compromised—or even wiped out entirely. It states that the developer intends to retain 27 original trees. Is there a way to find out which ones as some of them are very big, and likely very old.

I am concerned about the destruction of all this landscaping, the shade the trees provide as well as the animals which live back there will be displaced causing them to invade surrounding areas and create potential traffic hazards. Also, I worry about what impact this will have on the property values surrounding the site.

I would like to be made a party of record on this matter and kept informed on any further developments and/or decisions made regarding this matter.

Thank you,

Doug Dalen 721 S 31st St, Renton, WA 98055

Clark Close

From: Sent:

Ginny <vklaas4@comcast.net> Tuesday, August 26, 2014 7:52 PM

To:

Jennifer T. Henning; Clark Close; Steve Lee; Vanessa Dolbee

Subject:

Valley Vue LUA14-001040

Recently the Winsper neighbors gathered to review the Valley Vue plan (LUA 14-001040). As discussed during my meeting with Clark on 8/8/2014, the neighbors feel the proposed plan falls short on a number of significant issues involving safety, access and drainage.

The neighbors discussed the following five items that do not meet City Codes;

- 1) The two access "private streets" coming off the Winsper Development. These proposed roads would be solely for the purpose of serving the four houses in Valley Vue behind the existing homes in Winsper. <u>City Code (4-6-060 J) states: Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way. None of the new lots will abut a public right of way. These new roads provide no service to the pre-existing homes in Winsper.</u>
- 2) City Code 4-6-060 J.2. states: Minimum Standards: Such private streets shall consist of a minimum of a twenty six foot (26') easement with a twenty foot (20') pavement width. The two easements indicated on the platt map are 24 feet wide and do not meet the minimum City Code standard.
- 3) Both proposed private roads are longer than 150 feet, and neither appears to have the required turn around for Fire and Emergency vehicles. Ordinance 5517 states that streets 150-300ft in length must have a dedicated hammerhead turnaround or cul-de-sac. I spoke to Fire Chief, Corey Thomas, last year and he indicated that this is an issue.
- 4) The front eastern edge of my driveway a long S 32nd Place is just over one foot from the access easement property line. This does not allow for the five foot required setback. I am terrified that a driver may mistake my driveway for the access road and run right into my house. There are no provisions for a safety/privacy barrier, planting strip, sidewalk or other buffer zone on my side of the easement.
- 5) The City of Renton memorandum date January 3, 2013, and substantiated in the City Codes "General Ordinances of the City of Renton (4-1-110A, and Ordinance 5676), as well as the King County Urban and Residential Zoning Document, requires a minimum sideyard-street setback of 15 feet. I wrote to Gerry Wasser about this in 2013 and he stated: "The required setbacks for Zone-8 are: Sideyards- 5 feet, except 15 feet for sideyards along a street or access easement."

Several of the neighbors have noted that neither of the easements are wide enough to accommodate a 15 foot sideyard-street setback from the existing houses, and still have

the space needed for a 20 foot road. Variances to accommodate a road for vehicle traffic would be dangerous to the residents of the abutting houses given the current five foot (or less) sideyard setback.

Ordinance 5517 and 4-6-060 specifically state that the codes are intended to establish design standards and development requirements for street improvements to insure reasonable and safe access to public and private properties. Allowing variances of these standards, compromises the integrity and value of the City Codes, and exposes the community to reckless hazards. Not having a basic safety element in street standards to protect pedestrians and homeowners sets a poor precedence and puts the public at risk. This places an unfair burden on the residents of Winsper.

The original Dees plan called for access off of Talbot Road, not off the Winsper easements. Frankly, given the numerous variances that would be required to gain access from the Winsper easements, and the multiple safety concerns, retaining the access to the property where it has always been off Talbot Road, seems the prudent choice and would require the least amount of variances. I know that Clark had indicated that the Talbot access was a problem because a "Private Road" can serve as access for a maximum of six lots, and that since the Valley Vue lot is 2.3 acre, six houses would not meet the minimum requirement to mitigate against "urban sprawl". Surely, City Code does not place a higher value on urban sprawl prevention, than it does on public safety.

I understand that at the 7/16/2014 Renton Planning Commission meeting a proposal was made to change the code for Private street standards because they are generally undesirable and do not provide elements such as sidewalks, landscaping, and create dead-end streets, which reduces connectivity in residential neighborhoods, and are maintenance issues. The proposal was to only allow private driveways and completely delete private roads from the City Code. The access easements off Winsper do appear to meet the current Code for a "shared driveway" which requires a minimum 16 foot easement and maximum 12 foot paved driveway, each of which could serve as primary emergency access for 3 homes.

In addition to the access issues, there are numerous drainage issues that the neighbors are concerned about. I wasn't surprised to see that the geotechnical report indicated that the drainage issues on the property were significant enough to require mitigation and disclosure to anyone purchasing one of the new lots. They also recommended that; ""Geotech Consultants, Inc. should be allowed to review the final development plans to verify that the recommendations presented in this report are adequately addressed in design....We recommend including this report, in its entirety, in the project contract documents. This report should also be provided to any future property owners so they will be aware of our findings and recommendations."

The owners of Winsper already know that there is a problem with drainage from this property, in fact, there is over a 32 foot drop from the rear of tract B (wetlands) to the rear of Tract C (storm drain). Due to the issues on the property, two houses in Winsper that abut the southern property line have already experienced flooding in yards and under the

homes due to excessive run off from that property. Many of us installed french drains and sump pumps at our own cost to mitigate the issue. My drainage system lies along the boundary between the east side of my property and the easement, and will likely be destroyed during development. With the increase of impervious surface, storm water runoff will no doubt increase is well. Please request that the new drainage system be installed along the property line to protect the Winsper homes from this increased flooding risk.

The project calls for a six inch curb-gutter for drainage along the access easements. Since the access will intersect an existing street, we would like the curb-gutter design to match the standards of the Winsper development (18 inch curb-gutter). This will also aid in drainage of excessive runoff in times of heavy rain, and aesthetically would be much more attractive. This is consistent with the Renton Community Design Element Goals purpose to improve the aesthetics and functionality of existing neighborhoods.

The Valley Vue Project Narrative states "current zoning is R8, 5445 square feet minimum per lot", yet two of the lots don't meet this minimum. (Lot 7- 4,796ft, and Lot 8- 4,502 ft.); these therefore do not meet the aforementioned standard. Once the required hammerhead turn around is incorporated into the plan, Lot 7 likely will not meet code. I propose that these two lots be combined into one lot so that they are similar to in character to all the other lots on the project (all other lots are 7,127-7,654 square feet).

The neighbors also discussed the disruption and general loss of peace and enjoyment during the peak summer months that construction would cause as heavy equipment, trucks, and building materials traveled through the neighborhood bringing noise and dust. The plan calls for working M-F plus Saturday. We request that the development NOT occur on the weekends. We would like to preserve our weekends for family and a sense of well-being.

Virginia Klaas, M.D.

Place

618 S 32nd

Renton Wa 98055

(425) 271-6760

Clark Close

From:

Mary Klaas-Schultz < mklaasschultz@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 03, 2014 9:36 AM

To:

Clark Close

Subject:

Valley Vue Proposal Concerns

I object to plan (LUA 14-001040) as proposed because it does not meet the Standard Codes adopted by the City of Renton. I understand that sometimes the Codes can offer a little variance to get a project developed, but think that this proposal is asking for to many adjustments at the expense of the surrounding community. Each time a waiver is granted it minimizes the value of Standard Codes and Regulations and sends the message that the rules can be negotiated. Frankly, I'm shocked to learn that the City helped coordinate this proposal with the developer. It is the people of Renton that pay the expense in sub-standard developments.

As proposed, it seems that the Valley Vue project would require the following adjustments to use the access routes proposed;

Private Streets:

- o Width should be 26 feet, not 24
- o Street-Side yard Setback should be 15 feet from each house, clearly not enough room as the access easements are only 24 feet.
- o Fire Turn around for streets longer than 150 feet, Turnarounds are at the end of a street, not the top. This is a basic safety element; I think the King County Fire Marshall would agree.
- Required houses to abut a public right-of-way, none of the new proposed house abut a public street.

In addition, I'm concerned with the proposed density with the known water and drainage issues on this parcel. Just because the parcel allows for R8, doesn't mean that it should be built out to the highest infill allowed, especially if you have to bend all the Codes to make it happen!

I do not object to the parcel being developed, but would like it to be developed with a plan that makes sense given the constraints of the parcel. It should also be developed within the boundaries of the standard codes that are in place to ensure the integrity of developments and the safety of the community.

Mary Klaas Schultz 618 S 32nd Place Renton, WA., 98055

Clark Close

From: Sent: Richard Perteet <cougar_rich@hotmail.com> Monday, September 08, 2014 11:26 AM

To:

Clark Close

Subject:

RE: Valley Vue Preliminary Plat - LUA14-001040

Clark, thanks for the rapid response to my request for more information.

I don't really have time to respond in depth but a few comments:

• The survey information is not stamped/signed by a registered land surveyor. An ALTA survey would be appropriate to identify any encroachments.

• There does not appear to be any pedestrian access (sidewalks). This is an undesirable design that appears to be dictated by the narrow access reserve from Winsper. I believe that pedestrian access should be part of every development.

- There are topographical features that will make the construction of the two access roads virtually impossible without encroaching onto the existing developed properties, especially the proposed easterly access. How high will walls be and how will they be constructed? What safety features will be included to protect vehicles, pedestrians, and adjacent housing. This should be addressed in the environmental documentation.
- The project narrative states that "Existing fire hydrants in Winspur are within the acceptable distances to serve the subdivision." The existing hydrants are not show on the plan, nor is there any justification for the statement.
- RMC states "Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way." None of these lots abut a public right-of-way.
- The project narrative states that no HOA will be required. There should be an HOA to provide for maintenance of the private roads and drainage systems, and possible participation in the existing Winsper HOA to offset impacts by new residents on the park-like setting maintained by Winsper residents.
- Applicant has prepared a soils report but relies on generalizations about the site's soils. Include the soils report for the site in the environmental documentation
- Street lighting should be required.
- It is pretty widely acknowledged that extensive underground mining took place in this area. The environmental documentation should include a discussion of this as it may impact the future homeowners.
- The discussion in the environmental checklist of "designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity" does not include the large areas in the adjacent Winsper subdivision. Those areas are maintained by the Winsper HOA, There needs to be a discussion of this resource and the potential for the proposed subdivision to contribute to its maintenance since their residents will obviously use these area.

Thanks Clark. As you can tell I put this together pretty quickly to meet this afternoon's deadline but I think I hit the high points. I will be unable to attend the scheduled public hearing. (BTW, it would be nice if all of the information in your attachments was available on-line).

Rich Perteet

From: <u>CClose@Rentonwa.gov</u>
To: <u>cougar_rich@hotmail.com</u>

Subject: RE: Valley Vue Preliminary Plat - LUA14-001040

Date: Mon, 8 Sep 2014 15:22:59 +0000

Mr. Perteet,

Thank you for your request regarding Valley Vue Preliminary Plat. Attached is some additional information about Valley Vue. The City of Renton Environmental Review Committee (ERC) has not made a determination on the submitted application. Once the staff report is complete and a determination has been made the document will be posted to our website at http://www.rentonwa.gov/business/default.aspx?id=5458

Please let me know if you need any additional information at this time. Comments based on the Notice of Application must be submitted in writing by 5:00 PM today.

http://www.rentonwa.gov/uploadedFiles/Business/CED/PLANNING FORMS/Valley%20Vue%20PP NOA 14-001040.pdf

Thanks again,

Clark H. Close

City of Renton - Current Planning

Associate Planner

From: Richard Perteet [mailto:cougar rich@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 06, 2014 3:32 PM

To: Clark Close

Subject: Valley Vue Preliminary Plat - LUA14-001040

Are there any more specific documents about the proposal other that what is shown on the map link from your web page? There does not seem to be any details of the development, the MDNS, etc. I would like to review the documentation (on line if possible).

Thanks,

Rich Perteet

734 S 32nd St

Renton 98055

Sent from Windows Mail

Clark Close

From:

Andrea <6gkmimi@comcast.net>

Sent:

Monday, September 01, 2014 10:03 AM

To:

Clark Close

Subject:

FW: Concerning Valley Vue Preliminary Plat (LUA14-001040)

From: Andrea [mailto:6gkmimi@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2014 2:42 PM

To: 'cclose@rentonwqa.gov'

Cc: 'Cvincent@Rentonwa.gov'; 'mpalmer@rentonwa.gov'

Subject: Concerning Valley Vue Preliminary Plat (LUA14-001040)

To Whom it May Concern:

As residents of 3111 Smithers Ave. S. in the Winsper Development, we are very concerned about the proposal the Valley Vue Development. It appears that a number of city codes that are currently in place would be waived so that the Valley Vue homes can be built. These include side yard setbacks, minimum easement width, emergency access and the requirement for two of the homes to abut a Public Right of Way. The codes were established for solid reasons and variances should not be easily granted.

Safety is a paramount concern. Not only would the current properties in Winsper, be dangerously close to the proposed road which would be required for Valley Vue, but would also be difficult for emergency vehicles to access without an appropriate turnaround.

I believe that the city needs to adhere to the current standards to protect public safety and to ensure confidence in the integrity of the planning process.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely,

Andrea and William Smith 425-254-1706

C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

September 8, 2014

Doug Dalen 721 S 31st St Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Mr. Dalen:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated September 5, 2014 wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

As a point of clarification, the City has yet to make a decision on the proposal. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

There are a variety of tree species on the Valley Vue site, including deciduous and evergreen trees. There are approximately 142 trees over 6 inches in diameter on the proposed land to be developed. After dead, diseased or dangerous trees, private access for street improvements, critical area deductions, and the minimum requirement to retain 30%, the applicant is proposing to maintain 27 trees of the original trees over 6 inches in diameter. The applicant is also proposing to plant 66 new trees (minimum required replacement trees) at 2" DBH. The proposed tree retention plan identifies nine (9) trees to be retained in the critical areas and buffers, four (4) within the Native Growth Protection Easement and 23 along or near the northern property line with the Victoria Park #4 Plat. See attached Tree Retention Worksheet and Proposed Tree Cutting/Land Clearing Plan for more information.

This matter was originally scheduled for a public hearing on October 7, 2014 at 12:00 p.m., Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. As a party of record, you will be notified when a new public hearing date is set.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please feel free to contact me at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

cc:

Clark H. Close Associate Planner

City of Renton Tree Retention Worksheet
Proposed Tree Cutting/Land Clearing Plan, Valley Vue Preliminary Plat LUA14-001040

File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Clark H. Clore

Denis Law

Mayor



September 2, 2014

Virginia Klaas 618 S 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Dear Mrs. Klaas:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated August 26, 2014 wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

As a point of clarification, the City has yet to make a decision on the proposal. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

The applicant is requesting a street modification, from the private street requirements found in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2) in order to allow access and utilization of the existing 24-foot private access tracts (Tract G and Tract H; APN 948575-0570) that were recorded in March 1989 under Winsper Division I Subdivision for future ingress, egress and utilities to Tax Lot No. 28 (the "Valley Vue" parcel). The modification from the twenty six foot (26') easement for private streets is being requested as a result of the two foot (2') deficit, from current Renton Municipal Code, found within Tracts G and H. The modification request for the private streets will included the minimum twenty foot (20') pavement width. Additionally, no sidewalks are required for private streets; however, drainage improvements pursuant to City Code are required. The City has the ability to approve the modification, approve with conditions, or deny the request.

Additional items identified in your email/letter include: fire emergency turnarounds; side yard setbacks along a street or access easement; street standards; public safety

concerns; drainage issues; curb-gutter design; zoning, density and minimum lot sizes; and project construction hours.

This matter is tentatively scheduled for a Public Hearing on October 7, 2014 at 12:00 p.m., Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. Please feel free to attend.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please feel free to contact me at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

cc:

File LUA14-001040, PP, ECF

Clark the Clan



September 3, 2014

Mary Klaas Schultz 618 S 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Ms. Klaas Schultz:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated September 3, 2014 wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

As a point of clarification, the City has yet to make a decision on the proposal. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

The applicant is requesting a street modification, from the private street requirements found in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2) in order to allow access and utilization of the existing 24-foot private access tracts (Tract G and Tract H; APN 948575-0570) that were recorded in March 1989 under Winsper Division I Subdivision for future ingress, egress and utilities to Tax Lot No. 28 (the "Valley Vue" parcel). The modification from the twenty six foot (26') easement for private streets is being requested as a result of the two foot (2') deficit, from current Renton Municipal Code, found within Tracts G and H. The modification request for the private streets will included the minimum twenty foot (20') pavement width. Additionally, no sidewalks are required for private streets; however, drainage improvements pursuant to City Code are required. The City has the ability to approve the modification, approve with conditions, or deny the request.

Additional items identified in your email/letter include: fire emergency turnarounds; side yard setbacks along a street or access easement; private street standards; and density.

This matter is tentatively scheduled for a Public Hearing on October 7, 2014 at 12:00 p.m., Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. Please feel free to attend.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please feel free to contact me at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

Clark the Clar

cc:

File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Denis Law Mayor



October 1, 2014

Community & Economic Development Department C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Richard Perteet 734 \$ 32nd St Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Mr. Perteet:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated September 8, 2014 wherein you raised several concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made by the City of Renton. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

The following comments are in response to an email sent to the City.

The survey information is not stamped/signed by a registered land surveyor. An ALTA survey would be appropriate to identify any encroachments.

A topographic boundary survey was completed by Mitch T.S. Evans, professional Land Surveyor of Axis Survey & Mapping of Kirkland, WA on August 28, 2013. Please see attached.

There does not appear to be any pedestrian access (sidewalks). This is an undesirable
design that appears to be dictated by the narrow access reserve from Winsper. I believe
that pedestrian access should be part of every development.

City street standards are subject to Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060. The City of Renton will plan for, design, and construct transportation projects to appropriately provide accommodations for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders of all ages and abilities, and freight and motor vehicles, including the incorporation of such facilities into transportation plans and programs. RMC 4-6-060F.2 Minimum Design Standards for Public Streets and Alleys requires sidewalks for the following functional classifications: Principal Arterial, Minor Arterial, Commercial-Mixed Use, Industrial, & Neighborhood Collector Arterial, Commercial-Mixed Use & Industrial Access, Residential Access, and Limited Residential Access. Alleys currently do not require sidewalks according to RMC. Sidewalks may be conditioned by the Hearing Examiner as part of the preliminary plat hearing process.

There are topographical features that will make the construction of the two access roads virtually impossible without encroaching onto the existing developed properties, especially the proposed easterly access. How high will walls be and how will they be constructed? What safety features will be included to protect vehicles, pedestrians, and adjacent housing. This should be addressed in the environmental documentation.

In order for improvements to be constructed within the two access roads or potentially "onto the existing developed properties" the City of Renton Hearing Examiner would have to grant the applicant a modification from the private street standard requirements identified in RMC 4-6-060J and the property owners would have to grant access rights to the developer. City Staff will likely not be supportive of the modification based on public comments received and due to the proximity of the proposed roads to existing residential development. Based on the Grading and Drainage Plan, the keystone retaining wall has a proposed maximum height of two feet (2') and the concrete retaining wall has a maximum height of four feet (4'). The applicant is proposing cement concrete vertical curb and gutter and a six foot (6') high fence, above the concrete retaining wall, on the east access only. These items are being addressed as part of the review process.

Staff has requested the applicant submit a revised street profile or "Access Road Section" to reflect a reduction in the road pavement that is compliant with Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060K. Proposed solutions that address public safety and screening are encouraged as part of the design and resubmittal process. Please note that staff will address public comment, during the course of the review, in order to mitigate the associated impacts between a new shared driveway and the existing homes within the Winsper Division 1 Subdivision.

The project narrative states that "Existing fire hydrants in Winsper are within the acceptable distances to serve the subdivision." The existing hydrants are not shown on the plan, nor is there any justification for the statement.

New hydrants shall be installed per Renton's fire department standards to provide the required coverage of all lots. A minimum of one fire hydrant is required within 300-feet of the proposed buildings and two hydrants if the fire flow goes up to 1,500 gpm. Existing fire hydrants can be counted toward the requirements as long as they meet current code including 5-inch storz fittings. A condition of approval of the proposed plat, due to existing steep grades on existing access roadways and proposed dead end streets, will be to have all proposed homes be equipped with approved residential fire sprinkler systems.

 RMC states "Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way." None of these lots abut a public right-of-way.

The applicant has requested a modification from Renton Municipal Code (RMC) to allow access through the existing tracts and is proposing to serve four (4) lots off of each access road. Staff has meet with the applicant to let them know that the existing access easements do not meet the required 26 foot width and would therefore not be compliant with RMC without a modification. Staff has placed the project on hold and requested the applicant

resubmit a plat plan that is compliant with the shared driveways standard of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060K, as this would fit within the existing access easement width of 24 feet and would not require the approval of a modification.

The project narrative states that no HOA will be required. There should be an HOA to
provide for maintenance of the private roads and drainage systems, and possible
participation in the existing Winsper HOA to offset impacts by new residents on the parklike setting maintained by Winsper residents.

Home Owners Associations are typically a condition of preliminary plat approval.

Applicant has prepared a soils report but relies on generalizations about the site's soils.
 Include the soils report for the site in the environmental documentation.

The applicant submitted a Geotechnical Engineering Study by Geotech Consultants, Inc. as part of the submitted materials. The scope of work consisted of exploring site surface and subsurface conditions, and then developing a report to provide recommendations for general earthwork and design criteria for foundations, retaining walls, and pavements. This information will be considered by The Environmental Review Committee before making a SEPA determination.

Street lighting should be required.

LED street lighting meeting City of Renton Standards is required.

 It is pretty widely acknowledged that extensive underground mining took place in this area. The environmental documentation should include a discussion of this as it may impact the future homeowners.

City of Renton COR Maps identifies high coalmine hazards roughly 2,250 feet north of the property and an unclassified coalmine hazard roughly 750 south of the subject property. The subsurface conditions were explored by Geotech Consultants, Inc. on May 21, 2014 with a small excavator. The four test pits found topsoil that had a thickness of about one foot. Below the topsoil, Test Pit 2 encountered loose to medium-dense silt with sand. Below this silt in Test Pit 2, and beneath the topsoil in the other explorations, loose to medium-dense silty sand with gravel was encountered. This material included pieces of dense silt in Test Pits 1 and 2. The silty sand with gravel became medium-dense at a depth of about 2 to 3 feet, and dense at a depth of about 4 to 7 feet. The dense silty sand with gravel extended to the maximum depth of the test pits, 6 to 8.8 feet below the surface. The Geotechnical Engineering Study would be made available to any future property owners so they will be aware of Geotech Consultants, Inc. findings and recommendations. A request for public records may be submitted to the City Clerks, City of Renton, 1055 S. Grady Way, Renton, WA 98057.

The discussion in the environmental checklist of "designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity" does not include the large areas in the

adjacent Winsper subdivision. Those areas are maintained by the Winsper HOA. There needs to be a discussion of this resource and the potential for the proposed subdivision to contribute to its maintenance since their residents will obviously use these area.

Staff will incorporate this comment into the overall review of the project.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please feel free to contact me at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

Topographic Boundary Survey Grading and Drainage Plan

Clark & Clin

c: File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD



September 2, 2014

Andrea and William Smith 3111 Smithers Ave S Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Smith:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated September 1, 2014 wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

As a point of clarification, the City has yet to make a decision on the proposal. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

The applicant is requesting a street modification, from the private street requirements found in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2) in order to allow access and utilization of the existing 24-foot private access tracts (Tract G and Tract H; APN 948575-0570) that were recorded in March 1989 under Winsper Division I Subdivision for future ingress, egress and utilities to Tax Lot No. 28 (the "Valley Vue" parcel). The modification from the twenty six foot (26') easement for private streets is being requested as a result of the two foot (2') deficit, from current Renton Municipal Code, found within Tracts G and H. The modification request for the private streets will included the minimum twenty foot (20') pavement width. Additionally, no sidewalks are required for private streets; however, drainage improvements pursuant to City Code are required. The City has the ability to approve the modification, approve with conditions, or deny the request.

This matter is tentatively scheduled for a Public Hearing on October 7, 2014 at 12:00 p.m., Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. Please feel free to attend.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please feel free to contact me at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

cc:

File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Clark H. Clon

Clark Close

EXHIBIT 20

From:

Chip Vincent

Sent:

Tuesday, August 19, 2014 11:27 AM

To: Cc:

Clark Close

Subject:

Vanessa Dolbee FW: Valley Vue

FYI.

From: Mark Peterson

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 10:55 AM

To: Chip Vincent

Subject: FW: Valley Vue

I received this over the weekend.

Mark Peterson Fire Chief/Emergency Services Administrator City of Renton Fire & Emergency Services Dept. 1055 S. Grady Way Renton, WA 98057 425.430.7083 mapeterson@rentonwa.gov



From: 'virginia klaas' [mailto:vklaas4@comcast.net]

Sent: Sunday, August 17, 2014 10:11

To: Mark Peterson

Cc: vklaas4@comcast.net Subject: Valley Vue

Fire Chief Peterson,

I am writing to you regarding an infill project being proposed behind my house in the Winsper development (Valley Vue project LUA14-001040). I previously had correspondence with Fire Chief Corey Thomas a year ago, however at that time the full project proposal had not yet been submitted and a project number had not been assigned. On August 1, 2014 a new application/proposal was filed. The new plan has a higher density development, and I am very concerned about the safety elements. I understand that this project is now being reviewed for emergency access and would ask that you consider some of my concerns when you review this project.

The proposal calls for eight new houses to be accessed from two -24 foot easements and developed into a private street/driveway from the Winsper development, both longer than 150 feet. The easement to Tract C (west) borders my property line and parallels my driveway on the west side. I am concerned because the proposal does not meet the minimum private road easement of 26 feet, or the minimum side yard-street set back of 15feet, which is the requirement in R8 zoning. This access is to have a 20 foot paved surface flanked with six inch gutters because the property has a drainage issue. The access abuts my entire eastern property line for 100 feet. As proposed, it would be within seven feet of my living room bay window, and two feet from the side of my backyard fence, before arriving at the new houses. The access than continues for an additional 76 feet, to solely serve the four house that are being proposed in Tract C. You may notice that the plans for this 176ft access street/driveway does not have the required turn around for emergency vehicles.

I am very concerned with the lack of setback from the paved vehicle path and my house. The angle of my driveway could easily be mistaken for this access by a vehicle. I am terrified that a car will run off the road right into my house! There is no planned planter strip, sidewalk, lighting or retaining wall on my side of the proposed "private street"!

My understanding from reviewing the Planning Code is that the Winsper easements can not meet code requirements for either a private street, or a private driveway. I am adamantly opposed to granting a variance on required setbacks, easements and fire access. Doing so degrades the integrity of the Codes and puts that public at risk. Public safety should not be sacrificed to prevent urban sprawl and support dense infill projects.

This parcel has been accessed off Talbot Road for over 40 years with an existing 20 foot access road. The developer suggested that the topography was to steep for fire access. However, the garbage truck has no problem making the hill, and the GeoTech report states that the lot has an average of six percent grade. In addition, it's the same grade/hill the Winsper development is on.

I would like to propose that from a safety stand point, it seems prudent to have the Talbot access serve as secondary fire access, and to develop the easements in Winsper as private drives, with 16 foot paved flanked by the style of gutters in the Winsper development, with keystone walls on each side to define the access and offer protection to the abutting homes.

Thank you for your consideration, please call me if you would like a yard tour, or have ideas that may address some of my concerns. I'm seriously wondering if I should sell my home of 20 years.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Virginia Klaas MD
618 S 32nd Place
Renton, Wa. 98055
vklaas4@comcast.net This email request originated from the following link: http://rentonwa.gov/fire/

Mr. Bob Ferguson, Attorney General PO Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100

August 21, 2014

RECEIVED

AUG 2 5 2014

CITY OF RENTON
PLANNING DIVISION

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

I am writing to ask for your support of the Renton Winsper Community request that no variances to access be allowed by the Renton Planning Department on the proposed Valley Vue project (LUA 14-001040). I understand that local planning decisions are not something usually reviewed by the Attorney General, however, a process that routinely allows variances of adopted municipal codes and development standards does not meet the public expectation that codes and regulations to protect them are in place, and are enforced.

Briefly, the parcel, zoned R8, is a 1.99 net acres (100 by 1,000 feet) lot with an approximately 76 foot drop in topography from the eastern wetland to Talbot Road on the west. This parcel is currently improved with two houses that have been accessed off Talbot Road with a private easement on the southern property line for over 50 years. The plan is to leave the existing western house on a .55 acre parcel, and develop eight new homes, behind the Winsper Development on the remaining 1.44 acres. These new homes will be accessed by two 24 foot easements which run between existing homes in the Winsper Community.

The proposed plan falls short on a number of significant issues involving safety, access and drainage and puts an inequitable burden on the Winsper Community. As presented, the project simply does not meet a number of City codes, including side yard setbacks, minimum easement width, emergency access and the requirement for two of the homes to abut a Public Right of Way. At only 24 feet wide, neither of the easements from Winsper meets the minimum 26 foot easement required to accommodate the planned "private street". However, it does appear the easements could meet the 16 foot easement requirement for a 12 foot paved "shared driveway", each of which could serve 3 houses. I went to City Hall to discuss these problems with the City Planner and was told that the developer would simply need to submit for a variance. I am dismayed that this is the proposal that was coordinated with the City planner and developer and I am appalled at the disregard for City Code.

The previous proposal had the development accessed off Talbot, but was changed to accommodate the density infill requirement. It's interesting to note that the City density calculation includes the entire 1.99 net acres, instead of the 1.44 acre which is really being developed. I have been told that the developer abandoned the existing southern access easement on 6/27/2014, just before submitting this latest proposal. I would hate to think this was an act of strategy collusion to get the project through, but really what other compelling reason could there be to abandon an easement that has served for over 50 years, before the other property is developed?

The front eastern edge of my driveway a long S 32nd Place is just over one foot from the access easement property line (see picture). The plan is to pave a 20 foot street with an additional 6 inch gutter on each side. A keystone wall is proposed for the east side, leaving about one foot on each side. This plan results in considerably less than the 15 foot street-side yard setback required in R8 zone. My living room bay window is about 7 feet from the proposed street. I am terrified that a driver may mistake my driveway for the access road and hit my house! There are no provisions for a safety/privacy

15127 LF - 12' SD

TW 167 0

SW 167 0

1 CB

EN TW

Excess under a property less statut America. Comment que de visuant serà financia de Missouri. En fait insurant que dessera les missouris que situat de comment que sera en la commentación de la commentac

\$18 \$ 32** Place

Say is

Drivewsy

barrier, planting strip, sidewalk or other buffer zone on my side. How can this possibly be considered good planning?

In closing, I would like to stress that I am not opposed to this property being developed or accessed off the Winsper Community. What I object to is the apparent indifference to the standards that are in place to protect public safety and development integrity. I have looked at the decision criteria used in granting discretionary variances and think that this proposal fails to meet criteria. Granted variances are to be minimal. Surely, the City of Renton does not place a higher value on urban sprawl prevention, than it does on public safety.

Private street standards were reviewed at the July 16, 2014 Renton Planning Commission meeting and a proposal to change the Code is in process. The staff report suggested that private streets are generally undesirable and do not provide elements such as sidewalks, landscaping, and create dead-end streets, which reduces connectivity in residential neighborhoods. They also noted that maintenance is an issue and often repairs are neglected. This could potentially be detrimental to the Winsper Community and our HOA dues would not cover repairs of a private street. I appeal to the State for support to ensure that the codes and regulations adopted to protect public safety are adhered to.

Sincerely,

Virginia Klaas, M.D. 618 S 32nd Place

Renton, WA 98055

(425) 272-6760

cc: Denis Law, Renton Mayor,

Virgina Ellas MD.

Charles Vincent, Director, Department of Community and Economic Development (CED)

Jennifer Henning, Director, CED, Planning Division

Vanessa Dolbee, Manager, CED, Planning Division

Marcie Palmer, Council Member, Planning and Development Committee

Don Persson, President, Renton City Planning Council

Renton Community Design Goals Amended

(09/19/11/partial list)

Purpose Statement: The purpose of the Community Design Element is to establish policies that set standards for high quality development, improve the aesthetics and functionality of existing neighborhoods and commercial areas, and guide the development of new neighborhoods that are part of a better community.

Recognizing that the exceptional quality of life in Renton is dependent upon a strong local economy, these policies are intended to further that economic health. They are based on the belief that a positive image and high quality development attracts more of the same.

Goals:

- 1. To raise the aesthetic quality of the City,
- 2. To strengthen the economy through high quality development, and
- 3. To ensure that a high quality of life is maintained as Renton evolves.

Goals that Conflict with proposed Valley Vue Development proposal:

Policy CD-16. Project design, including location of access and dimensions of yards and setbacks, should address privacy and quality of life on existing improved portions of sites. Rear and side yard setbacks should be maintained and not reduced to facilitate increased density.

Policy CD-17. Setbacks and other development standards should not be reduced on newly platted lots through modification or variance to facilitate increased density.

Policy CD-44. Development should be designed (e.g. site layout, building orientation, setbacks, landscape areas and open space, parking, and outdoor activity areas) to result in a high quality development as a primary goal, rather than to maximize density as a first consideration.

Policy CD-45. Interpret development standards to support new plats and infill project designs that address privacy and quality of life for existing residents IV-9Amended 09/19/11

Policy CD-46. Variances to development standards should not be granted to facilitate additional density on an infill site.

Policy CD-47. New plats proposed at higher densities than adjacent neighborhood developments may be modified within the allowed density range to reduce conflicts between old and new development patterns. However, strict adherence to older standards is not required.

Policy CD-56. Office sites and structures should be designed (e.g. signage; building height, bulk and setback; landscaping; parking) to mitigate adverse impacts on adjacent land uses.

Mayor Denis Law Renton City Hall 1055 S. Grady Way Renton, WA 98057

AUG 28 2014

MAYOR'S OFFICE

August 26, 2014


Dear Mayor Law,

I have been a happy resident of the Winsper development in Renton for over 20 years and have contributed too many community events and causes. I have worked at Valley Medical Center for 19 years and have been a good citizen and neighbor. I have had little opportunity or need to work with City government until recently.

Unfortunately, my first exposure has not been good, and I am left to believe that we have system of non-transparency, standard codes that aren't worth the paper they are written on, and maybe even inappropriate use of power/collusion. I know this sounds a bit over the top, but honestly, the more I learn, the more concerned I become, so I am appealing to you as the leader of Renton.

I first went to City Hall and the Planning Department to get more information regarding a proposed infill project abutting my property in early 2013. Gerry Wasser, the Senior Planner, was very helpful explaining the application process and assuring me that I would be notified in mail if the proposal went forward and would have an opportunity to comment. I asked to be kept in the information loop because I had a vested interest. The original proposal never moved forward, and I never heard from the Planning Department.

in July, I contacted the Planning Department because there was new activity on the abutting parcel, and I suspected that the proposal was moving forward. Indeed, a new proposal with denser infill had been submitted (Valley Vue Preliminary Plat (LUA14-001040). Gerry Wasser had retired, and Clark Close, Associate Planner, would be the project contact. After reviewing the development proposal, I had numerous concerns regarding access, setbacks, road development, and emergency access. The nine house development would be served by two 24 foot access roads between existing houses in the Winsper development. The proposal is to pave 20 feet of the 24 foot easement on a 176 foot long road, and waive or allow variances on street-side yard setback, street width, and even fire access codes. I asked the Mr. Close how this proposal could meet the standard codes and was shocked to find that very liberal interpretation of codes, and variances to allow an infill project that meets density requirements were standard procedures, not just an occasional exception to the rules.



I live in one of the Winsper houses that abut an access easement. Here's a picture of my house and the proposed access into "Tract C* of the Valley Vue development. I am very concerned if variances are allowed on the street standards required in Code 4-6-060, a car will drive right into my house. Please note the yellow lot lines, street curve, and the impact to my yard, front, side yard and back. The lot line crosses into my driveway, and is less than a foot away from my walkway, and roof line. My living room bay window is within a couple of feet of this proposed new street as well, yet there seems to be a willingness to waive codes and not require elements to protect safety and development integrity. This seems to contradict the reason for having codes and the Renton Community Design Elements Goals, and does not meet the public expectation that codes and regulations to protect them are in place, and are enforced.

l asked Mr. Close about the following specific standards found in Ordinance NO 5517, referencing minimum street standards, that you signed into law to ensure good development and safety standards in 2009;

4-6-060J- Private street: 26 foot easement, 15 foot street-sideyard setback, serve six or fewer houses, provided at least 2 of the six abut public right of way and there is a fire turn around for streets longer than 150 feet. (The proposal doesn't meet any of these standards.)

- 4-6-060-H- Dead end streets: Limited Application: Cul-de-sac and dead end streets are limited in application and may only be
 permitted by the Reviewing Official where, due to demonstrable physical constraints, no future connection to a larger street
 pattern is physically possible. (Connection to a larger street (Talbot) is possible, and is how the property was accessed for 50
 years.)
- 4-6-060K: Shared driveways: 16 foot easement minimum, 12 foot paved maximum, can serve up to four lots, up to 3 lots as
 emergency access additional lots must front a street, minimum turnaround requirements for length more than 150 feet. (These
 easements could meet these standards by reducing the number of houses served from 4, to 3 which would leave room for the
 required turnaround as well. If the developer still wanted 9 lots, a third access off of Talbot could accomplish this, as well as
 provide a secondary fire access.) This atternative would be a much safer option for the public and Winsper Community.

I was told that an amendment to 4-6-060 standards was currently being reviewed, because Private Streets have become a maintenance issue and undesirable access, so the code is now up for interpretation. The standard codes that I thought were in place to regulate and offer safety, are in fact negotiable!

Frustrated with the Planning Department, I decided to appeal to the Fire Chief, Mark Peterson, who would review the project to ensure that the proposal meets fire code. Clearly, it does not. I sent a letter detailing my concerns about road width and length, abutting houses, and required turn around. Chief Peterson indicated that as the City has interpreted it, the project meets code because the paved road is 20 feet, and the fire turnaround is being proposed as the Winsper cul-de-sac on 32nd Place. Street setback requirements and abutting houses are beyond the fire code review. I asked if having a turnabout at the beginning of the road instead of the end was standard fire code. He indicated that they don't like the situation, but it meets the technical aspects of the Fire Code. The idea that it is OK to have fire personal run 176 feet down a road for emergency access is ridiculous, and accepting it as "meets code" is a mockery of the standard codes put in place to protect the people.

I decided to contact the King County Fire Marshall to see if this really did meet Fire Code. King County apparently is not as liberal with code interpretation as Renton. However, Renton is not part of unincorporated King County and does not report to the County Fire Marshall, rather to the City Mayor along with other local government entities. I am appealing to you with frustrated concern regarding the liberal interpretation of basic standard codes, which puts the public at risk for a dangerous accident and can lead to the public perception of misuse of government authority and power. I don't jump to this conclusion easily, but have seen numerous instances within this one proposal that points me in that direction including;

- The original project proposal was accessed off Talbot, but was changed by the City to accommodate the density infill requirement (As per my conversation with Mr. Close 8/8/2014). It's interesting to note that the City density calculation includes the entire 1.99 net acres, instead of the 1.44 acre which is really being developed. The developer had mentioned that access from Talbot would not meet fire codes because of a steep grade, but in fact the average grade is 6%. The garbage truck has used the Talbot access for years to deliver service to rear house about 400 feet east of Talbot.
- I have been told that the developer abandoned the existing southern access easement on 6/27/2014, just before submitting this latest
 proposal. It is easy to perceive this as an act of strategy collusion to get the project through. I can't think of any other reason reason to
 abandon an easement that has served for over 50 years, before the other property is developed.
- The Fire Chief should have authority to interpret the fire codes and best emergency access to ensure public safety. The City
 suggesting that a turnaround at the top of the existing street is adequate is inappropriate and could be construed as tainting the
 review. The fact, that the Fire Chief acknowledges it's an ongoing problem they don't like, but feel powerless to stop; compounded
 with not meeting the standard in the rest on King County, indicates there is an issue.

Mayor Law, please continue to support the standards that you signed into law, and review how the standard codes are being applied and enforced by addressing this issue and clarifing the decision criteria for granting Code variances (Ord. 4835) so that variances are only granted as an exception to the rule and minimal, not as tool to make a project fit where it shouldn't.

Sincerely,

Virginia Klaas, MD 618 S 32nd Place

Virginia Ellas

Renton, WA 98055

(425) 271-6760

Project No. LUA 14 – 001040, ECF, PP Project Name: Valley Vue Preliminary Plat RECEIVED

To: Dennis Law, City of Renton Mayor

SEP 0 9 2014

1055 South Grady Way

MAYOR'S OFFICE

Renton, WA 98055

We the residents of Winsper agree with the attached concerns regarding the Valley Vue (Lua14-001040) project. There are several Codes which are not being met in this project which puts unfair burden on the Winsper Community. We also note that the City has not even followed their own stated Development design policies.

- 1) We all agree, we want NO VARIANCES to the Code on this project, this puts us at risk for a dangerous event.
- 2) We want no project development/building on Saturdays. This project will bring much noise, large trucks and lots of dust and dirt into our living space during prime summertime. We wish to preserve the weekends for our families and quality life/peace of mind.
- 3) We request that the developer provide appropriate barriers between the development and the existing lots. This should include a planting strip, keystone barrier or other fence between each of the houses along the easement and the access.
- 4) More traffic on these streets will put our children at risk for a dangerous accident.
- 5) Parked trucks during the development will reduce parking of residents' cars and reduce space for passing
- 6. The speed of emergency vehicles will put our children at risk and cause accidents.
- 7. The noise caused by trucks and working personnel will affect our children
- 8) The dust and moisture caused by this work will affect our health; family member with severe asthma
- 9) Five (5) city codes are being violated, See attachment A.
- 10) Our new pavement will be damaged, such as pot holes, which will cause damage to our vehicles.
- 11) Property values of affected home owners.
- 12) Drainage issue with removal of trees and shrubs, See attachment C
- 13) Street lighting if existing light pole was removed, See attachment C

A list of Winsper residents supporting our concerns are contained in Attachment B.

Attachment A

City codes not met:

- 1) A private street requires a 26ft easement, both of these are only 24ft. Decreasing the easement means there is not enough room for proper safety buffers like a planting strip or fence
- 2) Code requires that 2 of the houses are on a "public right of way"...all of the houses are behind Winsper..not a single on is on the "public right of way"
- 3) A street over 150 ft requires a turn around for emergency access....a(hammerhead or cul de sac)The City is saying the pre-existing cul-de-sac on 32nd is the turn around....that works for the truck BEFORE they enter the 176ft long "private street"...but what about AFTER they drive down the road...how does the truck turn around? Are they saying it needs to back-up? Or are theysaying they need to park on the 32nd Cul-de- sac and run 176ft down the road to the emergency? This is ridiculous!
- 4) This is ZONE R8...it means max 8 houses per acre: anyway zone 8 requires a 15ft sideyard to street set back. None of the Winsper homes along these easements will have that...in fact if you were to give 15ft from the house..the upper easement would only have 2ft left to build a road! This is a matter of safety and privacy! If we are in our sideyard..we are at risk to get run over! This plan does not give us an appropriarte buffer from the street.
- 5) Codes says no street should be closer than 5 ft from a driveway. At 32nd Place the easement is less than 1 ft away...a car could easily mistake the driveway for the street and run into the house.

The property appears to meet code for a "shared driveway", which requires a 16 foot easement, a maximum 12 foot wide driveway, and can serve as emergency access to 3 homes. If the City wants more houses on the property, the property could also be accessed off Talbot with either a private street or shared driveway. This is the way this property has been accessed for about 50 years and this is the access initially proposed by the developer. It was the City who pushed the developer to access through Winsper.

Attachment B

Names

Address:


Phone Number

BRUCETRUONG	3101 SMITHERS AVES	206 259 9965
RHODORA DARANG	3/01 SMITHERS AVES	206 259 9965
JEROME JAEB	701 S. 32NO pl.	4-25-430-5352
	15h 700 532nd Pl.	425-221-548
PHUOLID - NEUYEN	1 642532 nd PL	425-919-212
PHUONG CHUNG	6425,32ND LL	425-919-218
NONA BRAUN	406 5, 32nd Pl	425-277-040
Kazuko Fowler	6065. 32nd Pl	425-277-040
Deborah Poole	625 5 27Ads DI	425-255-2602
fri Danil	4375 3220 PL	425-793-622
Sonolia Duil	4375 39 2 PL 04 637 Sizarda.	425-193-624
OStarla C. Chr. J	Of 3107 Smithers Ams	425-277-600
TRUDY WARM	635 832ND ST	425-572-6863
CARI KiminK		703: Sah 32 wd 51_
Carri Brezonick	7075.3202Place 3	106 619-6458
Steven a Thou		FL + 25 9411049
Mille Lux	723 5 32 NP ST Rute	n WA 98055
LILY LUU	723 S. 32nd ST Ren	fon WA 98055
	3101 Smithers Ave S. 2	
Breana Truona	3101 Smithers Ave. S. 2	06-259-99LE
- 3	A THILLIAM THE A	00 40 1 100

- Street lighting, if existing light pole was removed 1. Surface drain issue, if trees were removed 2. Street lighting, if existing light note was read

Winsper Development

Attachment C



From: Ginny [mailto:vklaas4@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 8:00 PM

To: Jay B Covington

Subject: Disregard of City Codes by those entrusted to administer the Code

Dear Mr. Covington,

My understanding is that the City Chief Administrative Officer is the provider of leadership and ensuring that city departments carry out the city's mission, business plan, policies and guidelines as adopted by the Renton City Council, and ensuring consistency between Renton and regional decisions. I would think that part of this responsibility would apply to ensuring local government procedure for administrating the Standard Codes, approved by City Council, and signed into law by the Mayor, were followed. If this is not the case, please let me know whom I can address my concerns to.

After 20 years of living in Renton, I have recently had my first experience with local planning, and am alarmed to find a process that routinely and liberally allows variances of adopted municipal codes and development standards. This does not meet the public expectation that codes and regulations to protect them are in place, and will be enforced. I am appealing to you as the Chief Administrative Officer to review this process and asking that when variances are allowed that they be minimal, adhere to the decision criteria, and that multiple variances are not allowed on a single project. I am also concerned that non-biased and independent review be allowed by the Fire Chief, which is consistent with the Fire Code application in the rest of King County.

My concerns are based on my dealing with the Planning Department regarding Valley Vue Preliminary Plat (LUA14-001040.) As presented, the project does not meet a number of City Codes, including side yard setbacks, minimum easement width, emergency access, and the requirement for two of the homes to abut a Public Right of Way. I went to City Hall to discuss these problems and was told that the developer would simply need to submit for a variance. I am dismayed that this is the proposal that was coordinated with the City planner and developer and I am appalled at the disregard for City Code and that variances are allowed so liberally, not as an exception to the rule. I counted at least five variances that would be allowed for the plan to go through as proposed, and many of them compromise public safety.

I am very concerned with this proposal and the notion that these five variances may be allowed. Here's a picture of my house and the proposed access to the Valley Vue development. This 24 foot easement is to have 20 feet paved to serve 4 houses. Please note the yellow lot lines, street curve, and the impact to my yard, front, side yard and back. The lot line crosses into

my driveway, and is less than a foot away from my walkway, and roof line. My living room bay window is within a couple of feet of this proposed new street as well, yet there seems to be a willingness to waive width and setback codes and not require elements to protect safety (barrier walls). This type of allowance is setting me up to have a car join my living room furniture! Why would this even be considered? It's not a good plan.

I talked to Fire Chief, Mark Peterson, about my concerns of access width and length, abutting houses, and required turn around. Chief Peterson indicated that as the City has interpreted it, the project meets code because the paved road is 20 feet wide, and the fire turnaround is being proposed as an existing cul-de-sac on 32nd Place. None of the new houses are on this cul-de-sac; in fact it is more than 120 feet from the closest proposed new home! To be clear, the proposed turn around is NOT at the end of the proposed new dead end road. I asked if having a turnabout at the beginning of the road instead of the end was standard fire code. He indicated that they don't like the situation, but it meets the technical aspects of the Fire Code. The idea that it is OK to have fire personal run 176 feet down a road for emergency access is ridiculous, and accepting it as "meets code" is a mockery of the standard codes put in place to protect the people.

I note that access to this property appears to meet code for a shared driveway, which requires a 16 foot easement, a maximum 12 foot wide driveway, and can serve as emergency access to 3 homes. If the City wants more houses on the property, the property could also be accessed off Talbot with either a private street or shared driveway. This is the way this property has been accessed for about 50 years.

In closing, I would like to state that I am not opposed to this property being developed or accessed off an access by my house. What I object to is the seemingly flagrant disregard to the standards that are in place to protect public safety and development integrity. Liberal application of variances and code interpretation undermines the regulations put in place to protect the integrity of our beautiful City. Surely, the City of Renton does not place a higher value on urban sprawl prevention, than it does on public safety.

Sincerely,

Virginia Klaas MD

(425)271-6760

Mr. Vincent.

Recently the Winsper neighbors gathered to review the Valley Vue plan (LUA 14-001040). As discussed during my meeting with Clark on 8/8/2014, the neighbors feel the proposed plan falls short on a number of significant issues involving safety, access and drainage.

The neighbors discussed the following five items that do not meet City Codes;

- 1) The two access "private streets" coming off the Winsper Development. These proposed roads would be solely for the purpose of serving the four houses in Valley Vue behind the existing homes in Winsper. City Code (4-6-060 J) states: Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way. None of the new lots will abut a public right of way. These new roads provide no service to the pre-existing homes in Winsper.
- 2) City Code 4-6-060 J.2. states: Minimum Standards: Such private streets shall consist of a minimum of a twenty six foot (26') easement with a twenty foot (20') pavement width. The two easements indicated on the platt map are 24 feet wide and do not meet the minimum City Code standard.
- 3) Both proposed private roads are longer than 150 feet, and neither appears to have the required turn around for Fire and Emergency vehicles. <u>Ordinance 5517 states that streets 150-300ft in length must have a dedicated hammerhead turnaround or cul-de-sac.</u> I spoke to Fire Chief, Corey Thomas, last year and he indicated that this is an issue.
- 4) The front eastern edge of my driveway a long S 32nd Place is just over one foot from the access easement property line. This does not allow for the five foot required setback. I am terrified that a driver may mistake my driveway for the access road and run right into my house. There are no provisions for a safety/privacy barrier, planting strip, sidewalk or other buffer zone on my side of the easement.
- 5) The City of Renton memorandum date January 3, 2013, and substantiated in the City Codes "General Ordinances of the City of Renton (4-1-110A, and Ordinance 5676), as well as the King County Urban and Residential Zoning Document, requires a minimum sideyard-street setback of 15 feet. I wrote to Gerry Wasser about this in 2013 and he stated: "The required setbacks for Zone-8 are: Sideyards-5 feet, except 15 feet for sideyards along a street or access easement."

Several of the neighbors have noted that neither of the easements are wide enough to accommodate a 15 foot sideyard-street setback from the existing houses, and still have the space needed for a 20 foot road. Variances to accommodate a road for vehicle traffic would be dangerous to the residents of the abutting houses given the current five foot (or less) sideyard setback.

Ordinance 5517 and 4-6-060 specifically state that the codes are intended to establish design standards and development requirements for street improvements to insure reasonable and safe access to public and private properties. Allowing variances of these standards, compromises the integrity and value of the City Codes, and exposes the community to reckless hazards. Not having a basic safety element in street standards to protect pedestrians and homeowners sets a poor precedence and puts the public at risk. This places an unfair burden on the residents of Winsper.

The original Dees plan called for access off of Talbot Road, not off the Winsper easements. Frankly, given the numerous variances that would be required to gain access from the Winsper easements, and the multiple safety concerns, retaining the access to the property where it has always been off Talbot Road, seems the prudent choice and would require the least amount of variances. I know that Clark had indicated that the Talbot access was a problem because a "Private Road" can serve as access for a maximum of six lots, and that since the Valley Vue lot is 2.3 acre, six houses would not meet the minimum requirement to mitigate against "urban sprawl". Surely, City Code does not place a higher value on urban sprawl prevention, than it does on public safety.

I understand that at the 7/16/2014 Renton Planning Commission meeting a proposal was made to change the code for Private street standards because they are generally undesirable and do not provide elements such as

sidewalks, landscaping, and create dead-end streets, which reduces connectivity in residential neighborhoods, and are maintenance issues. The proposal was to only allow private driveways and completely delete private roads from the City Code. The access easements off Winsper do appear to meet the current Code for a "shared driveway" which requires a minimum 16 foot easement and maximum 12 foot paved driveway, each of which could serve as primary emergency access for 3 homes.

In addition to the access issues, there are numerous drainage issues that the neighbors are concerned about. I wasn't surprised to see that the geotechnical report indicated that the drainage issues on the property were significant enough to require mitigation and disclosure to anyone purchasing one of the new lots. They also recommended that; ""Geotech Consultants, Inc. should be allowed to review the final development plans to verify that the recommendations presented in this report are adequately addressed in design....We recommend including this report, in its entirety, in the project contract documents. This report should also be provided to any future property owners so they will be aware of our findings and recommendations."

The owners of Winsper already know that there is a problem with drainage from this property, in fact, there is over a 32 foot drop from the rear of tract B (wetlands) to the rear of Tract C (storm drain). Due to the issues on the property, two houses in Winsper that abut the southern property line have already experienced flooding in yards and under the homes due to excessive run off from that property. Many of us installed french drains and sump pumps at our own cost to mitigate the issue. My drainage system lies along the boundary between the east side of my property and the easement, and will likely be destroyed during development. With the increase of impervious surface, storm water runoff will no doubt increase is well. Please request that the new drainage system be installed along the property line to protect the Winsper homes from this increased flooding risk.

The project calls for a six inch curb-gutter for drainage along the access easements. Since the access will intersect an existing street, we would like the curb-gutter design to match the standards of the Winsper development (18 inch curb-gutter). This will also aid in drainage of excessive runoff in times of heavy rain, and aesthetically would be much more attractive. This is consistent with the Renton Community Design Element Goals purpose to improve the aesthetics and functionality of existing neighborhoods.

The Valley Vue Project Narrative states "current zoning is R8, 5445 square feet minimum per lot", yet two of the lots don't meet this minimum. (Lot 7- 4,796ft, and Lot 8- 4,502 ft.); these therefore do not meet the aforementioned standard. Once the required hammerhead turn around is incorporated into the plan, Lot 7 likely will not meet code. I propose that these two lots be combined into one lot so that they are similar to in character to all the other lots on the project (all other lots are 7,127-7,654 square feet).

The neighbors also discussed the disruption and general loss of peace and enjoyment during the peak summer months that construction would cause as heavy equipment, trucks, and building materials traveled through the neighborhood bringing noise and dust. The plan calls for working M-F plus Saturday. We request that the development NOT occur on the weekends. We would like to preserve our weekends for family and a sense of well-being.

Virginia Klaas, M.D. 618 S 32nd Place Renton, WA 98055

(425) 272-6760

CC:

Jennifer Henning, Director, CED, Planning Division Vanessa Dolbee, Manager, CED, Planning Division Clark Close, Associate Planner, CED, Planning Division Steve Lee, CED, Development Engineering Plan Review

Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicles

On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 120

Average Number of Vehicles: 257

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Trip Generation per Vehicle

 Average Rate
 Range of Rates
 Standard Deviation

 6.02
 2.69 - 9.38
 2.77

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicles

On a: Saturday

Number of Studies: 23

Average Number of Venicles: 418

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Trip Generation per Vehicle

·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Aller An Command of Command	Average Rate	Hange of Rates	Standard Deviation	
1	6.55	3.20 - 11.60	3.40	

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicles

On a: Sunday

Number of Studies: 19 Average Number of Vehicles: 462

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Trip Generation per Vehicle

 Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation	1
5.53	3.67 - 8.91	2.82	and the same



Application Date: August 1, 2014

Name: Valley Vue

Site Address: 3106 & 3112 Talbot Rd S, Renton, WA 98055-5023

Plan - Planning Review

Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: I have reviewed the application for Valley Vue Preliminary Plat and have the following comments: EXISTING CONDITIONS

WATER The site is located in the Talbot Hill 350 hydraulic water pressure zone. There is an existing 8 inch water main in S. 32nd Place and there are 2 existing ¾ inch domestic water meters serving the existing homes.

SEWER There is an 8 inch sewer main in S. 32 Place (Winsper) and an 8 inch sewer main located near the northwest of the site.

STORM There are drainage improvements in S. 32nd Place.

CODE REQUIREMENTS

Water

- 1. Need to show the existing water service for 3106 Talbot Road South.
- 2. System development fee for water is based on the size of the new domestic water meter(s) that will serve each new lot. Fee for a 1 inch water meter install is \$2,809.00. Credit will be giving to the existing home.
- 3. Fee for a 1 inch water meter installed by the City is \$3,770.00.
- 4. New hydrants shall be installed per Renton's fire department standards to provide the required coverage of all lots.
- 5. A separate domestic water service meter will be required for each lot with a minimum size of 1 inch along with required backflow prevention assembly.
- 6. This project is subject to water special assessment district no. 8406 depending on fire flow demand. For a fire flow demand of 1,500 gpm or less, the special assessment is \$0.034/sq ft of property, plus \$16.00/front foot along the property frontage on Talbot Rd S.

Sewer

- 1. Need to show the existing side sewer connection for 3106 Talbot Road South.
- 2. System development fee for sewer is based on the size of the new domestic water(s) that will serve each new lot. Sewer fee for a ¾ inch water or 1 inch meter install is \$1,812.00.
- 3. Existing septic system(s) will be required to be abandoned in accordance with King County Department of Health.

SURFACE WATER

- 1. A drainage plan and drainage report dated, December, 2013 was submitted by LDA (Land Development Advisors). The report complies with the 2009 King County Surface Water Manual and the City of Renton Amendments to the KCSWM, Chapters 1 and 2. All core requirements and special requirements are included in the report. This site falls within the Flow Control Duration Standard, Forested Conditions.
 - The Basin Map Figure 3.1 Please revise the areas to match the Preliminary Plat Map areas. Account for both drainage basins in the tables and on the figure.
 - Please discuss the existing house to the west that will remain as part of the development. Will the existing culverts be maintained through construction? Where does the runoff currently go? Where does the roof drainage go? Etc.....Show on drawing.
- The westerly portion of the access road that comes off of Talbot Road South by passes the proposed treatment facility (wet pond) near the existing house needs to be addressed. Please review Page 1 66 1.2.8.2.D in the City of Renton's Amendments to the King County Surface Water Design Manual.



Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

- Please summarize the dimensions and volume for each of the detention and the water quality components of the vault.
- Include backwater analysis for the conveyance system in your final TIR submittal.
- A declaration of covenant will be required for the storm water facilities.
- Pave roadway off of Talbot Road South at 12 ft. in width for access to the storm water tract.
- 2. A geotechnical report for the site dated May 27, 2014 was submitted by Geotech Consultants Inc. Information on the water table and soil permeability, with recommendations regarding foundation footing drains and waterproofing, retaining walls, slabs on grade, excavations and slopes and pavement areas. Infiltration of storm runoff is not recommended. Please update the report to address the proposed storm water vault not a storm water detention pond.
- 3. Surface Water System Development fee is \$1,120 per new lot. This is payable prior to issuance of the construction permit. Credit will be given for one existing home.

EROSION CONTROL

- 1. An Erosion Control Plan is to be submitted with the civil plans. Erosion Control shall be installed and monitored in accordance with Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and the KCSWM.
- 2. A Construction Stormwater General Permit from Department of Ecology will be required if grading and clearing of the site exceeds one acre. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required for this site.

TRANSPORTATION/STREET

- 1. The current transportation impact fee rate is \$1,430.72 per single family house. The transportation impact fee is levied at the time of building permit application and payment of the transportation impact fee is due at the time of issuance of the building permit. Credit will be given to the existing home.
- 2. LED street lighting meeting City of Renton Standards is required.
- 3. Paving and trench restoration will comply with the City's Trench Restoration and Overlay Requirements.
- 4. Road Classifications Talbot Road South is a Collector Arterial; S 32nd Pl is a residential access street.
 - Existing right-of-way width is approximately 60 feet for Talbot Rd S and approx. 44 feet on S 32nd Pl.
 - Per RMC 4-6-060J for 'Shared Driveways' at least one of the four lots being accessed must front public right-ofway; the proposed development does not meet all of the code requirements for a shared driveway type of access.
- 5. Access to the proposed development from Talbot Road South (2-lane Collector Arterial) along the existing driveway lies within regulated slope areas (>15% and > 25%) per the City of Renton's Sensitive Areas map. Slopes in excess of 15% are typically not accessible by the City Renton's Fire Department Vehicles.
- 6. The preliminary plat plan sheets show access to the proposed development to be off of S 32nd PI (Winsper Development) via Tracts G and H. These tracts are approximately 24 feet in width and are to have two (2) 10-foot wide paved lanes with vertical curb and gutter. City of Renton has a comment also concerning the constructability of these accesses. Due to the slope of the site, both accesses will require retaining walls to be constructed along their eastern sides. The eastern most access has a slope in excess of 15% and requires a minimum of 1.5 ft. of clear zone between the back of the curb and the face of the retaining wall. The construction of the retaining walls proposed for both accesses will require temporary construction easements to be obtained from the adjoining property owners. However, the temporary construction easements may not be feasible due to the close proximity of other private existing structures to the area needed for construction of the walls.
- 7. The current layout does not include access to the storm water facilities. Access to the storm water tract is necessary in order to maintain the vault.



Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

GENERAL COMMENTS

- 1. This project will comply with all undergrounding requirements.
- 2. All construction utility permits for drainage and street improvements will require separate plan submittals. All utility plans shall conform to the Renton Drafting Standards. A licensed Civil Engineer shall prepare the civil plans.
- 3. Separate permit and fees will be required for the water meter installation, side sewer connection, and storm water connection.

Water service, sewer stub, and a drainage flow control BMP is required to be provided to each new lot prior to recording of the plat.

Fire Review - Building Comments

Corey Thomas | 425-430-7024 | cthomas@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: Environmental Impact Comments:

1. The fire impact fees are applicable at the rate of \$479.28 per single family unit. This fee is paid prior to recording the plat. Credit will be granted for existing home that is to be removed.

Code Related Comments:

- 1. The fire flow requirement for a single family home is 1,000 gpm minimum for dwellings up to 3,600 square feet (including garage and basements). If the dwelling exceeds 3,600 square feet, a minimum of 1,500 gpm fire flow would be required. A minimum of one fire hydrant is required within 300 feet of the proposed buildings and two hydrants if the fire flow goes up to 1,500 gpm. Existing fire hydrants can be counted toward the requirements as long as they meet current code including 5 inch storz fittings.
- 2. Fire department apparatus access roadways are required to be a minimum of 20 feet wide fully paved, with 25 feet inside and 45 feet outside turning radius. Fire access roadways shall be constructed to support a 30 ton vehicle with 322 psi point loading. Approved apparatus turnarounds are required for dead end roads exceeding 150 feet. Dead end streets exceeding 500 feet require all homes to be provided with an approved fire sprinkler system. Dead end streets exceeding 700 feet are not allowed without approved secondary access roadways being provided. Condition of approval of this proposed plat due to existing steep grades on existing access roadways and proposed dead end streets is to have all proposed homes be equipped with approved residential fire sprinkler systems.
- 3. Access roadways shall not exceed 15 percent maximum grade. Angles of approach and departure shall meet fire department requirements.

Planning Review Comments

Clark Close | 425-430-7289 | cclose@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations:

- 1. RMC section 4 4 030.C.2 limits haul hours between eight thirty (3:30) a.m. and three thirty (3:30) p.m., Monday through Friday unless otherwise approved in advance by the Development Services Division.
- 2. New single family construction activities shall be restricted to the hours between seven o'clock (7:00) a.m. and eight o'clock (8:00) p.m., Monday through Friday. Work on Saturdays is by permission only. No work is permitted on Sundays.
- 3. Within thirty (30) days of completion of grading work, the applicant shall hydroseed or plants appropriate ground cover over any portion of the site that is graded or cleared of vegetation and where no further construction work will occur within ninety (90) days. Alternative measures such as mulch, sodding, or plastic covering as specified in the current King County Surface Water Management Design Manual as adopted by the City of Renton may be proposed between the dates of November 1st and March 31st of each year. The Development Services Division's approval of this



work is required prior to final inspection and approval of the permit.

Technical Services Comments

Bob Mac Onie | 425-430-7369 | bmaconie@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: Preliminary Plat: Bob Mac Onie 09/18/2014

Note the City of Renton land use action number and land record number, LUA14 001040 and LND 10 0515, respectively, on the final plat submittal. The type size used for the land record number should be smaller than that used for the land use action number. Please note that the land use action number provided will change when this subdivision changes from preliminary to final plat status.

Show two ties to the City of Renton Survey Control Network. The geometry will be checked by the city when the ties have been provided.

Ties can be made but explicit reference to another survey with such ties and two monuments common to the subject subdivision.

Provide sufficient information to determine how the plat boundary was established.

Indicate what has been, or is to be, set at the corners of the proposed lots.

Note discrepancies between bearings and distances of record and those measured or calculated, if any.

The lot addresses will be provided by the city at final plat submittal. Note said addresses and the street name on the plat drawing.

Do note encroachments.

Do not include a utility provider's block, an owner's block, an engineer/surveyor block and an architect block.

Do not include any references to use, density or zoning on the final submittal. Please note the Site Data on sheet 1 of 3 block is not necessary and conflicts with the Easement Notes block immediately below.

If the abutting properties are platted, note the lot numbers and plat name on the drawing otherwise note them as 'Unplatted'. Do not show the TPNs.

Remove the building setback lines from the proposed lots. Setbacks will be determined at the time that building permits are issued.

Note the research resources on the plat submittal.

Note all easements, covenants and agreements of record on the plat drawing.

The City of Renton "APPROVALS" blocks for the City of Renton Administrator, Public Works Department, the Mayor, City Clerk and the Finance Director.

A pertinent approval block is also needed for the King County Assessor's Office. Provide signature lines as required.

If there is a Restrictive Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions document for this plat, then reference the same on the plat drawing and provide a space for the recording number thereof.

Note that if there are restrictive covenants, agreements or easements to others (neighboring property owners, etc.) as part of this subdivision, they can be recorded concurrently with the plat. The plat drawings and the associated document(s) are to be given to the

Project Manager as a package. The plat document will be recorded first (with King County). The recording number(s) for the associated document(s) (said documents recorded concurrently with, but wing the plat) need to be referenced on the plat drawings.

There needs to be a Purpose Statement for each of the tracts created, including ownership and maintenance responsibilities.

Please discuss with the Stormwater Utility any other language and/or instrument requirements regarding surface water BMPs and other rights and responsibilities.

Include a Dedication block. All vested owner(s) of the subject plat, at the time of recording, need to sign the final plat. For the street dedication process, include a current title report noting the vested property owner.



Community Services Comments

Leslie Betlach | 425-430-6619 | Ibetlach@rentonwa.gov

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat Comments: 08/25/2014

Parks impact fee per Ordinance 5670 applies. Bicycle lanes per adopted Trails and Bicycle Master Plan "Talbot Road South Bicycle Lanes." Sheet 148 shall be incorporated as part of project.



August 25, 2014

Department of Community and Economic Development C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Rory Dees RAD Holdings, LLC 1040 W. Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE Bellevue, WA 98008

Subject:

Notice of Complete Application

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat, LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Dear Mr. Dees:

The Planning Division of the City of Renton has determined that the subject application is complete according to submittal requirements and, therefore, is accepted for review.

It is tentatively scheduled for consideration by the Environmental Review Committee on September 15, 2014. Prior to that review, you will be notified if any additional information is required to continue processing your application.

In addition, this matter is tentatively scheduled for a Public Hearing on October 7, 2014 at 12:00 pm, Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. The applicant or representative(s) of the applicant are required to be present at the public hearing. A copy of the staff report will be mailed to you prior to the scheduled hearing.

Please contact me at (425) 430-7289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close Associate Planner

Clark H. Clar

cc:

RAD Holdings / Owner(s)

Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors / Contact



August 25, 2014

Department of Community and Economic Development C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Nancy Rawls
Department of Transportation
Renton School District
420 Park Avenue N
Renton, WA 98055

Subject:

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

The City of Renton's Department of Community and Economic Development (CED) has received an application for a Preliminary Plat located at 3106 Talbot Rd. S. Please see the enclosed Notice of Application for further details.

In order to process this application, CED needs to know which Renton schools would be attended by children living in residences at the location indicated above. Please fill in the appropriate schools on the list below and return this letter to my attention, City of Renton, CED, Planning Division, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton, Washington 98057 or fax to (425) 430-7300, by September 8, 2014.

Elementary School:	talbot hill eler	nentary	
Middle School:	Dimmitt Middle Sc	hool	
High School:	Renton High Scho	ool	
estimated to come fro	nave indicated be able to om the proposed develo	handle the impact of th pment? Yes x No	
Any Comments:			
	Of Long Consider a six		

Thank you for providing this important information. If you have any questions regarding this project, please contact me at (425) 430-7289.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close Associate Planner

(Carte H. Olos

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



ENVIRONMENTA	L REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT		
ERC MEETING DATE:	May 18, 2015	Entire Document	
Project Name:	Valley Vue Preliminary Plat	Available Upon Request	
Project Number:	LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD	•	
Project Manager:	Clark H. Close, Associate Planner		
Owners/Applicant:	Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake Sammamish PKWY SE, Bellevue, WA 98008		
Contact:	Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors, LLC, 12865 SE 47 th PL, Bellevue, WA 98006		
Project Location:	3106 and 3112 Talbot Road S, Renton, WA 98055		
Project Summary:	approval for a 9-lot subdivision. The 2.3 Residential-8 dwelling units per net acre (du, two single family residences (3106 and 3112	plicant is requesting SEPA Environmental Review and Preliminary Platal for a 9-lot subdivision. The 2.3-acre site is located within the ntial-8 dwelling units per net acre (du/ac) zoning classification. There are gle family residences (3106 and 3112 Talbot Road South) located on this that gain access to the site from Talbot Road South and are part of the iver Basin.	
	The single family house located at 3106 is conwould remain and be incorporated into the solocated at 3112 is on a septic system and whine (9) residential lots (8 new + the remaining in a density of 4.23 du/ac. Residential lot size with an average lot size of 7,954 sf. In additionant tracts are proposed for access roads, sensitive The eight (8) new residential lots would be solved the subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two converses of 24 feet in width through the development of the site and the analysis of the site and the analysis of Talbot Road. The applicant has submitted a Critical Archive.	ubdivision as Lot 9, while the house would be demolished. Together the ng single family house) would result es range from 4,502 sf to 18,169 sf on to the 9 residential lots, four (4) e areas, and stormwater detention. Served from Winsper Division No. 1 dedicated ingress/egress easement opment on S 32nd Place. There are pplicant is proposing to retain 27 erly portion of the site is proposed existing conveyance system on the	
	The applicant has submitted a Critical Arc Information Report, and a Geotechnical Engin The site contains a Category 2 wetland in the impacts to critical areas onsite are propose average roughly 6%.	e far eastern portion of the site. No	
Site Area:	99,994 SF (2.3 acres)		
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:	Staff Recommends that the Environmen Determination of Non-Significance - Mitigated		

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



ENVIRONMENTAL (SEPA) DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE - MITIGATED (DNS-M)

PROJECT NUMBER:

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

APPLICANT:

Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake Sammamish PKWY SE,

Bellevue, WA 98008

PROJECT NAME:

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat

The applicant is requesting SEPA Environmental Review and Preliminary Plat approval for a 9-lot subdivision. The 2.3-acre site is located within the Residential-8 dwelling units per net acre (du/ac) zoning classification. There are two single family residences (3106 and 3112 Talbot Road South) located on this parcel that gain access to the site from Talbot Road South and are part of the Black River Basin.

The single family house located at 3106 is connected to city water and sewer and would remain and be incorporated into the subdivision as Lot 9, while the house located at 3112 is on a septic system and would be demolished. Together the nine (9) residential lots (8 new + the remaining single family house) would result in a density of 4.23 du/ac. Residential lot sizes range from 4,502 sf to 18,169 sf with an average lot size of 7,954 sf. In addition to the 9 residential lots, four (4) tracts are proposed for access roads, sensitive areas, and stormwater detention. The eight (8) new residential lots would be served from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two dedicated ingress/egress easement areas of 24 feet in width through the development on S 32nd Place. There are 142 significant trees on the site and the applicant is proposing to retain 27 original trees. A detention vault in the westerly portion of the site is proposed within Tract D which would discharge into the existing conveyance system on the east side of Talbot Road.

The applicant has submitted a Critical Areas Report, Preliminary Technical Information Report, and a Geotechnical Engineering Report with the application. The site contains a Category 2 wetland in the far eastern portion of the site. No impacts to critical areas onsite are proposed and existing slopes on the site average roughly 6%.

PROJECT LOCATION:

LEAD AGENCY:

City of Renton

Environmental Review Committee

Department of Community & Economic Development

The City of Renton Environmental Review Committee has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). Conditions were imposed as mitigation measures by the Environmental Review Committee under their authority of Section 4-9-070D Renton Municipal Code. These conditions are necessary to mitigate environmental impacts identified during the environmental review process. Because other agencies of jurisdiction may be involved, the lead agency will not act on this proposal for fourteen (14) days.

Appeals of the environmental determination must be filed in writing on or before 5:00 p.m. on June 5, 2015. Appeals must be filed in writing together with the required fee with: Hearing Examiner, City of Renton, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton, WA 98057. Appeals to the Examiner are governed by RMC 4-8-110 and more information may be obtained from the Renton City Clerk's Office, (425) 430-6510.

PUBLICATION DATE:

MAY 22, 2015

DATE OF DECISION:

MAY 18, 2015

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



SIGNATURES:

Gregg Zimmerman, Administrator
Public Works Department

Date

Mark Peterson, Administrator Fire & Emergency Services

Date

Date

Terry Higashiyama, Administrator Community Services Department

Date

5/18/15

C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator Department of Community &

Economic Development

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE-MITIGATED (DNSM) MITIGATION MEASURES AND ADVISORY NOTES

PROJECT NUMBER:

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

APPLICANT:

Rory Dees, Rad Holdings, LLC, 1040 W. Lake Sammamish

PKWY SE, Bellevue, WA 98008

PROJECT NAME:

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The applicant is requesting SEPA Environmental Review and Preliminary Plat approval for a 9-lot subdivision. The 2.3-acre site is located within the Residential-8 dwelling units per net acre (du/ac) zoning classification. There are two single family residences (3106 and 3112 Talbot Road South) located on this parcel that gain access to the site from Talbot Road South and are part of the Black River Basin.

The single family house located at 3106 is connected to city water and sewer and would remain and be incorporated into the subdivision as Lot 9, while the house located at 3112 is on a septic system and would be demolished. Together the nine (9) residential lots (8 new + the remaining single family house) would result in a density of 4.23 du/ac. Residential lot sizes range from 4,502 sf to 18,169 sf with an average lot size of 7,954 sf. In addition to the 9 residential lots, four (4) tracts are proposed for access roads, sensitive areas, and stormwater detention. The eight (8) new residential lots would be served from Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and Tract H) via two dedicated ingress/egress easement areas of 24 feet in width through the development on S 32nd Place. There are 142 significant trees on the site and the applicant is proposing to retain 27 original trees. A detention vault in the westerly portion of the site is proposed within Tract D which would discharge into the existing conveyance system on the east side of Talbot Road.

The applicant has submitted a Critical Areas Report, Preliminary Technical Information Report, and a Geotechnical Engineering Report with the application. The site contains a Category 2 wetland in the far eastern portion of the site. No impacts to critical areas onsite are proposed and existing slopes on the site average roughly 6%.

PROJECT LOCATION:

3106 and 3112 Talbot Road S, Renton, WA 98055

LEAD AGENCY:

The City of Renton

Department of Community & Economic Development

Planning Division

MITIGATION MEASURES:

- 1. Project construction shall be required to comply with the recommendations found in the Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. dated May 27, 2014 or an updated report submitted at a later date.
- 2. The applicant shall plant all trees that are within the 50-foot standard wetland buffer by hand and without heavy machinery. To the greatest extent feasible, these trees should be planted in areas where invasive species are present. A tree planting plan shall be provided to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to construction permit issuance.
- 3. The applicant shall provide a minimum 12- to 15-foot wide utility access easement to the stormwater tract (Tract D) for maintenance and operation of the utility. The easement shall be recorded and documentation provided to the City prior to approval the issuance of the construction permit application.
- 4. The applicant shall provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access roads shall meet the minimum necessary to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles.

ADVISORY NOTES:

The following notes are supplemental information provided in conjunction with the administrative land use action. Because these notes are provided as information only, they are not subject to the appeal process for the land use actions.

Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: I have reviewed the application for Valley Vue Preliminary Plat and have the following comments:

EXISTING CONDITIONS

WATER The site is located in the Talbot Hill 350 hydraulic water pressure zone. There is an existing 8 inch water main in S. 32nd Place and there are 2 existing % inch domestic water meters serving the existing homes.

SEWER There is an 8 inch sewer main in S. 32 Place (Winsper) and an 8 inch sewer main located near the northwest of the site.

STORM There are drainage improvements in S. 32nd Place.

CODE REQUIREMENTS

Water

- 1. Need to show the existing water service for 3106 Talbot Road South.
- 2. System development fee for water is based on the size of the new domestic water meter(s) that will serve each new lot. Fee for a 1 inch water meter install is \$2,809.00. Credit will be giving to the existing home.
- 3. Fee for a 1 inch water meter installed by the City is \$3,770.00.
- 4. New hydrants shall be installed per Renton's fire department standards to provide the required coverage of all lots.
- 5. A separate domestic water service meter will be required for each lot with a minimum size of 1 inch along with required backflow prevention assembly.
- 6. This project is subject to water special assessment district no. 8406 depending on fire flow demand. For a fire flow demand of 1,500 gpm or less, the special assessment is \$0.034/sq ft of property, plus \$16.00/front foot along the property frontage on Talbot Rd S.

Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

Sewer

- 1. Need to show the existing side sewer connection for 3106 Talbot Road South.
- 2. System development fee for sewer is based on the size of the new domestic water(s) that will serve each new lot. Sewer fee for a ¾ inch water or 1 inch meter install is \$1,812.00.
- 3. Existing septic system(s) will be required to be abandoned in accordance with King County Department of Health.

SURFACE WATER

- 1. A drainage plan and drainage report dated, December, 2013 was submitted by LDA (Land Development Advisors). The report complies with the 2009 King County Surface Water Manual and the City of Renton Amendments to the KCSWM, Chapters 1 and 2. All core requirements and special requirements are included in the report. This site falls within the Flow Control Duration Standard, Forested Conditions.
 - The Basin Map Figure 3.1 Please revise the areas to match the Preliminary Plat Map areas. Account for both drainage basins in the tables and on the figure.
 - Please discuss the existing house to the west that will remain as part of the development. Will the existing culverts be maintained through construction? Where does the runoff currently go? Where does the roof drainage go? Etc.....Show on drawing.
 - The westerly portion of the access road that comes off of Talbot Road South by passes the proposed treatment facility (wet pond) near the existing house needs to be addressed. Please review Page 1 66 1.2.8.2.D in the City of Renton's Amendments to the King County Surface Water Design Manual.
 - Please summarize the dimensions and volume for each of the detention and the water quality components of the vault.
 - Include backwater analysis for the conveyance system in your final TIR submittal.
 - A declaration of covenant will be required for the storm water facilities.
 - Pave roadway off of Talbot Road South at 12 ft. in width for access to the storm water tract.
- 2. A geotechnical report for the site dated May 27, 2014 was submitted by Geotech Consultants Inc. Information on the water table and soil permeability, with recommendations regarding foundation footing drains and waterproofing, retaining walls, slabs on grade, excavations and slopes and pavement areas. Infiltration of storm runoff is not recommended. Please update the report to address the proposed storm water vault not a storm water detention pond.
- 3. Surface Water System Development fee is \$1,120 per new lot. This is payable prior to issuance of the construction permit. Credit will be given for one existing home.

EROSION CONTROL

- 1. An Erosion Control Plan is to be submitted with the civil plans. Erosion Control shall be installed and monitored in accordance with Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and the KCSWM.
- 2. A Construction Stormwater General Permit from Department of Ecology will be required if grading and clearing of the site exceeds one acre. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required for this site.

TRANSPORTATION/STREET

- 1. The current transportation impact fee rate is \$1,430.72 per single family house. The transportation impact fee is levied at the time of building permit application and payment of the transportation impact fee is due at the time of issuance of the building permit. Credit will be given to the existing home.
- 2. LED street lighting meeting City of Renton Standards is required.
- 3. Paving and trench restoration will comply with the City's Trench Restoration and Overlay Requirements.
- 4. Road Classifications Talbot Road South is a Collector Arterial; S 32nd Pl is a residential access street.
 - Existing right-of-way width is approximately 60 feet for Talbot Rd S and approx. 44 feet on S 32nd Pl.
 - Per RMC 4-6-060J for 'Shared Driveways' at least one of the four lots being accessed must front public right-of-way; the proposed development does not meet all of the code requirements for a shared driveway type of access.

Engineering Review Comments

Vicki Grover | 425-430-7291 | vgrover@rentonwa.gov

- 5. Access to the proposed development from Talbot Road South (2-lane Collector Arterial) along the existing driveway lies within regulated slope areas (>15% and > 25%) per the City of Renton's Sensitive Areas map. Slopes in excess of 15% are typically not accessible by the City Renton's Fire Department Vehicles.
- 6. The preliminary plat plan sheets show access to the proposed development to be off of S 32nd PI (Winsper Development) via Tracts G and H. These tracts are approximately 24 feet in width and are to have two (2) 10-foot wide paved lanes with vertical curb and gutter. City of Renton has a comment also concerning the constructability of these accesses. Due to the slope of the site, both accesses will require retaining walls to be constructed along their eastern sides. The eastern most access has a slope in excess of 15% and requires a minimum of 1.5 ft. of clear zone between the back of the curb and the face of the retaining wall. The construction of the retaining walls proposed for both accesses will require temporary construction easements to be obtained from the adjoining property owners. However, the temporary construction easements may not be feasible due to the close proximity of other private existing structures to the area needed for construction of the walls.
- 7. The current layout does not include access to the storm water facilities. Access to the storm water tract is necessary in order to maintain the vault.

GENERAL COMMENTS

- 1. This project will comply with all undergrounding requirements.
- 2. All construction utility permits for drainage and street improvements will require separate plan submittals. All utility plans shall conform to the Renton Drafting Standards. A licensed Civil Engineer shall prepare the civil plans.
- 3. Separate permit and fees will be required for the water meter installation, side sewer connection, and storm water connection.
- 4. Water service, sewer stub, and a drainage flow control BMP is required to be provided to each new lot prior to recording of the plat.

Fire Review - Building Comments

Corey Thomas | 425-430-7024 | cthomas@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: Environmental Impact Comments:

1. The fire impact fees are applicable at the rate of \$479.28 per single family unit. This fee is paid prior to recording the plat. Credit will be granted for existing home that is to be removed.

Code Related Comments:

- 1. The fire flow requirement for a single family home is 1,000 gpm minimum for dwellings up to 3,600 square feet (including garage and basements). If the dwelling exceeds 3,600 square feet, a minimum of 1,500 gpm fire flow would be required. A minimum of one fire hydrant is required within 300 feet of the proposed buildings and two hydrants if the fire flow goes up to 1,500 gpm. Existing fire hydrants can be counted toward the requirements as long as they meet current code including 5 inch storz fittings.
- 2. Fire department apparatus access roadways are required to be a minimum of 20 feet wide fully paved, with 25 feet inside and 45 feet outside turning radius. Fire access roadways shall be constructed to support a 30 ton vehicle with 322 psi point loading. Approved apparatus turnarounds are required for dead end roads exceeding 150 feet. Dead end streets exceeding 500 feet require all homes to be provided with an approved fire sprinkler system. Dead end streets exceeding 700 feet are not allowed without approved secondary access roadways being provided. Condition of approval of this proposed plat due to existing steep grades on existing access roadways and proposed dead end streets is to have all proposed homes be equipped with approved residential fire sprinkler systems.
- 3. Access roadways shall not exceed 15 percent maximum grade. Angles of approach and departure shall meet fire department requirements.

Planning Review Comments

Clark Close | 425-430-7289 | cclose@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations:

- 1. RMC section 4 4 030.C.2 limits haul hours between eight thirty (8:30) a.m. and three thirty (3:30) p.m., Monday through Friday unless otherwise approved in advance by the Development Services Division.
- 2. New single family construction activities shall be restricted to the hours between seven o'clock (7:00) a.m. and eight o'clock (8:00) p.m., Monday through Friday. Work on Saturdays is by permission only. No work is permitted on Sundays.
- 3. Within thirty (30) days of completion of grading work, the applicant shall hydroseed or plants appropriate ground cover over any portion of the site that is graded or cleared of vegetation and where no further construction work will occur within ninety (90) days. Alternative measures such as mulch, sodding, or plastic covering as specified in the current King County Surface Water Management Design Manual as adopted by the City of Renton may be proposed between the dates of November 1st and March 31st of each year. The Development Services Division's approval of this work is required prior to final inspection and approval of the permit.

Technical Services Comments

Bob Mac Onie | 425-430-7369 | bmaconie@rentonwa.gov

Recommendations: Preliminary Plat: Bob Mac Onie 09/18/2014

Note the City of Renton land use action number and land record number, LUA14 001040 and LND 10 0515, respectively, on the final plat submittal. The type size used for the land record number should be smaller than that used for the land use action number. Please note that the land use action number provided will change when this subdivision changes from preliminary to final plat status.

Show two ties to the City of Renton Survey Control Network. The geometry will be checked by the city when the ties have been provided.

Ties can be made but explicit reference to another survey with such ties and two monuments common to the subject subdivision.

Provide sufficient information to determine how the plat boundary was established.

Indicate what has been, or is to be, set at the corners of the proposed lots.

Note discrepancies between bearings and distances of record and those measured or calculated, if any.

The lot addresses will be provided by the city at final plat submittal. Note said addresses and the street name on the plat drawing.

Do note encroachments.

Do not include a utility provider's block, an owner's block, an engineer/surveyor block and an architect block. Do not include any references to use, density or zoning on the final submittal. Please note the Site Data on sheet 1 of 3 block is not necessary and conflicts with the Easement Notes block immediately below.

If the abutting properties are platted, note the lot numbers and plat name on the drawing otherwise note them as 'Unplatted'. Do not show the TPNs.

Remove the building setback lines from the proposed lots. Setbacks will be determined at the time that building permits are issued.

Note the research resources on the plat submittal.

Note all easements, covenants and agreements of record on the plat drawing.

The City of Renton "APPROVALS" blocks for the City of Renton Administrator, Public Works Department, the Mayor, City Clerk and the Finance Director.

A pertinent approval block is also needed for the King County Assessor's Office. Provide signature lines as required. If there is a Restrictive Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions document for this plat, then reference the same on the plat drawing and provide a space for the recording number thereof.

Note that if there are restrictive covenants, agreements or easements to others (neighboring property owners, etc.) as part of this subdivision, they can be recorded concurrently with the plat. The plat drawings and the associated document(s) are to be given to the

Project Manager as a package. The plat document will be recorded first (with King County). The recording number(s) for the associated document(s) (said documents recorded concurrently with, but following the plat) need to be referenced on the plat drawings.

Technical Services Comments

Bob Mac Onie | 425-430-7369 | bmaconie@rentonwa.gov

There needs to be a Purpose Statement for each of the tracts created, including ownership and maintenance responsibilities.

Please discuss with the Stormwater Utility any other language and/or instrument requirements regarding surface water BMPs and other rights and responsibilities.


Include a Dedication block. All vested owner(s) of the subject plat, at the time of recording, need to sign the final plat. For the street dedication process, include a current title report noting the vested property owner.

Community Services Comments

Leslie Betlach | 425-430-6619 | Ibetlach@rentonwa.gov

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat Comments: 08/25/2014

Parks impact fee per Ordinance 5670 applies. Bicycle lanes per adopted Trails and Bicycle Master Plan "Talbot Road South Bicycle Lanes." Sheet 148 shall be incorporated as part of project.



October 1, 2014

Rory Dees RAD Holdings, LLC 1040 W. Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE Bellevue, WA 98008

SUBJECT:

"On Hold" Notice

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat, LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Mr. Dees:

The Planning Division of the City of Renton accepted the above master application for review on August 25, 2014. During our review, staff has determined that additional information is necessary in order to proceed further.

The request for a modification from the private street standard requirements, identified in RMC 4-6-060J, will likely not be supported by the City of Renton based on the public comments received and the proximity of the roads to existing residential development. The existing access easements do not meet the required 26 foot width, and therefore would not be compliant with Renton Municipal Code without an approved modification. Please note that all final decisions on the preliminary plat are made by the City's Hearing Examiner with a recommendation from Staff.

Based on the above statement, the following information will need to be submitted so that we may continue the review of the above subject application:

A plat plan that is compliant with the shared driveways standard of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060K, as this would fit within the existing access easement width of 24 feet and would not require the approval of a modification. RMC 4-6-060K. Shared Driveways – When Permitted:

A shared private driveway may be permitted for access up to a maximum of four (4) lots. Up to three (3) of the lots may use the driveway as primary access for emergencies. The remainder of the lots must have physical frontage along a street for primary and emergency access and shall only be allowed vehicular access from the shared private driveway. The private access easement shall be a minimum of sixteen feet (16') in width, with a maximum of twelve feet (12') paved driveway. Minimum turnaround requirements for emergency access to lots can be found in subsection H of this Section. (Ord. 5517, 12-14-2009)

- A revised street profile or "Access Road Section" to reflect a reduction in the
 road pavement that is compliant with Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-6-060K.
 Proposed solutions that address public safety, welfare, aesthetics, and screening
 are encouraged as part of the street profile design and resubmittal process.
 Please note that staff will continue to address public comment during the course
 of the review, in order to mitigate the associated impacts between a new shared
 driveway and the existing homes.
- Alternatively, you may submit a plat plan that is compliant with the City of Renton's complete street standard limited residential access road from Talbot Road South. The City's complete street standard for a limited residential access road is 18 feet of pavement, with an 8-foot planting strip, 5-foot sidewalk and LED street lighting along both sides of the road inside the plat. The street section will be a 45-foot right-of-way. (See RMC 4-6-060 for more information.) If you choose to develop the site with a public road, please work with City staff to identify all required submittal items.

At this time, your project has been placed "on hold" pending receipt of the requested information. Please contact me at (425) 430-7289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

Club H. Clor

cc:

RAD Holdings / Owner(s)

Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors / Contact Dalen; Gastineau; Jaeb; Kiminki; Klaas; Klaas Schultz; Murphy; Perteet; Smith Charity; Smith; Webb; J&M

Management; Victoria Park HOA / Party(ies) of Record

File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Rory Dees 1040 W. Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE Bellevue, WA 98008 206 715-4559

RECEIVED

April 15, 2015

APR 1 6 2015

Clark H. Close Associate Planner 1055 South Grady Way Renton, WA 98057 CITY OF RENTON
PLANNING DIVISION

RE:

Continuation with the Plat submittal for 3112 Talbot Road S. or Valley Vue Plat LUA14-001040

Dear Clark,

Currently, a proposed nine lot subdivision for the property addressed at 3112 Talbot Road S., Renton--APN 12-000280 has been placed on an "on-hold" status. Respectfully, I wish to continue with the submitted master preliminary plat application as presented on August 25, 2014.

Thank you for your assistant and continued cooperation with this project.

Yours,

Rory Dees

Rory Dees



April 27, 2015

Community & Economic Development Department C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Rory Dees RAD Holdings, LLC 1040 W. Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE Bellevue, WA 98008

SUBJECT:

"Off Hold" Notice

Valley Vue Preliminary Plat, LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Mr. Dees:

Thank you for submitting a request for continuation letter for the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat at 3112 Talbot Road S, Renton, Washington. Your project has been taken <u>off hold</u> and the City will continue our review of the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat as originally submitted and accepted for review on August 25, 2014 (NOA attached).

It is tentatively scheduled for consideration by the Environmental Review Committee on May 18, 2015. Prior to that review, you will be notified if any additional information is required to continue processing your application.

In addition, this matter is tentatively scheduled for a Public Hearing on June 23, 2015 at 11:00 am, Council Chambers, Seventh Floor, Renton City Hall, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton. The applicant or representative(s) of the applicant are required to be present at the public hearing. A copy of the staff report will be mailed to you prior to the scheduled hearing.

Please contact me at (425) 430-7289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

Clark the Close

Original Notice of Application (NOA) - Dated August 25, 2014

cc:

RAD Holdings / Owner(s)

Jon Nelson, Land Development Advisors / Contact

Party(ies) of Record

Rory Dees 6252 167 Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98006 206 715-4559

January 23, 2013

Chip Vincent CED Administrator 1055 South Grady Way Renton, WA 98057

RE: Modification request for 3112 Talbot Road S, or Dees Plat

Dear Mr. Vincent,

Currently a proposed nine lot subdivision, (eleven if the wetland and storm tracts are counted) for the property addressed at 3112 Talbot Road S., Renton APN 12-000280. The proposed preliminary Dees plat has two recorded easements on the adjacent subdivision that is controlled by Winspur HOA. The easements state their purposes clearly as to provide access, egress, and utility easement for the parcel no. 28, which is cited by number. These easements will allow access to eight lots along two private roads. Discussions with the Renton Development Services Staff occurred over two in-office meetings regarding the proposed layout and acceptability of the lot density given the annexed conditions of the parcel from King County. Moreover, the steeper grade and 12 feet of currently provided access off of Talbot Road is not even remotely feasible within the constraints of Renton' codes. These easements are 24 feet wide and were created in King County, and annexed into Renton irrespective of the Renton codes. Renton's code cites 26 feet for private road widths, allowing 20 feet paved surface for fire department access and 6 feet for utilities. Given the proximity to the adjacent houses and their side-yard setbacks limits, there is no additional area for adding space. I am requesting modification to allow access, egress, and utility easement with the provided 24 feet wide easements to create the private roads into this subdivision.

Additionally, street lights were suggested for the two private access roads that enter off of S. 32nd Place. The inclusion of these lights will be invasive and annoying to the existing houses as they will introduce light into the rear of the houses' backyards. This would expose the homeowner to street lighting sources at the front and rear of their homes. The distance through the easements to the end of the private roads will be 175 feet, and adequate lighting exists from the present two street lights located on the near corners of S. 32nd Place and the created private roads. Currently, there is one street light incorrectly placed in the easement area! I would like a determination that street light additions will not be required for this plat.

As a point of information, the attached site map/lot configuration is approximate and will require some lot line adjustments for sensitive area buffer determinations and storm detention pond requirements on the west end of the parcel near the westerly retained house. The house on the easterly side of the property will be removed.

Thank you for your considerations of these modifications. I will also be forwarding this to you as an email.

Yours,

Rory Dees



March 1, 2013

Department of Community and Economic Development C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Rory Dees 6252 167 Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98006

Subject:

Street Modification Request - 3106 & 3112 Talbot Road South

Dees Pre-Application File, Pre-12-000280

Dear Mr. Dees,

Background. We have reviewed your request to modify street width requirements for a proposed plat that you are contemplating on a 2.3-acre property addressed as 3106 and 3112 Talbot Road South (APN3023059028) also known as Tax Lot 28 of Winsper. The property is presently accessed from Talbot Road South, via an existing driveway easement. This driveway slopes steeply from east to west, and could not be used to access the number of lots you are contemplating. There are, however, two existing 24-foot wide tracts (Tract G and H) in the abutting residential plat to the south, Winsper Division 1. These tracts are indicated on the recorded plat as being for future ingress, egress, and utilities only to Tax Lot 28, owned and maintained by Winsper Community Organization until deeded to Tax Lot No. 28 at no cost when King County approves development of Tax Lot 28, which requires the use of Tract G or H.

You have requested a modification from City of Renton standards in order to utilize Tract G and Tract H for access to the parcel of land that you are considering subdividing. Your proposal as we understand it would be to utilize the 24-foot wide access easements (Tract G and H) to serve up to 4 residential lots from Tract G and another four lots from Tract H. This would be a reduction of 2 feet from the private street width standard specified in Renton Municipal Code.

Process. Because the overall proposal requires a public process, in this case a plat (10 or more lots, tracts or parcels) the Hearing Examiner is the final decision maker, and therefore the modification request cannot be processed at this time. We can only give an indication of the recommendation staff would provide to the Hearing Examiner as part of the public hearing. This recommendation is preliminary, because a formal application has not yet been submitted, nor have staff conducted a thorough analysis or received comments from interested parties, or the Winsper Home Owners Association. Our recommendation is subject to change as there has not been a public comment period. If the proposal is revised to be a short plat (9 or fewer lots, tracts or parcels), then a final recommendation regarding the modification will be provided to the Community and Economic Development Administrator for a final decision.

Regarding street lights, this is an item that would need to be granted via a waiver or deferral, and that process cannot commence until a plat application is filed with the City.

The decision criteria for a modification of standards to be applied at the time of subdivision review follows:

- a. Substantially implements the policy direction of the policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Element and the Community Design Element and the proposed modification is the minimum adjustment necessary to implement these policies and objectives;
- b. Will meet the objectives and safety, function, appearance, environmental protection and maintainability intended by the Code requirements, based upon sound engineering judgment;
- c. Will not be injurious to other property(ies) in the vicinity;
- d. Conforms to the intent and purpose of the Code;
- e. Can be shown to be justified and required for the use and situation intended; and
- f. Will not create adverse impacts to other property(ies) in the vicinity.

The criteria for a waiver of street improvements follows: Reasonable justification shall include but not be limited to the following:

- a. Required street improvements will alter an existing wetlands or stream, or have a negative impact on a shoreline's area.
- b. Existing steep topography would make required street improvements infeasible.
- c. Required street improvements would have a negative impact on other properties, such as restricting available access.
- d. There are no similar improvements in the vicinity and there is little likelihood that the improvements will be needed or required in the next ten (10) years.
- e. In no case shall a waiver be granted unless it is shown that there will be no detrimental effect on the public health, safety or welfare if the improvements are not installed, and that the improvements are not needed for current or future development.

Recommendation. Based on the materials provided, at this time, and without the benefit of a public process, it appears that the request to reduce the private street standard to allow for a width of 24 feet could be supported by staff. However, this recommendation is subject to change based on the results of analysis, and comments received during the land use application process.

The fee which accompanied your request for modification is not required at this time and it will be refunded. Please contact Gerald Wasser, Associate Planner at 425-430-7382 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely.

Jennifer Henning, AICP

Current Planning Manager

cc:

Chip Vincent, CED Administrator Neil Watts, Development Services Director Kayren Kittrick, Plan Review Supervisor Jan Illian, Plan Reviewer Gerald Wasser, Associate Planner Brian Hughes, Coldwell Banker Bain

Entire Document Available Upon Request

Department of Community& Economic Development 1055 South Grady Way Renton Wa 98057-3232 May 8, 2015

Dear Clark,

Thank you for informing the Winsper Community about the continuance on the Valley Vue Project. It is distressing that the developer wants to proceed even though the project is not compliant with a number of the current Renton Municipal Codes. Such disregard is without consideration to the people residing in the Winsper Community, does not promote the ideology of a "good neighbor" nor adheres to the City of Renton Design Goals.

The City Goals (Amended 9/19/11) were set in attempt to "raise the aesthetic quality of the City and ensure that a high quality life is maintained as Renton evolves".

These goals specifically state:

Policy CD-16: Project design, including location of access and dimensions of yards and setbacks, should address privacy and quality of life on existing improved portions of sites. Rear and side yard setbacks should be maintained and not reduced to facilitate increased density.

Policy CD-17: Setbacks and other development standards should not be reduced on newly platted lots through modification or variance to facilitate increased density.

Policy CD-45: Interpret development standards to support new plats and infill project designs that address privacy and quality of life for existing residents.

Furthermore, there are some significant concerns with the parcel that have not been adequately addressed in the proposal process or in previous correspondence with the city including:

- The survey submitted is not signed by a registered land surveyor. An ALTA survey should be done to
 identify any encroachments. There are many topographical features that will make the construction of
 the two access roads very tight, if not impossible without encroaching onto the existing developed
 properties, especially the proposed easterly access.
- The plan does not include any lights. An existing streetlight on the easterly access road will be removed.
 There is not a plan to replace this safety light or add additional street lighting, a needed element of safety.
- The environmental documentation does not include the height of the buffer walls and how will they be constructed. Safety features to protect vehicles, pedestrians, and adjacent housing is also not addressed.
- The parcel is in an area where extensive underground mining took place. Yet, the submitted
 environmental documentation did not include a discussion of this. Past mining activity may impact the
 future homeowners with related hazards of the primary coal mining (the presence of improperly sealed
 openings, venting gasses, ground collapse, regional subsidence of the ground).
- There is no mention of this project establishing a HOA to provide for maintenance of the private roads and drainage systems. If there is not an HOA, the Winsper Community has no assurance that this development will not become a blighted code enforcement issue.
- Construction would cause heavy equipment, trucks, and building materials to be moved through the Winsper neighborhood bringing noise and dust. The plan calls for working M-F plus Saturday. We request that the development NOT occur on the weekends. We would like to preserve our weekends for family and a sense of well-being.

The Project plan is riddled with short comings, and inconsiderate of existing residents. The proposed roads are as close as five feet of the foundation along at least two of the homes adjacent to the access easements, with no room for setbacks, sidewalks or lighting. This is a bad idea. Please reject any variances to this parcel and enforce the development code standards. Thank you.

EXHIBIT 36

lefons

055

ALICE TO BUSINESS



May 21, 2015

Community & Economic Development Department C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Winsper Community HOA 3125 Wells Ave S Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP

Dear Winsper Community HOA:

Thank you for your comments received May 13, 2015 related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record. The City of Renton has received an abundance of public feedback from property owners within the Winsper Division I Plat. The primary concern identified through letters and emails have been vehicle access through the two 24-foot wide access tracts (Tract G and Tract H) and the associated safety concerns from new vehicle traffic generated as a result of the proposed land development. To date, the public comments have been directed to the Attorney General, Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Fire Chief, CED Director, Planners, and Plan Review, all of which have been included in the official land use file for review and consideration by the decision maker (Hearing Examiner).

As a point of clarification, the City of Renton follows the subdivision process that is governed in Washington State by chapter 58.17 RCW and regulated by Renton Municipal Code (RMC) 4-7-080 RMC. Under the RMC 4-9-250, the applicant has the right to request a modification from the Code and the applicant has the right to request the City process a subdivision application subject to RCW 58.17.

The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, maintains the right to develop property based on the ordinances existing at the time of the application. It is the charge of the City to balance the public comments with the applicant's rights by making consistent and rational land use recommendations and decisions.

As part of the preliminary plat application, the applicant has made application for Preliminary Plat, Environmental Review, and a request to modify street width requirements in order to access eight (8) proposed lots via the 24-foot wide access easements through Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and H) in order to serve four (4) new residential lots from each tract. This would be a reduction of two feet (2')

from the private street width standard specified in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2).

As part of the City's preliminary review, it has been determined that the submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. Based on the lack of sufficient width within the tracts, the proximity of the existing homes to the proposed new roadway, the direct correlation between the number of homes and the number of trips anticipated to utilize the proposed access easement, and the impact on existing homes that would lack a sufficient setback distance from the private street. At this time, staff has determined that the construction of a private street through a substandard access easement would result in a detriment to public safety as it pertains to the existing constructed homes at 618, 624, 652, and 700 S 32nd Pl and any future vehicles that may utilize the proposed private street. City staff will make a recommendation on part of the staff report to the Hearing Examiner (the decision maker) that fully evaluates the public safety issues at the public hearing on July 14, 2015. However, the Hearing Examiner will make the <u>final</u> decision on this project.

A Public hearing has been scheduled for July 14, 2015 before the Renton Hearing Examiner in Renton Council Chambers at 12:30 pm on the 7th floor of Renton City Hall located at 1055 South Grady Way. The Hearing Examiner will hold the public hearing on the preliminary plat and either approve, approve with conditions or deny the preliminary plat. It is the duty of the Hearing Examiner to assure conformance with the general purposes of the Comprehensive Plan, adopted standards, and to consider the public comments via written and oral testimony. City staff encourages you to attend the public hearing as this is your only opportunity to speak directly with the project decision maker.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please contact Clark Close at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

C.E. "Chip" Vincent CED Administrator

cc:

File LUA14-001040, PP, ECF
Denis Law, City of Renton Mayor
Jennifer Henning, Planning Director
Steve Lee, Development Engineering Manager
Vanessa Dolbee, Current Planning Manager
Clark Close, Associate Planner

Clark Close

From:

Mary Klaas-Schultz < mklaasschultz@gmail.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 12, 2015 7:41 AM

To:

Clark Close

Cc: Subject: Jennifer T. Henning
Valley Vue Concerns

I object to the Valley Vue plan (LUA 14-001040) as proposed because it does not meet the Standard Codes adopted by the City of Renton. It appears as though nothing has changed since the proposal was put on hold and the developer was asked to revise it. I understand that sometimes the Codes can offer a little variance to get a project developed, but think that this proposal is asking for to many adjustments at the expense of the surrounding community. Each time a waiver is granted it minimizes the value of having Standard Codes and Regulations and sends the message that the rules can be negotiated.

As proposed, it seems that the Valley Vue project would require the following adjustments to use the access routes proposed;

Private Streets:

- Width should be 26 feet, not 24 (please note that we have had the distance between survey stakes measured and Tract B is 21'11", and Tract C is 19'3"
- o Street-Side yard setback should be 15 feet from each house, clearly not enough room.
- Fire Turn around for streets longer than 150 feet, turnarounds are at the end of a street, not the top. This is a basic safety element.
- Requirement of new houses to abut a public right-of-way, none of the new proposed houses abut a public street.

In addition, I'm concerned with the proposed density with the known water and drainage issues on this parcel. This parcel has a significant slope, and drops close to 50 feet from the wetlands towards Talbot. Increased impervious surfaces will increase the water runoff issue and flooding potential.

I do not object to the parcel being developed, but would like it to be developed with a plan that makes sense given the constraints of the parcel. It should also be developed within the boundaries of the standard codes that are in place to ensure the integrity of developments and the safety of the community.

Mary Klaas Schultz 618 S 32nd Place Renton, WA., 98055 Clark H. Close (Associate Planner) CED Planning Division 1055 South Grady Way Renton, WA. 98057

Clark,

I object to the Valley Vue plan (LUA 14-001040) as proposed because it does not meet the Standard Codes adopted by the City of Renton. It appears as though nothing has changed since the proposal was put on hold and the developer was asked to revise it. I understand that sometimes the Codes can offer a little variance to get a project developed, but think that this proposal is asking for to many adjustments at the expense of the surrounding community. Each time a waiver is granted it minimizes the value of having Standard Codes and Regulations and sends the message that the rules can be negotiated.

As proposed, it seems that the Valley Vue project would require the following adjustments to use the access routes proposed;

Private Streets:

- Width should be 26 feet, not 24 (please note that we have had the distance between survey stakes measured and Tract B is 21'11", and Tract C is 19'3"
- Street-Side yard setback should be 15 feet from each house, clearly not enough room.
- Fire Turn around for streets longer than 150 feet, turnarounds are at the end of a street, not the top. This is a basic safety element.
- Requirement of new houses to abut a public right-of-way, none of the new proposed houses abut a public street.

In addition, I'm concerned with the proposed density with the known water and drainage issues on this parcel. This parcel has a significant slope, and drops close to 50 feet from the wetlands towards Talbot. Increased impervious surfaces will increase the water runoff issue and flooding potential.

I do not object to the parcel being developed, but would like it to be developed with a plan that makes sense given the constraints of the parcel. It should also be developed within the boundaries of the standard codes that are in place to ensure the integrity of developments and the safety of the

community.

Mary Klaas Schultz 618 S 32nd Place

Renton, WA. 98055

RECEIVED

MAY 2 5 2015

OTTY OF RENTON
PLANNING DIVISION



June 8, 2015

Community & Economic Development Department C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Mary Klaas Schultz 618 S 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Mary:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated May 17, 2015 wherein you raised concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official.

A Public hearing has been scheduled for July 14, 2015 before the Renton Hearing Examiner in Renton Council Chambers at 12:30 pm on the 7th floor of Renton City Hall located at 1055 South Grady Way. The Hearing Examiner will hold the public hearing on the preliminary plat and either approve, approve with conditions or deny the preliminary plat. It is the duty of the Hearing Examiner to assure conformance with the general purposes of the Comprehensive Plan, adopted standards, and to consider the public comments via written and oral testimony. City staff encourages you to attend the public hearing as this is your only opportunity to speak directly with the project decision maker.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please contact Clark Close at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close

Associate Planner

Clark H. Clor

CC.

File LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Vocalated as POK-

Sharon Gangwish 700 S. 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055 RECEIVED
MAY 1 5 2015
CITY OF RENTON
PLANNING DIVISION

May 12, 2015

Clark Close
Department of Community and Economic Development
1055 South Grady Way
Renton, WA 98057

Dear Mr. Close

This letter concerns the proposed Valley Vue (Lua 14-001040) project. My house is directly east of a proposed access road for this development.

I want to be sure that the City of Renton is aware of the actual measurements concerning the easement as they vary from the distances listed (or missing) on the maps sent to me by the developer.

- The map shows the easement as 24 feet wide (east / west). When measured from the property line nails placed in the curbs 25 years ago, this distance is 19' 2 ½" wide. The proposed road is 20' without any retaining walls, landscaping, etc..
- The measurement from the side of my house, across the easement to the side of my neighbor's house, is 32' 2" between the houses at the narrowest. If the developer of Valley Vue utilizes 24' for his road, it would be as close as 4' from our houses and on our property.
- The cross slope of this easement drops 4' 8" in under 20' from east to west. This would require an extensive retaining wall closer than 4' from my home's foundation. There would also need to be a plan for drainage as there have been issues in the past concerning rain runoff from the hill above my house.

A 20' road, retaining wall and landscaping cannot fit on an easement that measures 19' 2 ½". My fear is that a road will be constructed based on the map and not on the actual measurements. If this occurs there is no apology that would repair the damage done to my home and property. I appreciate your consideration of these concerns and urge that this access road be denied.

Thank you,

Sharon Gangwish



May 27, 2015

Community & Economic Development Department C.E. "Chip" Vincent, Administrator

Sharon Gangwish 700 S. 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Sharon Gangwish:

Thank you for your comments related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat; dated May 12, 2015 wherein you raised concerns regarding the width of the access tracts (Tract G & H) proposed to be used by the project. Your letter/email will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

As a point of clarification, the City has yet to make a decision on the proposal. The applicant, RAD Holdings LLC, has only made application for Preliminary Plat and Environmental Review for the subject development and a decision has yet to be made. You received a notice soliciting public comment and these comments are used to help City staff complete a comprehensive review which will continue over the coming month(s).

The applicant is requesting a street modification, from the private street requirements found in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2) in order to allow access and utilization of the existing 24-foot private access tracts (Tract G and Tract H; APN 948575-0570) that were recorded in March 1989 under Winsper Division I Subdivision for future ingress, egress and utilities to Tax Lot No. 28 (the "Valley Vue" parcel). The modification from the twenty six foot (26') easement for private streets is being requested as a result of the two foot (2') deficit, from current Renton Municipal Code, found within Tracts G and H. The modification request for the private streets included a minimum pavement width of twenty feet (20'). Please note that the City does not have a professional surveyor on staff, nor is City in the business of conducting professional land surveys on private property. It is the City's position that the recorded Winsper Division 1 Final Plat, as certified by Mr. Scott Macintosh, is correct. The City will consider any additional survey information that is stamped or signed by a registered land surveyor.

The vehicular traffic generated as a result of the proposed land development exceeds the number of lots able to be served given the lack of a 26-foot wide easement, lack of at least two (2) lots abutting a public right-of-way, and insufficient side yard setbacks along a private street as it pertains to the existing structures. Therefore, staff has determined that the construction of a private street through a substandard access easement would result in a detriment to public safety as it pertains to the existing constructed homes at 618, 624, 652, and 700 S 32nd Pl and any future vehicles that may utilize the proposed private street. City staff will make a recommendation on part of the staff report to the Hearing Examiner (the decision maker) that

fully evaluates the public safety issues at the public hearing on July 14, 2015. However, the Hearing Examiner will make the final decision on this project.

A Determination of Non-Significance Mitigated (DNS-M) was issued by the Environmental Review Committee (ERC) on May 18, 2015 with four (4) mitigation measures:

- 1. Project construction shall be required to comply with the recommendations found in the Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Geotech Consultants, Inc. dated May 27, 2014 or an updated report submitted at a later date.
- 2. The applicant shall plant all trees that are within the 50-foot standard wetland buffer by hand and without heavy machinery. To the greatest extent feasible, these trees should be planted in areas where invasive species are present. A tree planting plan shall be provided to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to construction permit issuance.
- 3. The applicant shall provide a minimum 12 to 15-foot wide utility access easement to the stormwater tract (Tract D) for maintenance and operation of the utility. The easement shall be recorded and documentation provided to the City prior to approval the issuance of the construction permit application.
- 4. The applicant shall provide a shared driveway through the existing Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision tracts (Tracts G and H) that are consistent with the shared private driveway stand of the Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060K). The private access roads shall meet the minimum necessary to provide for safe and effective access for the existing residents, proposed residents, and fire and emergency vehicles.

A Public hearing has been scheduled for July 14, 2015 before the Renton Hearing Examiner in Renton Council Chambers at 12:30 pm on the 7th floor of Renton City Hall located at 1055 South Grady Way. The Hearing Examiner will hold the public hearing on the preliminary plat and either approve, approve with conditions or deny the preliminary plat. It is the duty of the Hearing Examiner to assure conformance with the general purposes of the Comprehensive Plan, adopted standards, and to consider the public comments via written and oral testimony. City staff encourages you to attend the public hearing as this is your only opportunity to speak directly with the project decision maker.

Thank you for interest in this project and if you have any further questions please contact Clark Close at 425-430-7289 or cclose@rentonwa.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clark H. Close Associate Planner

Topographic Boundary Survey

Clark the Close

Department of Community & Economic Development 1055 South Grady Way Renton Wa 98057-3232 RECEIVED
MAY 1 4 2015

CITY OF RENTON
PLANNING DIVISION

Dear Mr. Close,

Thank you for notifying the Winsper Community of the recent status change of the Valley Vue (LUA 14-001040) project. I have reviewed the "ON HOLD" notice sent to the developer, by the City of Renton, on January

3, 2015 and I am distressed that NONE of the requirements put forth by the City have been met. In fact the project plan has not been modified at all and still does not meet multiple Renton Municipal Codes. These include:

- 1) Required width of easement: 26 ft. (City Code 4-6-060 J.2)
- 2) Street-Side yard Setback: 15 ft. from each house to a new street
- 3) Fire Turn around (at the end of a new road) for streets longer than 150 ft. (a basic safety element)
- 4) Requirement for houses to abut a public right-of-way, none of the new proposed house abuts a public street.
- 5) Required buffer zone for a Category 2 Wetland: 100ft.

City codes are in place to protect the public, and have been properly vetted before becoming law. Why would the city even consider a project that doesn't follow the law? Any variance to these Codes compromises safety and sends a message to the public that the Codes can be broken when convenient. This is not what Renton is all about!

Furthermore, our engineers have located the survey nails in the concrete curb at each of the two easements and have measured the actual distance between them. We note that the distance between the survey nails at the proposed eastern easement is only 19ft.- 2 inches, and the western easement is 21ft.- 11 inches. This detail is missing in the topographic-boundary survey submitted by the developer. Why would we even consider putting in a 20ft wide road requiring a retainer wall (at least 18 additional inches) in this space? It is a waste of valuable staff resources.

The law also states that there needs to be 15 ft. between an existing home and a new street along the side yard. However, please take note, that this proposed plan puts the road as close as 4 ft. from an existing home! Compounding this safety issue, there are no sidewalks, essentially no barrier between the proposed roads and two of the existing homes, no lighting, and no plans for drainage along the access roads. Additionally, we noticed that the survey information is not stamped/signed by a registered land surveyor. Perhaps that is why key elements are missing from the report. An ALTA survey would be appropriate to identify any encroachments.

Upon further research, we also discovered that the buffer zone depicted on the project narrative (and on the public notice board), for the Category 2 wetland is only 50ft. However, Ordinance 5633 indicates the required buffer is 100ft.

I emphatically object to this plan, and hope the City rejects this project until a plan is developed that makes sense given the constraints of the parcel. A new plan would need to be within the boundaries of the standard codes that are in place to ensure the integrity of developments and the safety of the community.

Virginia Klaas MD

EXHIBIT 42



May 27, 2015

Virginia Klaas 618 S 32nd Pl Renton, WA 98055 Entire Document Available Upon Request

SUBJECT:

VALLEY VUE PRELIMINARY PLAT COMMENT RESPONSE LETTER

LUA14-001040, ECF, PP, MOD

Dear Virginia Klaas:

Thank you for your comments received May 14, 2015 related to the Valley Vue Preliminary Plat wherein you raised some additional concerns regarding the proposed project. Your letter will be added to the public record for consideration by the reviewing official and you have been added as a party of record.

Your concerns were as follows:

1. Required width of easement: 26 ft. (City Code 4-6-060J.2)

Staff Comment: As part of the preliminary plat application, the applicant has made application for Preliminary Plat, Environmental Review, and a request to modify street width requirements in order to access eight (8) proposed lots via the 24-foot wide access easements through Winsper Division No. 1 Subdivision (Tract G and H) in order to serve four (4) new residential lots from each tract. This would be a reduction of two feet (2') from the private street width standard specified in Renton Municipal Code (RMC 4-6-060J.2). Private streets are allowed for access to six (6) or fewer lots, provided at least two (2) of the six (6) lots abut a public right-of-way. Private streets are only permitted if a public street is not anticipated to be necessary for existing or future traffic and/or pedestrian circulation through the subdivision or to serve adjacent property. No sidewalks are required for private streets; however drainage improvements are required, as well as an approved pavement thickness (minimum of four inches (4") asphalt over six inches (6") crushed rock). The maximum grade for the private street shall not exceed fifteen percent (15%) at maximum grade, and angles of approach and departure shall meet fire department requirements. The land area, included in private street easements, shall not be included in the required minimum lot area for purposes of subdivision.

The submitted preliminary plat provides no alternatives to meeting the street standards of the Renton Municipal Code if the request to modify street width requirements were to be denied. The applicant would be required to account for construction transportation impacts that would result as part of the plat construction process and any measures that would be implemented to minimize traffic impacts. The lack of sufficient width within the tracts and the proximity of the existing homes to the proposed new roadway create a safety hazard, as the access roads would have no